

Hi Arleta

Thank you for the email and attachments.

Having read the response to my comments, I feel that the applicant has taken into account and noted the arboricultural concerns leading to my continued objection to the development of this site.

There will be significant negative impact to the tree stock on this site should development take place; development is already agreed and there is an extant permission that I am mindful of while making my comments.

I reiterate that the Council is now in possession of an improved scheme to the original, and if this reserve matters application is approved the site will be in a better position arboriculturally than with the previous submissions.

While I am not able to support this scheme due to the negative arboricultural impact of development, I can advise that the Council is now in possession of the Barrel AIA and this AIA is fully compliant with BS:5837.

To conclude I maintain my objection, although acknowledge this current scheme is an improvement on the previous - one important example is the retention of a number of mature and important Yews to the North East, where previously they were to be lost.

Should this application be minded for approval I again highlight the need to fully condition the Barrel AIA with a full AMS and supervision programme where works are being undertaken close to / or impacting on trees.

HBC Arboricultural Consultant - Object.

Regards
Jamie

Jamie Gargett MSc MICFor
Tree & Woodland Consultant.

Working on behalf of East Hampshire District Council - should you require verification please contact Natalie Meagher Director of Regulation and Enforcement.

Natalie.meagher@easthants.gov.uk