

Comments on APP/22/00940 - 56 Warblington Road proposal

Good Monday morning, Joseph Toole,

Just to say, we had another very concerned look and another measure of the 56 proposal from our home's perspective yesterday.

We certainly believe that the proposed rear extension - south by 5.7 meters (18 feet 7 inches) from the 56 house, with a roof ridge height of about 13 feet – [redacted text] - and three stories' height - in the middle- will absolutely take too much of our western sunlight, just as the proposed three story front extension northwards of the current house footprint by 10 feet will take all of our western sunlight from our three west-facing windows.

We thank you for the consideration you are giving to our comments.

We thank you for placing our comments on the public file for us, as we have not been able to get "the system" to work for us.

Please acknowledge that you have received and have filed all of our email comments.

Please advise us asap of the changes you and your team are able to accomplish to greatly reduce the proposed severe impact the 56 proposals would have on our rights to retain our western sunlight.

Many thanks,

[Redacted text]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [Redacted text]

Date: Sun, Jan 29, 2023 at 2:03 PM

Subject: Re: Comments on APP/22/00940 - 56 Warblington Road proposal

To: Toole, Joseph <joseph.toole@havant.gov.uk>

Hello, Joseph Toole,

Thank you very much for coming by to see the 56 development proposals [redacted text].

Thank you for understanding that we shall lose all of our western sunlight into our west-facing windows if the current 56 house footprint proposal to extend by roughly 10 feet north to accommodate a different stairwell, plus having the height of this 10 foot extension beyond the current 56 footprint change the current ground/first floor level to second floor level goes forward.

We believe that unless these proposals are trimmed back, at the very least, to current north footprint of 56 that there will be no sun in our ONLY ONE ground floor west-facing window and no sun in one of our ONLY TWO first floor west-facing windows, which is why we must object.

At the rear of the 56 property, it seems that, while the wall/building extension - [redacted text] - proposed is at ground level with a flat roof, and not at two-storey, as was first stated, it still will severely impact our western sunlight onto our verandah, into rooms and onto our garden, which is why we must object to the proposed filling of what has been open space [redacted text].

We enjoyed meeting you and telling you of the history [redacted text], and of the people who owned our house at the time, [redacted text].

The then [redacted text] owners were allowed to build 56 in their land way too close [redacted text], which is the source of the current problems.

It is not the fault of the new owners of 56, whom we wish well with their proposed changes or "updates," as they call them.

We came close to not buying [redacted text] because of the 56 house position, but we were assured that it could not be extended to the north or south, given there is so much land to the west in the very large 56 plot.

The owner of the entire [redacted text] property built 56 for himself and moved there when he sold 54 to us in 1987.

The next part of the story of all those years ago, is that the then 54 owner teamed up with the 46 owner and they developed plans for three chalet bungalows at the end of the created-by-them Curlew Close in then 54 land and for 3 to 5 additional chalet bungalows in the 46 property, which is currently of similar size to the old 54. We have been trying to register to get the current plans [redacted text] but - so far - have not had success.

Additionally, we would like to know about the planned solar panels proposed for 56.

We are concerned about possible impossible glare from these into our garden and beyond.

Similar panels have been mounted in the immediate area, which can be almost blinding when reflecting the sun at various levels.

We would like to know if these proposed panels will be mounted flush to the roofs or whether they will be tilted up, which would cause more glare?

We would like to know if surfaces will be black or reflective?

If they are proposed to be reflective, we must object for the reasons stated.

Yours is not an easy job. We appreciate your thoroughness of approach and willingness to visit and go through the proposed plans for 56.

As we say, we want our new neighbours to make their new home their own.

We just do not want to lose our prized western sunlight in our three west-facing windows.

And we do not want to lose our prized western sunlight on to our verandah, into our south-facing windows and garden.

Thank you for considering our needs as well as those of 56.

Best regards,

[Redacted text]