

VERIFICATION REPORT


PLOTS 161-165

WOODCROFT FARM, WOODCROFT LANE,

LOVEDEAN, PO8 9QA

on behalf of

VISTRY SOUTHERN

Report:	VERIFICATION REPORT
Site:	PLOTS 161-165, WOODCROFT FARM, WOODCROFT LANE, LOVEDEAN, PO8 9QA
Client:	VISTRY SOUTHERN
Date:	10/02/2023
Reference:	GE19455/VR/PLOTS 161-165/FEB23
Version:	1.0
Prepared by:	 FIONA GOODE BSc (Hons), MSc, FGS, MEnvSc, RSoBRA Senior Consultant Engineer
Reviewed by:	 LAURA LEGATE CGeol, CSci, BSc (Hons), MSc, FGS Environmental Director
Authorised by:	 GAVIN ROBERTS CGeol, BEng (Hons), MSc, FGS Technical Director
Geo-Environmental Services Ltd Unit 7, Danworth Farm, Cuckfield Road, Hurstpierpoint, West Sussex, BN6 9GL +44(0) 1273 832972 www.gesl.net Environmental Consultants Geotechnical Engineers Site Investigations	

AMENDMENT RECORD

Revision ref.	Date	Reasons for amendment	Author's initials	Reviewed by	Authorised by
1.0	10/02/2023	First issue for compiled report for Plots 161-165	FG	LL	LL

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Objectives	2
1.3	Planning Permission	2
2.0	BACKGROUND	4
2.1	Proposed Site Use	4
2.2	Investigation and Assessment	4
2.3	Conceptual Site Model	5
2.4	Approach for Remediation, Monitoring and Validation	5
3.0	SOILS	6
3.1	End Users (Shallow Soils)	6
4.0	INSPECTION/VALIDATION (SOILS)	7
4.1	Validation inspection of crushed concrete layer and geo-textile membrane	7
4.2	Validation inspection and sampling of Topsoil and subsoil (cover system) placement	7
4.3	Topsoil and subsoil analysis of laboratory test results	8
5.0	DISCOVERY STRATEGY	10
6.0	VERIFICATION	11

FIGURES

FIGURE 1	Site Plan
FIGURE 2	Areas Requiring Remediation
FIGURE 3	Development Levels Plan – Phase 3 Horse Arena, Farmyard and Chalk Pit
FIGURE 4	Validation Sample Location Plan

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Site Diary Records
APPENDIX B	Visual Inspection Records of Soil Cover System Installation
APPENDIX C	Geochemical Laboratory Test Results

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

The site has been subject to several phases of investigation and assessment for the site, as reported in the Phase I Ground Condition Report, ref 21834, dated MMM 2010 prepared by Peter Brett Associates (PBA), which included a desk study and preliminary risk assessment for the overall Woodcroft Farm site; a Factual Report, ref RML 4750, dated October 2011 prepared by Risk Management Limited, which included ground investigation and laboratory test results; and a Geo-environmental and Geotechnical Ground Investigation Report, ref 21834-030-R02, dated July 2013 prepared by PBA, which presented an interpretative assessment of the overall Woodcroft Farm site.

Further Phase II intrusive investigation was undertaken and reported by Geo-Environmental Services Limited and presented in the Soil Contamination Assessment ref. GE16662-FG02-170929, dated 29th September 2017. An additional phase of investigation was also commissioned in 2018 to support development of the remedial strategies and the findings were presented within the remedial strategies for the site (see below). Subsequently, a Detailed Quantitative Gas Risk Assessment (DQGRA) was commissioned from The Environmental Protection Group Ltd (EPG), ref. EPG/8938/2018/DQGRA/V1 dated October 2018, in relation to the infilled former chalk pit located to the west of the farmyard area.

Subsequently Remediation Strategies and Verification Plans (RSVP) were prepared for the three separate areas of the site deemed to require remediation, referenced GE16943/RSVP-FY-V6.0/DEC20 for the Farmyard Area, GE16943/RSVP-CP-V6.0/DEC20 for the Chalk Pit Area and GE16943/RSVP-HA-V6.0/FEB21 for the Horse Arena area.

In line with the remedial strategies for the site, Geo-Environmental Services Limited ('Geo-Environmental') was instructed by 'the Client', Vistry Southern, to prepare a Verification Report to compile the relevant information in relation to the residential development for Plots 161-165, Woodcroft Farm, Woodcroft Lane, Lovedean, Hampshire, PO8 9QA, herein referred to as 'the site' (see Figure 1).

Plots 161-165 lie within the area of the site known as the former horse arena area (Figure 2), therefore the Remedial Strategy GE16943/RSVP-HA-V6.0/FEB21 for the former horse arena area is the strategy relevant for the proposed remedial works for these specific plots.

This report relates specifically to the installation and validation of remedial measures within Plots 161-165 in relation to soft landscaping validation.

Previous phases of validation onsite have already been undertaken and reported for the site under:

- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 79-80/SEP21, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 79-80 only
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 100-107 and 119-122/DEC21, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 100-107 and 119-122 only
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 108-112/FEB22, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 108-112 only
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 113-116 & 139-140/MAR22, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 113-116 and 139-140 only
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 123-126/APR22, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 123-126 only
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 117-118 & 136-138/APR22, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 117-118 & 136-138 only
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 127-128/MAY22, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated

- with Plots 127-128 only
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 129-135 & 141-144/MAY22, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 129-135 and 141-144 only.
- GE19455/VR/PLOTS 145-148/OCT22, which presented the validation of soil cover systems associated with Plots 145-148 only.

This report details and compiles all the works independently verified by Geo-Environmental in relation to the installation of a soil cover system in Plots 161-165 completed in July and September 2022, and January 2023.

This report sets out the works undertaken to date to provide independent verification of the risk mitigation measures incorporated into the development to protect the development and end users thereof. This report provides final validation for works undertaken and independent inspection in relation to Plots 161-165 only.

1.2 Objectives

A desk study and investigation undertaken by a third party and additional ground investigation undertaken by Geo-Environmental identified the presence of potential hazards and, through a risk assessment process, a series of pollutant linkages were identified which required action to mitigate or otherwise reduce the risk. The Remediation Strategy and Verification Plan (RSVP) for the former horse arena area of the site sets out the proposed remedial works to be undertaken within this area of the subject site to support its development for a proposed residential end use.

This Verification Report (VR) presents the details and findings of inspection visits undertaken throughout the construction period by Geo-Environmental and/or to compile data provided by the Client with respect to Plots 161-165 in relation to soils in order to demonstrate that the soil risk mitigation measures were implemented within these specific plots.

1.3 Planning Permission

It is understood that a planning application has been approved for the site by Havant Borough Council under Planning Reference APP/13/00804. The following pre-commencement planning conditions are understood at the time to writing to have been attached to the application which relate to the remediation and validation of land contamination on the site.

