

Objection to Dormer roof extension (to the west)

Effect on daylight, privacy and outlook.

1) The proposed new dormer blocks daylight [redacted]

The proposed first floor dormer extends west [redacted] blocking daylight [redacted]

This will also block light [redacted] . This is unacceptable.

2) The proposed new dormer removes all privacy [redacted] .

The proposed dormer plan of west facing side windows removes all privacy [redacted] . This directly overlooks all property access [redacted]

The dormer being so close and the angle from the west facing windows would have direct view [redacted]

All these issues arising from the west facing windows, [redacted]

This west facing window should be removed and be replaced with a solid wall if the dormer is approved. The existing west window plan is not acceptable.

3) The proposed dormer rear window facing south is already higher [redacted]

Privacy would be severely compromised forever and keeping curtains closed all the time reducing daylight to maintain privacy [redacted] is not acceptable. The planned dormer window to the rear needs to be removed and replaced with a solid wall if the dormer is approved.

4) Does the proposed dormer extension exceed the building regulations for percent of dormer sq ft that can be built on a property of this size; given that there was an approved dormer application in 1990:

Loft conversion and dormer construction in west elevation.

130 Southwood Road, Hayling Island, Hampshire, PO119QH

Ref. No: 90/53983/002 | Received: Tue 13 Feb 1990 | Validated: Wed 14 Feb 1990 | Status: Decided

5) The proposed balcony design in principle is acceptable provided the existing house foundation footprint is not extended to the rear. All properties have a straight-line foundation footprint to the south, to ensure daylight, privacy and outlook.

The new wider part of the balcony needs to ensure it does not change when being constructed or change in any way from the plan. If it does it needs to be removed before final inspection approval.

130 Southwood Road balcony is higher in elevation than [redacted] to the west. This already creates an issue for privacy and outlook. The end of the new balcony [redacted] to the west, should have an "L" shaped privacy screen of 1.7m above FFL to prevent overlooking [redacted] . There should be a privacy screen on all balcony west sides for neighbour privacy.

As stated, my concerns are with the details in the plans.

Now that they have been submitted my focus is on where my Daylight, Privacy and Outlook are being seriously compromised. Especially as the elevation of 130 Southwood Road property is already higher [redacted] , which already has a negative impact on Daylight, Privacy and Outlook before being further compromised with the new build.