

We would very much appreciate it, if you could tell us whether the front of the 56 house proposal has now been altered to take the proposed 3-storey front protrusion back to the current house footprint and two-storey height, so as not to permanently extinguish our direct western sunlight into our one and only (redacted) west-facing window in our (redacted) - which is vital to us - and not extinguish our direct western sunlight into our only two west-facing windows at first floor level - the only two windows in that first floor (redacted).

We have enjoyed direct western sunlight into these three west-facing windows for 36 years and do not wish to have it taken from us, as we have said. We believe we enjoy an ancient right to this direct sunlight.

We would also appreciate knowing that the proposed 56 rear extension - immediately next to our shared property line (the open eastern terrace of 56 - open for 36 years) - which would take away and permanently extinguish all of our direct western sunlight enjoyed for 36 years from our south-facing (redacted) and ground floor windows along our south-facing side and from the entire northern part of our south-facing garden - will remain as an open terrace at 56, as it has been for 36 years, to the fence on the shared property line, and will not become an additional 56 ground floor room on that home's eastern side ground floor.

We believe we enjoy an ancient right to this direct western sunlight we have enjoyed for 36 years on our south-facing (redacted), all of our south-facing ground floor windows and rooms and the northern part of our south-facing garden..

If these two changes have not been made, then our objection to the 56 plans stands - with previous and above wording.

We look forward to hearing from you.