11. Prior to the commencement of construction within any specified phase or defined area the development approved by this Planning Permission (as may be agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority), a scheme to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. That scheme shall include all of the following elements unless specifically excluded in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

- 1. A scheme of further investigation, based on the previously submitted Geo-Environmental & Geotechnical report. The scope of the investigation shall be sufficient to:*
 - confirm the preliminary assessment of the nature and extent of contamination at the site,*
 - inform an appropriate assessment of the risks to all receptors that may be affected, including controlled waters, and;*
 - enable the appropriate design of remediation and/or risk mitigation measures (if required).*
- 2. The results of the further investigation and risk assessment (1) and if appropriate, a method statement based on these results giving full details of the remediation and/or risk mitigation measures required, and how they are to be undertaken.*
- 3. A verification report or statement (as appropriate) upon completion of the works set out in (2) [if any], confirming that measures undertaken have been implemented in accordance with the method*

statement, and have achieved the required performance standards. The verification report/ statement shall provide details of any measures necessary for maintenance, further monitoring and reporting.

All elements shall be adhered to unless agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To prevent future occupants of proposed residential properties being exposed to unacceptable risks from identified contamination & soil gas associated with automotive repair and landfill activity previously undertaken at the site, and to ensure that the site does not pose an unacceptable risk to the groundwater Source Protection Zone 1; having due regard to policies and proposals E1 of the Hampshire County Structure plan 1996-2011 (Review), and DM10 of the Havant Borough Adopted Core Strategy (2011), and DM17 of the Draft Local Plan (Allocations) 2012.

12. Prior to the commencement of construction of any part of the development approved by this Planning Permission, a protocol for the identification & assessment of any unforeseen ground conditions shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The provisions of the approved protocol shall be observed throughout the undertaking of all relevant construction activities.

Reason: For the protection of workers and the general public during the development of potentially contaminated land, and to prevent future occupants of proposed residential properties being exposed to unacceptable risks from unidentified &/or unexpected contamination that may be associated with previous activities undertaken at the site; having due regard to policies and proposals E1 of the Hampshire County Structure plan 1996-2011 (Review), and DM10 of the Havant Borough Adopted Core Strategy (2011), and DM17 of the Draft Local Plan(Allocations) 2012.

13. If, during development, contamination not previously identified at the site is found to be present, then no further development (unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority) shall be carried out until the developer has submitted and obtained written approval from the Local Planning Authority for an addendum to the Method Statement required under Condition 1, (2). This addendum shall detail how this unexpected contamination shall be dealt with, and the development shall not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: For the protection of workers and the general public during the development of potentially contaminated land, and to prevent future occupants of proposed residential properties being exposed to unacceptable risks from unidentified &/or unexpected contamination that may be associated with previous activities undertaken at the site; having due regard to policies and proposals E1 of the Hampshire County Structure plan 1996-2011 (Review), and DM10 of the Havant Borough Adopted Core Strategy (2011), and DM17 of the Draft Local Plan (Allocations) 2012.



2.0 BACKGROUND

This Validation Report has been produced in order to demonstrate that the works required and presented within the Remediation Strategy and Verification Plan (RSVP) for the former horse arena area have been carried out. The RSVP for the former horse arena area of the site were developed following several phases of investigation works at the site, including a Phase I Ground Condition Report, ref 21834, dated 2010 prepared by Peter Brett Associates (PBA), a Factual Report, ref RML 4750, dated October 2011 prepared by Risk Management Limited, and a Geo-environmental and Geotechnical Ground Investigation Report, ref 21834-030-R02, dated July 2013 prepared by PBA.

Further investigations were undertaken by Geo-Environmental comprising a Soil Contamination Assessment ref. GE16662-FG02-170929, dated 29th September 2017. An additional phase of investigation was also commissioned in 2018 to support development of the remedial strategy and the findings were incorporated within this report. Subsequently, a Detailed Quantitative Gas Risk Assessment (DQGRA) was commissioned from The Environmental Protection Group Ltd (EPG), ref. EPG/8938/2018/DQGRA/V1 dated October 2018, in relation to the infilled former chalk pit located to the west of the farmyard area. Whilst a summary of these reports is presented below, reference should be made to the full reports for further details.

2.1 Proposed Site Use

The proposed wider development is understood to comprise the construction of 288No. residential units, associated car parking, open space and landscaping. The former horse arena area covers c. 10No. plots (houses) including associated gardens.

2.2 Investigation and Assessment

The wider site's previous uses included use as a farm with associated barns and buildings, scrapyard, horse arena and a former chalk pit which was subsequently infilled as an inert landfill. The portion of the site considered specifically herein comprised the former horse arena area, as shown in Figure 2.

The ground conditions encountered within the horse arena comprised a mantle of Topsoil and/or Made Ground overlying, sandy clay, and clay, with bands of flint cobbles overlying the Chalk. Made Ground was only encountered within one location, TP309.

The Topsoil and/or Made Ground was underlain by soft to firm clay and sandy clay (Head Deposits) in the majority of locations with a band of firm to stiff clay with flint cobbles tentatively identified as Lambeth Group overlying the Chalk. The Chalk was encountered within the majority of locations within the horse arena area from a depth of between 1.10m bgl and 2.10m bgl.

Previous investigation and testing by third parties identified exceedances of metals within the natural soils in the horse arena area of the site. The investigation and testing of soils undertaken as part of the development of the RSVP for the former horse arena area identified contaminant concentrations of concern within the natural soils in relation to Arsenic and Beryllium. Therefore, it was considered that remedial action would be warranted to protect end users of a residential development from the soil based contaminants identified.

Groundwater was not encountered within any of the investigation locations and is anticipated to be present at depths in excess of 25.0m bgl.

Following a Detailed Ground Gas Risk Assessment in relation to the adjacent infilled chalk pit and in the absence of any plausible ground gas source on the Horse Arena area, no requirement for ground gas protection has been identified.

2.3 Conceptual Site Model

The conceptual site model (CSM) developed as part of the various phases of intrusive investigation and risk assessment undertaken for the site identified particular hazards, or potential hazards which were considered to require intervention (remediation) to mitigate the risk of harm.

2.4 Approach for Remediation, Monitoring and Validation

Remediation works were proposed to break the pathways of identified sources of contamination to receptors. The aim of the remediation was not necessarily to remove all contamination from the site but to provide betterment and to reduce the identified risk to an acceptable level with respect to relevant receptors, e.g. human health, the built environment and controlled waters.

