
Site Address: 58 Silvester Road, Waterlooville, PO8 8TS
Proposal: Fell 4No Scots Pine (T1, T2, T3 and T4) subject to TPO group order 0788 (resubmission).
Application Type: Works to TPO Tree
Application No: APP/24/00631 Expiry Date: 15/10/2024
Applicant: Mrs Redman
Agent: Mr Wade Fazackarley Case Officer: Tree Officer
Michael Reed & Co Tree Service Ltd
Ward: Hart Plain

Recommendation: **REFUSE TPO CONSENT**

1 Site Description

58 Silvester Road, Waterlooville, PO8 8TS

2 Planning History

03/62949/003 - Single storey front extension to existing garage and new roof to existing garage (resubmission). , PERM,07/03/2003
02/62949/001 - Crown reduce the longest lateral branch extending north by 3m on the 2nd Pine in from Glenwood Gardens (within G1) subject to TPO 0788 and deadwood all trees in group., PERM,05/11/2002
02/62949/002 - Single storey front extension to existing garage., REF,26/11/2002
97/62949/000 - Single storey rear extension and detached garage to front of property, REF,13/01/1998
09/62949/004 - Crown lift by 1m and crown clean 4No. Scots Pine trees subject to TPO 0788., PERM,07/09/2009
APP/11/01467 - Fell 2No. Scots Pine trees within Group 1 of TPO 0788. , REF,23/01/2012
APP/22/00515 - Fell 4 no. Scots Pine (T1, T2, T3 and T4) subject to TPO group order 0788., REF,06/09/2022
APP/23/00176 - Fell 4 no. Scots Pine (T1, T2, T3 and T4) subject to TPO group order 0788 (resubmission)., REF,06/06/2023

3 Proposal

Fell 4No Scots Pine (T1, T2, T3 and T4) subject to TPO group order 0788 (resubmission).

4 Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) March 2011

DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Havant Borough Local Plan (Allocations) July 2014

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.
Conservation Area: Not applicable.

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations
Councillor Ann Briggs

Councillor E Shimbart - Hart Plain

Councillor James Blades

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 10

Number of site notices: Not applicable.

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 3

Comment	Officer Comment
See representation in Documents	Noted

7 Planning Considerations

- 7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

- 7.2 My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

- 7.3 The report relates specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail.

- 7.4 The trees in question make a positive contribution to the street scene and offer public amenity value from two separate roads. Reasons provided for the removal are due to the tree species not being suitable for the location and a management plan would not be suitable to the tree species; In regard to this the trees are located in the rear of the garden and it is their size and growth pattern that offers the the public amenity in this instance.
- 7.5 The trees have previously been managed to with works granted consent in 2009 (09/62949/004) and 2002 (02/62949/001). Their continual management is considered reasonable for these trees in their location.
- 7.6 The trees are visible from surrounding public areas and form part of the wider tree collective, making an important contribution to the landscape character, having an important amenity value.
- 7.7 There are reports of trees causing damage to neighbours' properties, this is a civil matter that should be addressed via mediation between all parties.

8 **Conclusion**

Recommend Refuse

9 **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Executive Head of Place be authorised to **REFUSE TPO CONSENT** for application APP/24/00631 for the following reasons

- 1 The trees are a significant feature in the local landscape and appears to be in adequately sound and healthy condition. The proposed felling would result in undue loss and detriment to the visual amenities of the locality. [A more appropriate course of action would be through management of the tree's size and impact through a scheme of approved surgery.] It is therefore contrary to policy DM8 of the Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework.
- 2 The trees contain a degree of deadwood and one hanging brance, it would be advisable to have this checked by a qualified tree surgeon and then manage under the Dead or Dangerous exemption without the need for application.