

My apologies, Mark reminded me of this one on Friday afternoon. I had reviewed the application, but considered that there was no need for a substantive comment. Notes against our consultation case are as follows:

"In order for AQ to be a material consideration, +500 AADT must be expected. Dev't consists of ca. 63 additional spaces; as 2-way trips (i.e. 250 visits), an average daily turnover of 4/space/day (8 one-way trips/space/day) would be necc'y. This is unlikely. Similarly, it is questionable whether +500 AADT would be likely to lead to a significant detriment to AQ, given the typical set-back of the building line from the kerb of 8-9m, and baseline AQ likely to be <75% of the NAQS objective. +6% PEC required for minimum significance. Key loc'n 55 B'ton Rd.

On balance, not considered proportionate to require assessment - expected that capacity is required for peak demand that is unlikely to be evenly distributed across the week. +500 AADT is considered unlikely to arise (even if +500 trips arises at days of peak-demand). NAC."

In short, there is negligible risk of short-term ambient air quality standards being breached at any location in the vicinity, with or without the development. The development is not expected to result in sufficient additional local emissions so as to cause a significant deterioration in local air quality (against annual average metrics), irrespective that it is not expected that current and future air quality would exceed current standards at relevant receptors in the general vicinity of this development (school, residences etc.; with or without the proposed development).

I hope that adequately answers the query. Let me know if you need anything else.

Best Regards,

Jon

Jonathan Driver
Environmental Control Officer

Havant Borough Council Environmental Health