3.0 SOILS


3.1 End Users (Shallow Soils)

With reference to the Soil Contamination Assessment undertaken by Geo-Environmental ref. GE16662-FG02-170929, dated 29th September 2017 for the entire site and the Remediation Strategy and Verification Plan for the former horse arena area of the site, ref GE16943/RSVP-HA-V6.0/FEB21, through a process of statistical analyses on the results of chemical analyses on the natural soil samples, it was demonstrated that the shallow natural soils in the former horse arena area were impacted by Arsenic and Beryllium. Therefore, remedial measures were deemed necessary to protect end users of the proposed properties from soil contamination within private garden and soft landscaped areas within the former horse arena area of the site.

In areas remote from those designated for tree root protection the installation of a clean cover system within private gardens was required within the former horse arena area.

A soil cover system was derived based on Soil Cover Systems for Land Regeneration by BRE, which indicated that a soil cover system of 450mm would be required within areas of private gardens areas within the horse arena area. Due to the possible presence of impacted material beneath the proposed soil cover system the cover system should also incorporate a capillary break layer comprising 150mm depth of stone or crushed concrete, between two layers of geotextile. A high visibility deter to dig geofabric shall be laid over the upper geotextile to provide a visible deterrent to excavation. The cover system should include a minimum thickness of 300mm of Topsoil.

An example of the recommended cover system for use in gardens in the Horse Arena area is provided below:





4.0 INSPECTION/VALIDATION (SOILS)

An engineer from Geo-Environmental visited the site on several separate occasions prior to, during the remedial works being undertaken and on completion of the works reported herein to check on the progress of the development works.

4.1 Validation inspection of crushed concrete layer and geo-textile membrane

The inspection/validation works to inspect the crushed concrete layer and geotextile membranes of the cover systems installed within the rear garden areas and soft landscaping area associated with Plots 161-165 was undertaken by a Geo-Environmental Engineer on 29th July 2022. The site diary records, and site photographs are presented in Appendix A.

At the time of the visit the crushed concrete layer had been installed within Plots 161-165 and was visible within Plots 163-165. An orange geotextile and a black geotextile had been laid above the crushed concrete layer within Plots 161-162, with a thin layer of subsoil above. It should be noted that no soft landscaping was evident to the front of these plots, nor is it understood to be proposed.

4.2 Validation inspection and sampling of Topsoil and subsoil (cover system) placement

The inspection/validation works of the cover systems installed within the rear garden areas was undertaken by a Geo-Environmental Engineer on 26th September 2022 and 16th January 2023. The visual inspection records including site photographs and logs are presented in Appendix B.

Inspection Visit – 26th September 2022

Inspection comprised four inspection pit locations excavated within four plots (Plots 162-165). Vertical plastic pipe had been installed by the groundworkers prior to the installation of the Topsoil within Plots 162-165. This was to confirm the thickness of the soil cover system. The location of all the inspection pits excavated is presented on Figure 4, photographs and site inspection records taken during this inspection visit are presented in Appendix B, which confirm the depth of the installed Topsoil within the cover system installed, along with soil logs of the material encountered. It should be noted that at the point of inspection the turf and the soil likely associated with the turf placement had not been laid within any of the areas of soft landscaping at the time of the inspection.

The inspection confirmed that within the inspection pits, Topsoil was found to be between 590mm and 620mm in thickness within all the inspected areas. It should be noted that the soil cover system comprised Topsoil only and this Topsoil utilised within Plots 162-165 comprised site-won soils which had previously been deemed suitable for re-use, as reported in the RSVP for the horse arena area (GE16943/RSVP-HA-V6.0/FEB21).

Topsoil had yet to be fully installed across the entire rear garden area of Plot 161 due to the presence of scaffolding at the time of inspection. Therefore, it was proposed to re-inspect this garden once the Topsoil had been completely installed.

Inspection Visit – 16th January 2023

Inspection comprised three inspection pits located within Plots 161, 163 and 165 which were excavated by the Engineer from Geo-Environmental. This was to confirm that works to install the soil cover system were complete at the point of inspection. The location of all the inspection pits excavated is presented on Figure 4, photographs and site inspection records taken during this inspection visit are presented in Appendix B, which confirms the installation of Topsoil within the cover system installed, along with soil logs of the material encountered. It should



be noted that at the point of inspection the turf and the soil likely associated with the turf placement had not been laid within any of the areas of soft landscaping at the time of the inspection.

The inspection included two inspection pits within previously inspected area as the groundworkers had confirmed that these areas had been re-laid due to activity in this area. It should be noted that the soil cover system comprised Topsoil only and this Topsoil utilised within Plots 161-165 comprised site-won soils which had previously been deemed suitable for re-use, as reported in the RSVP for the horse arena area (GE16943/RSVP-HA-V6.0/FEB21).

4.3 Topsoil and subsoil analysis of laboratory test results

Notwithstanding the previous testing of site-won Topsoil, a total of four samples from Plots 161-165 were submitted for testing for a suite of commonly occurring brownfield contaminants and asbestos screening.

The collected samples were referenced Plot 161 at 0.10m bgl, Plot 162 at 0.15m bgl, Plot 164 at 0.60m bgl and Plot 165 at 0.10m bgl. The laboratory test results are presented within Appendix C.

The results of the analyses were compared to the Suitable for Use (S4UL) Levels for 'private gardens with home-grown produce' (representative of the proposed development end use), which are summarised in Table 4.1 below:

Determinand	Soil Screening Value (mg/kg)	Sample Max. Conc. (mg/kg)	Below Screening Value?
Arsenic	37	15.2	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	2.7	0.3	Yes
Beryllium	1.7	<1.0	Yes
Boron (Water Soluble)	290	0.6	Yes
Cadmium	11	<0.5	Yes
Chromium (Total)	130	26.2	Yes
Copper	2400	23.4	Yes
Hexavalent Chromium	6	<0.8	Yes
Lead	200	50.7	Yes
Mercury (inorganic)	40	<0.5	Yes
Nickel	180	31.1	Yes
Phenols	110	<6.0	Yes
Selenium	250	<1.0	Yes
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	110	35.0	Yes
Vanadium	410	42.5	Yes
Zinc	3700	92.1	Yes

Table 4.1 Summary of chemical analyses

The results indicated that all of the determinands tested were below the limiting concentrations set within the Remediation Strategy and Verification Plan (RSVP).

All samples were submitted for asbestos screening and returned with no asbestos fibres identified.

In addition, the results of the speciated hydrocarbon analyses were all returned as either below the laboratory detection limits or below their respective human health screening values for the proposed end use.

