
Site Address:	204 Park Avenue, Waterlooville, PO7 5EZ		
Proposal:	Fell 1No. Beech tree (T1) within Area A1, subject to TPO 0685.		
Application Type:	Works to TPO Tree		
Application No:	APP/22/01156	Expiry Date:	25/01/2023
Applicant:	Mr G Wearn		
Agent:	Mr W Fazackarley	Case Officer:	Tom Gregory
Ward:	Purbrook		

Recommendation: **REFUSE TPO CONSENT**

1 Site Description

204 Park Avenue, Waterlooville, PO7 5EZ

2 Planning History

03/65842/000 - Application to crown raise to 8m on northern sector an Ash tree, and to crown reduce lower limb by 3-4m of another Ash tree (within woodland W1) subject to TPO 0685, PERM,06/03/2003

07/65842/001 - Tree works., UNK,

07/65842/002 - Crown reduce by 30% and remove 3 lower limbs to a Beech tree on the eastern sector subject to TPO 0685. , PERM,28/09/2007

07/65842/003 - Fell 2No. Sycamore trees, a Beech tree and 2No. Beech saplings Remove lower limb of an Oak tree and boundary prune, all within Area 1 Subject to TPO 0685., PERM,18/12/2007

GEN/11/00528 - Dangerous tree, NPW,22/02/2012

APP/14/01022 - Reduction of overhanging limbs of 3No. Sycamore (to rear of 48 Stakes Road) and 1No. Ash (to rear of 50 Stakes Road) by 4m back to boundary within A1 of TPO 0685., PERM,13/11/2014

GEN/17/00974 - Maintenance of trees., ,15/08/2017

APP/23/00071 - Tree works, INV,

3 Proposal

Fell 1No. Beech tree (T1) within Area A1, subject to TPO 0685.

4 Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) March 2011

DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Havant Borough Local Plan (Allocations) July 2014

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.

Conservation Area: Not applicable.

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Councillor Gary Hughes

Councillor Husky Patel

Councillor Ms C Howard - Purbrook Ward

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 12

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 1

Comment	Officer Comment
We would like to have confirmation of which tree is being removed due to the fact trees in this wooded area are under TPO. The application says the tree is not diseased or fear of fall, nor causing damage. Prior to any works carried out please inform of what tree is of poor specimen. Too many tree are being removed for unnecessary reasons which is why we requested further information and currently object to any trees being fell within this property's land.	Noted

7 Planning Considerations

7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

- Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

7.2 My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

- 7.3 The tree in question makes a positive contribution to local amenity. Reasons provided for the proposed work relate to it being a poor specimen and proximity to a neighbouring property. No written evidence from an arboriculturalist of any structural defects or physiological issues has been submitted that might justify the proposals. The loss of this tree will have a negative impact on the local amenity.

8 **Conclusion**

Refuse

9 **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Head of Neighbourhood Support be authorised to **REFUSE TPO CONSENT** for application APP/22/01156 for the following reason

- 1 The tree is a significant feature in the local landscape and appears to be in adequately sound and healthy condition. Its proposed felling would result in undue loss and detriment to the visual amenities of the locality. [A more appropriate course of action would be through management of the tree's size and impact through a scheme of approved surgery.] It is therefore contrary to policy DM8 of the Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework.