

It seems that the 56 plans are virtually the same - regarding the 56 proposals to extinguish our rights to direct western sunlight - both front and rear - that we have enjoyed for 36 years..

Could you please provide the reasoning for the planning positions

+ to not keep the proposed 56 front extension within the current 56 house footprint of the last 36 years and

+ to allow the proposed 56 front roof of the last 36 years to go higher?

Could you please explain planning position reasoning for allowing the proposed 56 rear east side ground floor room and roof to fill the open space immediately adjacent to (redacted) - filling the open outdoor terrace that has allowed (redacted) to enjoy direct western sunlight on its south-facing (redacted), and in its south-facing rooms and in the northern part of its garden for the last 36 years?

Was there no consideration of our early suggestion that 56 consider extension on the western side of their home for all the extra room they require? If not, why not?

As we said from the beginning, we want our new neighbours to make their new home their own, but not at the expense of our rights to direct western sunlight that we have enjoyed in our home for the last 36 years.

Thanks for your assistance.