

Recommendation: **GRANT TPO CONSENT**

02/66620/000 - Application for the retention of timber decking and jetty groyne to rear (north) boundary of property fronting Chichester Harbour., PERM,16/10/2002
06/66620/001 - Tree works., UNK,
APP/13/00954 - Crown reduce and reshape 1No. Copper Beech (T4) by upto 2.9m, crown lift by 5m over highway and crown lift the remainder of the canopy by 4.2m. Reduce canopy to allow 2m clearance from dwelling subject of TPO 1311., PERM,24/10/2013
APP/18/00143 - Condition 3 - Please see Site plan ref: SPSS which provides details of the site contractors parking, office, material storage compound, Harris Fencing and WC. Condition 4 - Please see Site plan ref: SPSS which provides details of Storm and Foul Drainage. In addition the following information is provided: Storm water drainage North elevation soak away 2m³, filled with 40mm aggregate rejects to take storm water. All pipework 100mm underground polypipe surrounded with 300mm pea shingle. South elevation soak away 1.5m³, filled with 40mm aggregate rejects to take storm water. All pipework 100mm underground polypipe surrounded with 300mm pea shingle. Foul Drainage 100mm underground polypipe, surrounded with 300mm pea shingle with falls 1:60 to terminate at 1650 into existing main foul sewer. Condition 5 - In addition to physical samples already submitted to the council below is a list of proposed construction materials: Ash down face brick - external dwarf walls Lightweight 100mm insulating concrete blocks for internal and external walls Double glazed windows, bifold doors, and roof windows; aluminium frame, colour anthracite grey Roof covering supplied by Tata Steel, anthracite grey; downpipes, guttering, fascias to match roof covering supplied by same. Sample submitted to HBC, plus illustration example 19.01.18 Larch wood, vertical cladding to exterior face above dwarf wall. Sample submitted to HBC 19.01.18 Driveway finish; pea shingle Disabled access path to property front entrance from front boundary gate; 900mm wide, resin, smooth finish Front door hardwood and double glazed unit Boundary wall is Ashdown face brick Condition 7 - Please see Site plan ref: SPSS - boundaries will be constructed using: Timber Hoarding 2.4m height Hedge Flower/Shrub border

Condition 14 - Please see submitted Construction Environmental Management Plan.,
UNK,

3 Proposal

1No.Oak (T1 on plan) - reduce height by 1.5m and reduce width of all sectors in by 1.5m (existing height 10m new height 8.5 existing width 15m new width 8.5) and crown raise off road by 5m; 1No. Oak (T2 on plan) - reduce height by 1.5m reduce width by 1.5m (existing height 10m new height 8.5 existing width 8m new width 8.5), subject to TPO 1311.

4 Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) March 2011

DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Havant Borough Local Plan (Allocations) July 2014

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.

Conservation Area: Not applicable.

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Chichester Harbour Conservancy

Councillor Leah Turner - Hayling East

Councillor M Wilson - Hayling West Ward

Councillor R Raines - Hayling East

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 6

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

Comment	Officer Comment

7 **Planning Considerations**

7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

- Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

7.2 My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

7.3 This application relates to proposed works to trees subject to a Tree Preservation Order. It will not be possible to site view these trees before the application expiry date. I have only been able to look at T1 on google StreetView, this is a modest oak, not an outstanding example. The work proposed is minor – 1.5m reduction all round and in my view the visual impact on the locality will be minor and there will be no adverse effect on the health and viability of the trees long term. There a discrepancy with the reduction of width description. I am taking 1.5m to refer to reduction of the radius, making 3m reduction of the diameter (width). The width is being quoted as 15m being reduced to 8.5m but the reduction proposed, if I have understood it correctly, would reduce the width from 15m to 12m. Having said that, the 1.5m reduction in radius (all round) is reasonable and not excessive by any standards.

8 **Conclusion**

The work is considered appropriate and proportional, therefore permission should be granted.

9 **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Head of Neighbourhood Support be authorised to **GRANT TPO CONSENT** for application APP/20/01169

(A)

(B) for the following reasons/subject to the following condition.

- 1 The tree works for which consent is hereby granted shall not be carried out otherwise than in full accordance with all relevant content of BS 3998 (2010).
Reason: In the interests of tree health and safety.
- 2 The tree works for which consent is hereby granted shall be carried out and completed no later than 29/01/2022
Reason: To control the timing of the works