

Comments for Planning Application APP/24/01010

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/24/01010

Address: Interbridges Site, New Brighton Road, Emsworth

Proposal: Proposed employment development, with associated access, parking, yards and landscaping |cr|Classes B2 (General Industry), B8 (storage & distribution) and Class Ec-g.

Case Officer: David Eaves

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Further Comments

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This site is an eyesore which is used as a rubbish tip by passers-by and is a blight on the area. I would be delighted to see it developed in a suitable fashion but this proposed development is totally unsuitable due to the extra traffic it would introduce to the already busy and restricted B2148 North Street and the compromised visibility for any vehicle turning into or leaving the site. The objections raised by the Highways Authority seem comprehensive. The response by Bellamy Roberts unintentionally would appear to confirm a number of the issues raised. As has been stated previously, the B2148 is already busy throughout the day and especially during morning and evening rush hours and when the local schools are closing. The existing traffic volumes will be increasing due to new housing developments nearby. Vehicles leaving the proposed site and turning north have a very restricted and confused view through the railway arch to the south and visibility to the north is also restricted. Traffic turning into the site would also cause problems for other traffic on the B2148 due to the compromised visibility. Have the many cycles that use the road been considered? The dimensions quoted in Bellamy Roberts letter may or may not be accurate, as it is quite a complicated scene and some of the dimensions quoted appear unrealistically precise. The company obviously want the objections raised by the Highways Authority rejected and have therefore manufactured arguments to this end but, if they were local and had to experience the potential traffic chaos at this junction, exacerbated by the low light levels under the 2 bridges, or even more worryingly had to see children crossing the road at this point to access the station or local schools, I am sure they would have developed rather different arguments using similar data. I do hope this site is developed sympathetically but this proposal needs to be rejected one and for all as it is totally unsuitable.