

HAVANT BOROUGH COUNCIL

Town & Country Planning Act 1990

Consultation on Planning Application

MEMORANDUM

From:	Environmental Health	To:	Development Control
Our Ref:	CONS/20/02441	Your Ref:	APP/20/00285
EH Case Officer Extn:	44 6677	Date:	23 September 2020
DC Case Officer:	Daphney Haywood		
DC Case Officer Extn:	44 6513		
Application Number:	APP/20/00285		
Site Address:	Recreation Ground, Hobby Close, Wecock, Waterlooville		
Proposed Development:	Land raising of the recreation ground at Hobby Close Field using inert clean soil material to solve inherent drainage problems in ground and provision of sports field. Temporary construction routes from Eagle Avenue to the east and north of the site. These works would also allow for new play area and skate park to replace the existing ones on site (the play area and skate park works do not require planning permission).		

Observations / Comments:

I have reviewed the additional information, and I note the upheld objections of Portsmouth Water & the Environment Agency.

I find no additional information within the submissions that would materially alter my previous comments (CONS/20/01731, 23/07/2020).

Contamination / Pollution Risk

With respect to objections, I would reiterate the comments regarding the obligation of the LPA imposed by para. 183.

Construction Traffic Emissions

I am unclear as to how the Highways consultee has confirmed HGV movements required; the additional information provided appears to relate to access arrangements only. I note that the transport requirements noted (4 deliveries/day, 4 month period), it appears that the consultee may have confused 'per hour' for 'per day'.

Assuming the 6-month construction period is correct, and considering the 8 cu.m maximum vehicle load capacity; import of 11,200 cu.m would require an average of 8 one-way (16 two way) trips/day. This is comfortably below the level where air quality might be expected to be a material concern (in respect of vehicle emissions).

Having re-considered the HGV traffic generation potential of this development based upon the total import need (as opposed to a 'maximum-import-per-day' allowable with a 4 deliveries/hr.

model), I am satisfied that no significant emissions issues are likely to arise.

Conclusion

Environmental Health raises no objection to the proposed development.

Mr. Jonathan Driver
Environmental Control Officer