A further two validation samples were submitted for a physical and nutrient testing suite. The samples were referenced, Plot 162 at 0.15m bgl, and Plot 163 at 0.15m bgl. The results of the soils tested were compared to the Table 1 from BS3882:2015 entitled 'Specification for Topsoil'. The results are summarised in Table 4.2 below:

Parameters	Limits for Multipurpose Topsoil	Sample Range	Within acceptable Limits?
<u>Maximum Coarse Fragment Content (%)</u> Stones >2mm Stones > 20mm Stones >50mm	30 10 0	4 16 <1	No, slight exceedance of the >20mm threshold
<u>Mass loss on ignition %</u> Clay 5% to 20%	3 to 20	4.14 - 4.78	Yes
Soil pH	5.5 to 8.5	7.8 – 9.5	No, one result exceeds the threshold
<u>Plant nutrient content</u> Total nitrogen % Extractable phosphate mg/l Extractable potassium mg/l Extractable magnesium mg/l	>0.15 16 to 140 121 to 1500 51 to 600	0.13 – 0.16 39 – 89 143 - 194 54 - 78	No, one result is slightly below the nitrogen threshold
Carbon:nitrogen ratio	<20:1	17:2	Yes
Electrical conductivity $\mu\text{S}\cdot\text{cm}$	<3300	1970 - 2050	Yes
<u>Potentially Phytotoxic Elements</u> Zn mg/kg Cu mg/kg Ni mg/kg	<200 <135 <110	37.4 – 39.2 10.1 – 12.4 11.1 - 11.6	Yes
<u>Visible contaminants</u> % m/m ...of which plastics	<0.5 <0.25	<0.01 <0.01	Yes

Table 4.2 Summary of BS8332 Topsoil Analysis (Plots 161-165, Inspection Visit 26/09/2022 and 16/01/2023)

The results demonstrate that the soils analysed are in accordance with BS3882:2015 Multipurpose Topsoil, with the exception of the slight exceedance of the >20mm coarse fragments content, one result exceeding the pH threshold and one result slightly below the total nitrogen threshold. However, the soils are considered suitable for use as landscaping Topsoil.

5.0 DISCOVERY STRATEGY

A discovery strategy remains in place throughout the development works on this site. Geo-Environmental has not been made aware of any discoveries having been made to date and thus the strategy, as set out in the RSVP did not require enacting (to the best of our knowledge). However, the strategy remains in place for the remainder of the development works.

6.0 VERIFICATION

In accordance with the Client's instruction, Geo-Environmental undertook routine and independent inspection during key stages of the construction process. The risk mitigation measures in relation to remedial measures for Plots 161-165, have been inspected and were considered to comply with the requirements of the design and Remediation Strategy and Verification Plan at the point of inspection or defects otherwise noted and subsequently rectified and plots re-inspected.

The inspection of the installed soil cover system was undertaken by Geo-Environmental via the inspection of pre-installed plastic pipes in number of selected locations. There was no visual evidence on the site to indicate that the areas remote from these inspection areas were likely to differ significantly from the inspected areas. However, the confirmation of the presence of a geo-textile membrane, the confirmation of depth and composition Topsoil has only been independently verified by Geo-Environmental within these inspection locations. It has been assumed that these inspection locations are representative of the reused site-won soils within the rear garden areas of Plots 161-165.

Geo-Environmental can only verify the installation of a cover system at the locations and depths inspected at the point and time of inspections. Geo-Environmental accepts no liability for areas that have not been directly inspected or works that have subsequently been undertaken on the site following our inspection visit(s) that may in any way alter or affect the validation works inspected and reported herein.


The report relates solely to the validation of the soil cover system remedial measures installed within Plots 161-165. Validation with respect to the soil cover systems to be installed within remaining plots will be presented under separate cover (or an updated report) in due course.

This report remains the property of Geo-Environmental and the Client has no rights to, or reliance upon this document or supporting documents until such time as payment has been received in full for all invoices for works undertaken in connection with this report.



FIGURES






Project:	Woodcroft Farm, Lovedean, PO8 9QA			Title	Site Location Plan	
Client:	Vistry Homes Southern			Geo-Environmental Services Ltd Unit 7 Danworth Farm, Cuckfield Road Hurstpierpoint, West Sussex BN6 9GL +44(0)1273 832972 www.gesl.net	 Geo-Environmental	
Ref No:	GE19455	Revision:	0			
Drawn:	FG	Date:	16/06/2021			
Figure:	1	Scale:	Not To Scale			





Project:	Woodcroft Farm, Lovedean, PO8 9QA			Title	Areas Requiring Remediation	
Client:	Vistry Homes Southern			Geo-Environmental Services Ltd Unit 7 Danworth Farm, Cuckfield Road Hurstpierpoint, West Sussex BN6 9GL +44(0)1273 832972 www.gesl.net	 Geo-Environmental	
Ref No:	GE19455	Revision:	0			
Drawn:	FG	Date:	16/06/2021			
Figure:	2	Scale:	Not To Scale			



Project:	Woodcroft Farm Lovedean PO8 9QA	Title:	Validation Location Plan
Client:	Vistry Homes Southern	Geo-Environmental Services Ltd	
Ref No:	GE19455	Revision:	1
Drawn:	FG	Date:	03/02/2023
Figure:	4	Scale:	Not To Scale

Unit 7 Danworth Farm, Cuckfield Road
Hurstpierpoint, West Sussex BN6 9GL
+44(0)1273 832972 www.gesl.net



APPENDIX A

Site Diary Records



Project No.	GE19455
Project Name	Woodcroft Farm
Client	Vistry
Engineers	
 Fiona Goode	fiona.goode@gesl.net
Location	Lovedean
Date	29/07/2022

1 record

Date & Time	29/07/2022, 12:54
Location	50° 54' 14.794" N 1° 2' 3.512" W
Remarks	Installation of soil cover system in Horse Arena Area in progress
Weather	Sunny, warm, still

4 records

Photograph



Description	Plots 161-165 in progress
-------------	---------------------------

Photograph

Site Diary

Photograph(s)



Description Plots 145-148 in progress

Photograph



Description Horse Arena in progress

Photograph



Description Plot 145 in progress

Time

0 records

APPENDIX B

Visual Inspection Records



ID	GE19455
Project Name	Woodcroft
Client	Vistry
Engineers	
 Fiona Goode	fiona.goode@gesl.net
Project Manager	
 Fiona Goode	fiona.goode@gesl.net
Location	Lovedean
Date	26/09/2022

Details of Hole

6 records

Hole ID	Plot 145
Plot No./Position	Plots 145-146
Date of Hole	26/09/2022, 14:49
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.465" N 1° 2' 2.252" W
Final Depth	0.56

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
0	0.56	Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional roots and rootlets Taram beneath

Samples

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	Sample type	Sample reference
0.25		ES	1

Pass/Fail (X) Pass
() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plots 145-146

Hole ID	Plot 147
Plot No./Position	Plot 147
Date of Hole	26/09/2022, 14:58
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.469" N 1° 2' 1.436" W
Final Depth	0.60

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
0	0.6	Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional roots and rootlets Taram beneath

Samples

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	Sample type	Sample reference
0.1		ES	1

Pass/Fail

(X) Pass
() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plot 147

Hole ID	Plot 162
Plot No./Position	Plot 162
Date of Hole	26/09/2022, 15:05
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.917" N 1° 2' 1.479" W
Final Depth	0.6

