

Hayward, David

Subject: FW: Community objection to Planning proposal.

From: leighann downing <leighannantonia@hotmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2023 5:09:13 PM

To: Robinson, Gwen <gwen.robinson@havant.gov.uk>

Subject: Community objection to Planning proposal.

CAUTION: This email came from outside of the council - only open links and attachments that you're expecting.

Blue Star Land
Waterlooville.
Application reference: APP/23/00471

Good afternoon.

My name is Leigh-Ann and I live in Evergreen Close, Waterlooville and have done for 30 years.

I am emailing you in regards to objecting to the planning proposal of the above land.
I received a letter a few weeks ago advising of the proposal of this tiny land to be turned into 90 dwellings.

This plot of land has not been touched for over 20 years. In this amount of time nature has taken up residence in this patch and is completely thriving, It's been confirmed there are several different species nesting in this area, such as Badgers, hedgehogs, deers, bats etc.

This is a green space where children walk with their families and enjoy the very little nature we have left within this area.

I have contacted the local wildlife sanctuary for Badgers who are currently looking into this as the proposal has stated these will be destroyed, as a protected species I will be the voice they don't have.

I'm completely outraged that we are so clearly happy to destroy every last bit of nature for the own greed of others. The future generations deserve to play in the outdoors/ countryside like I did when I was a child.

The public have lost all faith in councils as it's very clear they do not care or listen.

I have gone to Social Media to make this topic as hot as possible, which it has resulting in currently 30+ objections online.

Without me spreading these news on social media, the community would not of known of these works as letters haven't even been sent to the residents at Forest End and Evergreen Close. These residents have been living in these houses for 40 years plus.

I will be protesting and getting more objections from the local community and neighbourhood by door knocking and I am contacting all councillors.

I will do everything in my power to get this stopped and I would appreciate your support and help the local community.

There are a number of grounds I object to the applications. These have also been mentioned by other residents online.

1. Wildlife, although a study has taken place some of the results do not support local residents evidence such as deer using the area, summer visits by swifts etc. A more detailed investigation and request of evidence from residents needed.
2. Schooling, the current primary school on site is already over subscribed and the new school is not designated to be build and ready until 2025. These are primarily family homes and there does not appear to be enough investigation into this.
3. Traffic, the stated figure of 49 additional vehicles during peak travel time is an obvious under calculation. The majority of people drive and if they have to take children further for schooling this will only increase the need to drive in this time.
4. Noise pollution, the current residents have had years of disruption due to on-going building work. Another building site on their doorstep, along with the associated parking and noise will cause many additional distress as well as reducing their ability to enjoy their own home environments. This will also increase the general noise level around the area once occupied.
5. Air quality, the removal of a large quantity of vegetation and therefore its natural purification properties will affect the general air quality. With the traffic that will come from the Bloor development of Larkfield and this, along with pollutants produced from home will make this a highly built up area. this has the potential to cause health issues for all residents.
6. Health Service Provision, some of the local surgeries are not accepting new patients. There are no local NHS dentist and limited support services. As the new (replacement) health centre within the Berewood develop has not even a planned start date and this in reality is only a replacement for current existing services where are the planned residents for this going to receive health care?
7. Access, this is via an already busy road that has encountered many parking issues to date. These will only become worse. Additionally, emergency vehicle access is designed at one point to be via bollards which have proven in the past to be troublesome and again has the potential to risk life. Also the open area will need access to ensure it is maintained, what measures are in place to restrict traveller access as this is an existing issue within the estate.
8. Parking, according to the submitted plans and HBC own rules the allocated parking would need to be as follows:
1 bed - 39 properties x 1 space = 39 spaces
2 bed - 41 properties x 2 spaces = 82 spaces
3 bed - 9 properties x 2 spaces = 18 spaces
4 bed - 1 property x 3 spaces = 3 spaces
Therefore, there is a total of 142 spaces needed which may fit but at present does not appear to be fully allocated. Additionally, using this logic it would appear that HBC expect that amount of vehicles once full occupancy is achieved and therefore 49 vehicles during peak times seems low.
All these objections have been raised by other residents in different forms and this is without considering items such as water and sewage, internet provision, electrical provision and more.

Thank you for taking the time to read my email.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

Leigh-Ann