

Thank you for consulting the nutrients team.

As you will be aware, the applicant has indicated that they would like to secure credits from Chilgrove Farm as a potential mitigation scheme to mitigate the water quality impact arising out of the development. It has also been confirmed that the development site will drain to Budds Farm WWtW.

According to the list of potential mitigation schemes on the [PfSH website](#), Chilgrove has been identified as serving the Chichester Harbour Fluvial and River Lavant river catchments and being suitable to mitigate development draining to Apuldram, Thornham and Bosham WWtWs but not Budds Farm WWtW. As such, Chilgrove Farm is not considered to be a suitable mitigation scheme to mitigate the water quality impact arising out of the development. The applicant is requested to provide scientific evidence that shows that there is a proven scientific link between the development and the mitigation site. The information we would normally require is similar to that in chapter 6 of the [Warblington Farm Study](#).

If this is not available, the applicant is advised to secure alternative third party mitigation from a scheme which is identified as being suitable to mitigate development draining to Budds Farm WWtW. Further details can be found at: [Potential Nutrient Mitigation Schemes - Partnership for South Hampshire \(push.gov.uk\)](#)

In addition to the above, the applicant is requested to submit an updated European sites avoidance and mitigation checklist, and an updated nutrient budget calculator and accompanying occupancy calculator which can be found on the Council's website at: [Nutrient neutrality - what developers need to know | Havant Borough Council](#). I understand that the applicant is also aware that they will also need to submit an updated Habitat Regulations Assessment to address the matters outlined above.

Kind regards,
Jade

Jade Ellis

Principal Planning Policy Officer