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
		Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional roots and rootlets

0	0.6	Taram beneath
---	-----	---------------

Samples

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	Sample type	Sample reference
0.15		ES	1

Pass/Fail

(X) Pass

() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plot 162

Hole ID	Plot 163
Plot No./Position	Plot 163
Date of Hole	26/09/2022, 15:11
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.619" N 1° 2' 1.482" W
Final Depth	0.62

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
0	0.62	Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional roots and rootlets Taram beneath

Pass/Fail

(X) Pass
() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plot 163

Hole ID	Plot 164
Plot No./Position	Plot 164
Date of Hole	26/09/2022, 15:12
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.842" N 1° 2' 2.154" W
Final Depth	0.60

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
		Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional roots and rootlets

0	0.6	Taram beneath
---	-----	---------------

Samples

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	Sample type	Sample reference
0.4		ES	1

Pass/Fail

(X) Pass

() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plot 164

Hole ID	Plot 165
Plot No./Position	Plot 165
Date of Hole	26/09/2022, 15:16
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.943" N 1° 2' 2.291" W
Final Depth	0.59

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
		Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional roots and rootlets

0	0.59	Taram beneath
---	------	---------------

Pass/Fail (X) Pass
() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plot 165

Approved By

 Fiona Goode

fiona.goode@gesl.net

ID GE19455

Project Name Woodcroft

Client Vistry

Engineers

 Fiona Goode fiona.goode@gesl.net

Project Manager

 Fiona Goode fiona.goode@gesl.net

Location Lovedean

Date 16/01/2023

Details of Hole

3 records

Hole ID	Plot 161
Plot No./Position	Plot 161
Date of Hole	16/01/2023, 12:44
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.848" N 1° 2' 2.249" W
Final Depth	0.2

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
0	0.2	Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional rootlets

Samples

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	Sample type	Sample reference
0.1		ES	1

Pass/Fail (X) Pass
() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plot 161 & 162

Hole ID	Plot 163
Plot No./Position	Plot 163
Date of Hole	16/01/2023, 12:55
Hole Type	HP
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.869" N 1° 2' 1.821" W
Final Depth	0.2

Ground Conditions

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
0	0.2	Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional rootlets

Samples

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	Sample type	Sample reference
0.15		ES	1

Pass/Fail (X) Pass
() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description		Plot 163
Hole ID	Plot 165	
Plot No./Position	Plot 165	
Date of Hole	16/01/2023, 12:58	
Hole Type	HP	
Hole Location	50° 54' 14.95" N 1° 2' 1.491" W	
Final Depth	0.2	
Ground Conditions		
1 record		
Top Depth	Base Depth	General description of stratum
0	0.2	Brown gravelly CLAY with occasional rootlets

Samples

1 record

Top Depth	Base Depth	Sample type	Sample reference
0.1		ES	1

Pass/Fail (X) Pass
() Fail

Photo of Spoil



Photo of hole with tape in place



Photographs

1 record

Photograph



Description

Plots 164 and 165

Approved By

 Fiona Goode

fiona.goode@gesl.net

APPENDIX C

Geochemical Laboratory Results





Unit A2
Windmill Road
Ponswood Industrial Estate
St Leonards on Sea
East Sussex
TN38 9BY
Telephone: (01424) 718618

cs@elab-uk.co.uk
info@elab-uk.co.uk

THE ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY LTD

Analytical Report Number: 22-43556

Issue: 1

Date of Issue: 13/10/2022

Contact: Fiona Goode

Customer Details: GESL
Unit 7
Danworth Farm
Hurstpierpoint
West Sussex BN6 9GL

Quotation No: Q22-02720

Order No: PO-5747

Customer Reference: GE19455

Date Received: 28/09/2022

Date Approved: 13/10/2022

Details: Woodcroft Farm

Approved by:

Tim Reeve, Quality Officer

Any comments, opinions or interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation (Accreditation Number 2683)

This report may only be reproduced in full



Sample Summary

Report No.: 22-43556, issue number 1

Elab No.	Client's Ref.	Date Sampled	Date Scheduled	Description	Deviations
295277	Plot 145 0.25	26/09/2022	28/09/2022	Silty loam	
295278	Plot 147 0.10	26/09/2022	28/09/2022	Silty loam	
295279	Plot 162 0.15	26/09/2022	28/09/2022	Silty loam	
295280	Plot 164 0.60	26/09/2022	28/09/2022	Silty loam	

Results Summary

Report No.: 22-43556, issue number 1

ELAB Reference	295277	295278	295279	295280
Customer Reference				
Sample ID				
Sample Type	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
Sample Location	Plot 145	Plot 147	Plot 162	Plot 164
Sample Depth (m)	0.25	0.10	0.15	0.60
Sampling Date	26/09/2022	26/09/2022	26/09/2022	26/09/2022

Determinand	Codes	Units	LOD				
Soil sample preparation parameters							
Moisture Content	N	%	0.1	58.9	12.7	10.8	13.1
Material removed	N	%	0.1	24.6	< 0.1	< 0.1	31.4
Description of Inert material removed	N		0	Stones	None	None	Stones
Metals							
Arsenic	M	mg/kg	1	8.6	9.1	7.9	15.2
Beryllium	U	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Cadmium	M	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Chromium	M	mg/kg	5	20.5	18.2	14.9	26.2
Copper	M	mg/kg	5	13.0	12.6	10.1	23.4
Lead	M	mg/kg	5	27.2	24.7	24.2	50.7
Mercury	M	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Nickel	M	mg/kg	5	15.6	11.9	11.1	31.1
Selenium	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Vanadium	M	mg/kg	5	28.3	29.9	26.5	42.5
Zinc	M	mg/kg	5	44.4	40.6	37.4	92.1
Anions							
Water Soluble Chloride	M	mg/kg	40	< 40	< 40	< 40	< 40
Water Soluble Sulphate	M	g/l	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.10
Inorganics							
Carbonate	N	%	0.1	n/t	n/t	0.8	n/t
Elemental Sulphur	M	mg/kg	20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
Hexavalent Chromium	N	mg/kg	0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8
Total Sulphide	N	mg/kg	2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2
Total Cyanide	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Acid Soluble Sulphate (SO ₄)	U	%	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.08
Water Soluble Boron	N	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Miscellaneous							
Electrical Conductivity (CaSO ₄ extract)	N	uS/cm	50	n/t	n/t	2050	n/t
Carbon Nitrogen Ratio	N	ratio	0.1	n/t	n/t	17.2050	n/t
Loss on Ignition	M	%	0.01	n/t	n/t	4.78	n/t
pH	M	pH units	0.1	8.1	8.0	7.8	8.5
Density	N	g/ml	0	n/t	n/t	1.08	n/t
Total Carbon	N	%	0.01	n/t	n/t	2.8	n/t
Total Organic Carbon	N	%	0.01	0.95	1.1	1.1	0.62
Total Nitrogen	N	%	0.01	n/t	n/t	0.16	n/t
Extractable Potassium	N	mg/l	20	n/t	n/t	143	n/t
Extractable Magnesium	N	mg/l	20	n/t	n/t	78	n/t
Extractable Phosphate	N	mg/l	1	n/t	n/t	39	n/t
Sand content	NS	%	1	n/t	n/t	45	n/t
Silt content	NS	%	1	n/t	n/t	35	n/t
Clay content	NS	%	1	n/t	n/t	20	n/t
Stones > 2mm	NS	%	1	n/t	n/t	4	n/t
Stones > 20mm	NS	%	1	n/t	n/t	16	n/t
Stones > 50mm	NS	%	1	n/t	n/t	< 1	n/t
Total Visible Contaminants	N	%	0.01	n/t	n/t	< 0.01	n/t
Plastics	N	%	0.01	n/t	n/t	< 0.01	n/t
Sharps	N	n/kg	0	n/t	n/t	0	n/t
Phenols							
Total Phenols	N	mg/kg	6	< 6	< 6	< 6	< 6



Results Summary

2683

Report No.: 22-43556, issue number 1

ELAB Reference	295277	295278	295279	295280
Customer Reference				
Sample ID				
Sample Type	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
Sample Location	Plot 145	Plot 147	Plot 162	Plot 164
Sample Depth (m)	0.25	0.10	0.15	0.60
Sampling Date	26/09/2022	26/09/2022	26/09/2022	26/09/2022

Determinand	Codes	Units	LOD				
Polyaromatic hydrocarbons							
Naphthalene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Acenaphthylene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Acenaphthene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Fluorene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Phenanthrene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Anthracene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Fluoranthene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3
Pyrene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3
Benzo(a)anthracene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.2
Chrysene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.2
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.2
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	M	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3
Total PAH(16)	M	mg/kg	0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	2.4
BTEX							
Benzene	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
Toluene	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
Ethylbenzene	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
Xylenes	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
MTBE	U	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
TPH CWG							
>C5-C6 Aliphatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
>C6-C8 Aliphatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
>C8-C10 Aliphatic (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
>C10-C12 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
>C12-C16 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
>C16-C21 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
>C21-C35 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	M	mg/kg	1	2.2	< 1.0	< 1.0	3.2
>C35-C40 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.7
Total aliphatic hydrocarbons (>C5 - C40) (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	3.3	1.2	1.2	5.5
>C5-C7 Aromatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
>C7-C8 Aromatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
>C8-C10 Aromatic (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
>C10-C12 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
>C12-C16 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
>C16-C21 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.4
>C21-C35 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	M	mg/kg	1	12.2	4.8	4.6	17.6
>C35-C40 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	M	mg/kg	1	3.7	1.3	1.3	8.4
Total aromatic hydrocarbons (>C5 - C40) (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	18.0	6.9	6.7	29.5
Total petroleum hydrocarbons (>C5 - C40) (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_Total)	N	mg/kg	1	21.3	8.1	7.8	35.0



Unit A2, Windmill Road, Ponswood Industrial Estate, St Leonards on Sea, East Sussex, TN38 9BY

Tel: +44 (0)1424 718618, Email: info@elab-uk.co.uk, Web: www.elab-uk.co.uk

Results Summary

Report No.: 22-43556, issue number 1

Asbestos Results

Analytical result only applies to the sample as submitted by the client. Any comments, opinions or interpretations (marked #) in this report are outside UKAS accreditation (Accreditation No2683). They are subjective comments only which must be verified by the client.

Elab No	Depth (m)	Clients Reference	Description of Sample Matrix #	Asbestos Identification	Gravimetric Analysis Total (%)	Gravimetric Analysis by ACM Type (%)	Free Fibre Analysis (%)	Total Asbestos (%)
295277	0.25	Plot 145	Brown Sandy Soil,Stones	No asbestos detected	n/t	n/t	n/t	n/t
295278	0.10	Plot 147	Brown Sandy Soil,Stones,Brick	No asbestos detected	n/t	n/t	n/t	n/t
295279	0.15	Plot 162	Brown sandy Soil,Stones	No asbestos detected	n/t	n/t	n/t	n/t
295280	0.60	Plot 164	Brown sandy Soil,Stones	No asbestos detected	n/t	n/t	n/t	n/t

Method Summary

Report No.: 22-43556, issue number 1

Parameter	Codes	Analysis Undertaken On	Date Tested	Method Number	Technique
Soil					
Acid neutralisation capacity	N	Air dried sample	29/09/2022		
Extractable cations - BS3882	N	Air dried sample	03/10/2022		ICPMS
Visible Contaminants	N		29/09/2022		
Sulphide	N	As submitted sample	30/09/2022	109	Colorimetry
Hexavalent chromium	N	As submitted sample	29/09/2022	110	Colorimetry
pH	M	Air dried sample	30/09/2022	113	Electromeric
Electrical conductivity of soil	N	Air dried sample.	03/10/2022	114	Electromeric
Acid Soluble Sulphate	U	Air dried sample	30/09/2022	115	Ion Chromatography
Aqua regia extractable metals	M	Air dried sample	29/09/2022	118	ICPMS
Phenols in solids	N	As submitted sample	29/09/2022	121	HPLC
Elemental Sulphur	M	Air dried sample	29/09/2022	122	HPLC
Loss on ignition at 450 deg C	M	Air dried sample	30/09/2022	129	Gravimetry
PAH (GC-FID)	M	As submitted sample	29/09/2022	133	GC-FID
Extr. Phos	N	Air dried sample	03/10/2022	140	ICPMS
Water soluble anions	M	Air dried sample	29/09/2022	172	Ion Chromatography
Low range Aliphatic hydrocarbons soil	N	As submitted sample	03/10/2022	181	GC-MS
Low range Aromatic hydrocarbons soil	N	As submitted sample	03/10/2022	181	GC-MS
BTEX in solids	M	As submitted sample	03/10/2022	181A	GC-MS
Water soluble boron	N	Air dried sample	29/09/2022	202	Colorimetry
Total cyanide	M	As submitted sample	29/09/2022	204	Colorimetry
Total organic carbon/Total sulphur	N	Air dried sample	30/09/2022	210	IR
TPH CWG soil by gc-gc	M	As submitted sample	29/09/2022	271	
Asbestos identification	U	Air dried sample	05/10/2022	280	Microscopy

Tests marked N are not UKAS accredited

Report Information

Report No.: 22-43556, issue number 1

Key

U	hold UKAS accreditation
M	hold MCERTS and UKAS accreditation
N	do not currently hold UKAS accreditation
^	MCERTS accreditation not applicable for sample matrix
*	UKAS accreditation not applicable for sample matrix
S	Subcontracted to approved laboratory UKAS Accredited for the test
SM	Subcontracted to approved laboratory MCERTS/UKAS Accredited for the test
NS	Subcontracted to approved laboratory. UKAS accreditation is not applicable.
I/S	Insufficient Sample
U/S	Unsuitable sample
n/t	Not tested
<	means "less than"
>	means "greater than"
LOD	LOD refers to limit of detection, except in the case of pH soils and pH waters where it means limit of discrimination. Soil sample results are expressed on an air dried basis (dried at < 30°C), and are uncorrected for inert material removed. ELAB are unable to provide an interpretation or opinion on the content of this report. The results relate only to the sample received. PCB congener results may include any coeluting PCBs Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request Unless otherwise stated, sample information has been provided by the client. This may affect the validity of the results.

Deviation Codes

a	No date of sampling supplied
b	No time of sampling supplied (Waters Only)
c	Sample not received in appropriate containers
d	Sample not received in cooled condition
e	The container has been incorrectly filled
f	Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to receipt)
g	Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to analysis)

Where a sample has a deviation code, the applicable test result may be invalid.

Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of one month
 All water samples will be retained for 7 days following the date of the test report
 Charges may apply to extended sample storage

TPH Classification - HWOL Acronym System

HS	Headspace analysis
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons - i.e. everything extracted by the solvent
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by florisil, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics only
AR	Aromatics only
2D	GC-GC - Double coil gas chromatography
#1	EH_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EH_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - underscore to separate acronyms (exception for +)
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total
MS	Mass Spectrometry



Unit A2
Windmill Road
Ponswood Industrial Estate
St Leonards on Sea
East Sussex
TN38 9BY
Telephone: (01424) 718618

cs@elab-uk.co.uk
info@elab-uk.co.uk

THE ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY LTD

Analytical Report Number: 23-45987

Issue: 1

Date of Issue: 08/02/2023

Contact: Fiona Goode

Customer Details: GESL
Unit 7
Danworth Farm
Hurstpierpoint
West Sussex BN6 9GL

Quotation No: Q22-03510

Order No: PO-6059

Customer Reference: GE19455

Date Received: 18/01/2023

Date Approved: 08/02/2023

Details: Woodcroft

Approved by: 

Mike Varley, General Manager

Any comments, opinions or interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation (Accreditation Number 2683)

This report may only be reproduced in full



Sample Summary

Report No.: 23-45987, issue number 1

Elab No.	Client's Ref.	Date Sampled	Date Scheduled	Description	Deviations
308381	Plot 161 0.10	16/01/2023	18/01/2023	Sandy silty loam	
308382	Plot 163 0.15	16/01/2023	18/01/2023	Sandy silty loam	
308383	Plot 165 0.10	16/01/2023	18/01/2023	Sandy silty loam	

Results Summary

Report No.: 23-45987, issue number 1

ELAB Reference				308381	308382	308383
Customer Reference						
Sample ID						
Sample Type				SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
Sample Location				Plot 161	Plot 163	Plot 165
Sample Depth (m)				0.10	0.15	0.10
Sampling Date				16/01/2023	16/01/2023	16/01/2023
Determinand	Codes	Units	LOD			
Soil sample preparation parameters						
Moisture Content	N	%	0.1	18.3	20.5	19.5
Material removed	N	%	0.1	5.1	5.3	4.2
Description of Inert material removed	N		0	Stones	Stones	Stones
Metals						
Arsenic	M	mg/kg	1	9.6	n/t	8.5
Beryllium	U	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
Cadmium	M	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.5	n/t	< 0.5
Chromium	M	mg/kg	5	15.5	n/t	14.4
Copper	M	mg/kg	5	12.1	12.4	13.7
Lead	M	mg/kg	5	23.4	n/t	24.3
Mercury	M	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.5	n/t	< 0.5
Nickel	M	mg/kg	5	12.6	11.6	11.7
Selenium	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
Vanadium	M	mg/kg	5	27.8	n/t	24.8
Zinc	M	mg/kg	5	42.8	39.2	49.1
Anions						
Water Soluble Chloride	M	mg/kg	40	< 40	n/t	< 40
Water Soluble Sulphate	M	g/l	0.02	0.03	n/t	0.03
Inorganics						
Carbonate	N	%	0.1	n/t	4.0	n/t
Elemental Sulphur	M	mg/kg	20	< 20	n/t	< 20
Hexavalent Chromium	N	mg/kg	0.8	< 0.8	n/t	< 0.8
Total Sulphide	N	mg/kg	2	< 2	n/t	< 2
Total Cyanide	M	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
Acid Soluble Sulphate (SO4)	U	%	0.02	0.03	n/t	0.05
Water Soluble Boron	N	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.5	n/t	0.6
Miscellaneous						
Electrical Conductivity (CaSO4 extract)	N	uS/cm	50	n/t	1970	n/t
Carbon Nitrogen Ratio	N	ratio	0.1	n/t	18.0504	n/t
Loss on Ignition	M	%	0.01	n/t	4.14	n/t
pH	M	pH units	0.1	8.2	9.5	8.5
Density	N	g/ml	0	n/t	1.24	n/t
Total Carbon	N	%	0.01	n/t	2.4	n/t
Total Organic Carbon	N	%	0.01	1.4	n/t	1.3
Total Nitrogen	N	%	0.01	n/t	0.13	n/t
Extractable Potassium	N	mg/l	20	n/t	194	n/t
Extractable Magnesium	N	mg/l	20	n/t	54	n/t
Extractable Phosphate	N	mg/l	1	n/t	89	n/t
Total Visible Contaminants	N	%	0.01	n/t	< 0.01	n/t
Plastics	N	%	0.01	n/t	< 0.01	n/t
Sharps	N	n/kg	0	n/t	0	n/t

Results Summary

Report No.: 23-45987, issue number 1

ELAB Reference				308381	308382	308383
Customer Reference						
Sample ID						
Sample Type				SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
Sample Location				Plot 161	Plot 163	Plot 165
Sample Depth (m)				0.10	0.15	0.10
Sampling Date				16/01/2023	16/01/2023	16/01/2023
Determinand	Codes	Units	LOD			
Phenols						
Total Phenols	N	mg/kg	6	< 6	n/t	< 6
Polyaromatic hydrocarbons						
Naphthalene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	< 0.02
Acenaphthylene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	< 0.02
Acenaphthene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	< 0.02
Fluorene	US	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	< 0.02
Phenanthrene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	< 0.02
Anthracene	US	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	0.02
Fluoranthene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	0.04
Pyrene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	0.03
Benzo(a)anthracene	US	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	0.03
Chrysene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.03	n/t	< 0.03
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	0.03
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	MS	mg/kg	0.03	< 0.03	n/t	< 0.03
Benzo(a)pyrene	US	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	0.03
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	0.02
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	< 0.02
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	MS	mg/kg	0.02	< 0.02	n/t	< 0.02
Total PAH(16)	NS	mg/kg	0.34	< 0.34	n/t	< 0.34

Results Summary

Report No.: 23-45987, issue number 1

ELAB Reference				308381	308382	308383
Customer Reference						
Sample ID						
Sample Type				SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
Sample Location				Plot 161	Plot 163	Plot 165
Sample Depth (m)				0.10	0.15	0.10
Sampling Date				16/01/2023	16/01/2023	16/01/2023
Determinand	Codes	Units	LOD			
BTEX						
Benzene	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	n/t	< 10.0
Toluene	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	n/t	< 10.0
Ethylbenzene	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	n/t	< 10.0
Xylenes	M	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	n/t	< 10.0
MTBE	U	ug/kg	10	< 10.0	n/t	< 10.0
TPH CWG						
>C5-C6 Aliphatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	n/t	< 0.01
>C6-C8 Aliphatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	n/t	< 0.01
>C8-C10 Aliphatic (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C10-C12 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C12-C16 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C16-C21 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C21-C35 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	1.5
>C35-C40 Aliphatic (EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
Total aliphatic hydrocarbons (>C5 - C40) (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AL)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	1.9
>C5-C7 Aromatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	n/t	< 0.01
>C7-C8 Aromatic (HS_1D_MS)	N	mg/kg	0.01	< 0.01	n/t	< 0.01
>C8-C10 Aromatic (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C10-C12 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C12-C16 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C16-C21 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	< 1.0
>C21-C35 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	1.7
>C35-C40 Aromatic (EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	1.3
Total aromatic hydrocarbons (>C5 - C40) (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_AR)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	3.2
Total petroleum hydrocarbons (>C5 - C40) (HS_1D_MS+EH_2D_Total)	N	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	n/t	5.1



2683

Unit A2, Windmill Road, Ponswood Industrial Estate, St Leonards on Sea, East Sussex, TN38 9BY

Tel: +44 (0)1424 718618, Email: info@elab-uk.co.uk, Web: www.elab-uk.co.uk

Results Summary

Report No.: 23-45987, issue number 1

Asbestos Results

Analytical result only applies to the sample as submitted by the client. Any comments, opinions or interpretations (marked #) in this report are outside UKAS accreditation (Accreditation No2683). They are subjective comments only which must be verified by the client.

Elab No	Depth (m)	Clients Reference	Description of Sample Matrix #	Asbestos Identification	Gravimetric Analysis Total (%)	Gravimetric Analysis by ACM Type (%)	Free Fibre Analysis (%)	Total Asbestos (%)
308381	0.10	Plot 161	Brown Soil, Stones, Clinker, Organics	No asbestos detected	n/t	n/t	n/t	n/t
308383	0.10	Plot 165	Brown Soil, Stones, Clinker, Brick	No asbestos detected	n/t	n/t	n/t	n/t

Method Summary

Report No.: 23-45987, issue number 1

Parameter	Codes	Analysis Undertaken On	Date Tested	Method Number	Technique
Soil					
Acid neutralisation capacity	N	Air dried sample	23/01/2023		
Extractable cations - BS3882	N	Air dried sample	23/01/2023		ICPMS
Visible Contaminants	N		19/01/2023		
Sulphide	N	As submitted sample	24/01/2023	109	Colorimetry
Hexavalent chromium	N	As submitted sample	23/01/2023	110	Colorimetry
pH	M	Air dried sample	24/01/2023	113	Electromeric
Electrical conductivity of soil	N	Air dried sample.	24/01/2023	114	Electromeric
Acid Soluble Sulphate	U	Air dried sample	23/01/2023	115	Ion Chromatography
Aqua regia extractable metals	M	Air dried sample	23/01/2023	118	ICPMS
Phenols in solids	N	As submitted sample	23/01/2023	121	HPLC
Elemental Sulphur	M	Air dried sample	20/01/2023	122	HPLC
Loss on ignition at 450 deg C	M	Air dried sample	24/01/2023	129	Gravimetry
PAH (GC-FID)	N	As submitted sample	20/01/2023	133	GC-FID
Extr. Phos	N	Air dried sample	23/01/2023	140	ICPMS
Water soluble anions	M	Air dried sample	20/01/2023	172	Ion Chromatography
Low range Aliphatic hydrocarbons soil	N	As submitted sample	22/01/2023	181	GC-MS
Low range Aromatic hydrocarbons soil	N	As submitted sample	22/01/2023	181	GC-MS
BTEX in solids	M	As submitted sample	22/01/2023	181A	GC-MS
Water soluble boron	N	Air dried sample	20/01/2023	202	Colorimetry
Total cyanide	M	As submitted sample	23/01/2023	204	Colorimetry
Total organic carbon/Total sulphur	N	Air dried sample	23/01/2023	210	IR
TPH CWG soil by gc-gc	N	As submitted sample	19/01/2023	271	
Asbestos identification	U	Air dried sample	26/01/2023	280	Microscopy

Tests marked N are not UKAS accredited

Report Information

Report No.: 23-45987, issue number 1

Key

U	hold UKAS accreditation
M	hold MCERTS and UKAS accreditation
N	do not currently hold UKAS accreditation
^	MCERTS accreditation not applicable for sample matrix
*	UKAS accreditation not applicable for sample matrix
S	Subcontracted to approved laboratory UKAS Accredited for the test
SM	Subcontracted to approved laboratory MCERTS/UKAS Accredited for the test
NS	Subcontracted to approved laboratory. UKAS accreditation is not applicable.
I/S	Insufficient Sample
U/S	Unsuitable sample
n/t	Not tested
<	means "less than"
>	means "greater than"

LOD LOD refers to limit of detection, except in the case of pH soils and pH waters where it means limit of discrimination.
Soil sample results are expressed on an air dried basis (dried at < 30°C), and are uncorrected for inert material removed.
ELAB are unable to provide an interpretation or opinion on the content of this report.
The results relate only to the sample received.
PCB congener results may include any coeluting PCBs
Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request
Unless otherwise stated, sample information has been provided by the client. This may affect the validity of the results.

Deviation Codes

a	No date of sampling supplied
b	No time of sampling supplied (Waters Only)
c	Sample not received in appropriate containers
d	Sample not received in cooled condition
e	The container has been incorrectly filled
f	Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to receipt)
g	Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to analysis)

Where a sample has a deviation code, the applicable test result may be invalid.

Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of one month
All water samples will be retained for 7 days following the date of the test report
Charges may apply to extended sample storage

TPH Classification - HWOL Acronym System

HS	Headspace analysis
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons - i.e. everything extracted by the solvent
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by florisil, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics only
AR	Aromatics only
2D	GC-GC - Double coil gas chromatography
#1	EH_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EH_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - underscore to separate acronyms (exception for +)
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total
MS	Mass Spectrometry