

Flood Risk Assessment

Proposed Residential Development at

Land at Cottage Farm, Lovedean Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville

On behalf of

Bargate Homes Limited

September 2020

Document History and Status

Project Number 23246

Date	Version	Prepared By	Reviewed By	Approved By
22 Jan 2020	1.0	Steve Burgess HNDipp.	Steve Doughty Director	Stuart Magowan IEng MICE
27 Jan 2020	1.1	Steve Burgess HNDipp.	Steve Doughty Director	Stuart Magowan IEng MICE
28 Jan 2020	1.2	Steve Burgess HNDipp.	Steve Doughty Director	Stuart Magowan IEng MICE
6 May 2020	2.0	Steve Burgess HNDipp.	Steve Doughty Director	Stuart Magowan IEng MICE
12 May 2020	2.1	Steve Burgess HNDipp.	Steve Doughty Director	Stuart Magowan IEng MICE
8 September 2020	2.2	Steve Burgess HNDipp.	Steve Doughty Director	Stuart Magowan IEng MICE

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services for The Civil Engineering Practice's appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of the appointment. It is addressed to and for the sole use and reliance of The Civil Engineering Practice's client. The Civil Engineering Practice accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, stated in the document, for which it was prepared and provided. No person other than the client may copy (in whole or in part) use or rely on the contents of this document, without the prior written permission of The Civil Engineering Practice.

Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. In preparing this document, information and advice may have been sought from third parties. The Civil Engineering Practice cannot be held liable for the accuracy of third party information.

The information contained within this document takes precedence over that contained within any previous version.



CONTENTS

1	Non Technical Summary	1
2	Planning Policy Context	2
2.1	National Planning Policy Framework	2
2.1	Lead Local Flood Authority	3
2.2	Local Planning Authorities	3
2.3	East Hampshire District Council	3
2.4	East Hampshire District Council Policy	3
2.5	Havant Borough Council	5
2.6	Havant Borough Council Policy	5
3	Existing Site	7
3.1	Site Location	7
3.2	Site Description	7
3.3	Existing Drainage	8
3.4	Geology and Groundwater	9
4	Flood Zone and Flood History	10
4.1	Flood Zone	10
4.2	Flood History	10
5	Flooding Potential	11
5.1	Tidal Flooding	11
5.2	Fluvial Flooding	11
5.3	Groundwater Flooding	11
5.4	Overland Flow	11
5.5	Flood Routing	13
6	Development Proposals	14
6.1	Description	14
6.2	Drainage Strategy	14
6.3	Water Quality	17
7	Safe Development	18
7.1	Flood Zone Compatibility	18
7.2	Risk to Others	18
8	Conclusions	19
9	List of Appendices, Images and Tables	20

1 Non Technical Summary

- 1.1 This Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework on behalf of Bargate Homes Limited in support of an Outline Planning Application for the construction of up to 56 residential properties with associated access roads, car parking and landscaping on land southwest of Lovedean Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville, Hampshire.
- 1.2 This Assessment is to be read in conjunction with all planning, architectural and other reports that accompany the Planning Application for the proposed development.
- 1.3 The site is located in Flood Zone 1.
- 1.4 The proposed development will incorporate a sustainable drainage system which will discharge surface water at a restricted rate into the surrounding public surface water sewers and provide storage for all storm return periods up to and including the 1:100 year rainfall event with an allowance for climate change.
- 1.5 Foul drainage for the development will drain via gravity into the existing Southern Water public foul sewer.
- 1.6 This report concludes that the site is not at risk of flooding from tidal or fluvial sources, overland flows or groundwater.
- 1.7 In terms of flood risk the proposed development is suitable at this location.

2 Planning Policy Context

2.1 National Planning Policy Framework

2.1.1 The National Planning Policy Framework was updated in February 2019.

2.1.2 With regard to planning and flood risk the policy framework states that *'when determining any planning applications, local planning authorities should ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere. Where appropriate, applications should be supported by a site-specific flood-risk assessment.*

Development should only be allowed in areas at risk of flooding where, in the light of this assessment (and the sequential and exception tests, as applicable) it can be demonstrated that:

- a) within the site, the most vulnerable development is located in areas of lowest flood risk, unless there are overriding reasons to prefer a different location;*
- b) the development is appropriately flood resistant and resilient;*
- c) it incorporates sustainable drainage systems, unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate;*
- d) any residual risk can be safely managed; and*
- e) safe access and escape routes are included where appropriate, as part of an agreed emergency plan.'*

2.1.3 With regard to major developments the NPPF states that *'major developments should incorporate sustainable drainage systems unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate. The systems used should:*

- a) take account of advice from the lead local flood authority;*
- b) have appropriate proposed minimum operational standards;*
- c) have maintenance arrangements in place to ensure an acceptable standard of operation for the lifetime of the development; and*
- d) where possible, provide multifunctional benefits'*

2.1.4 Major development is defined as follows:

'For housing, development where 10 or more homes will be provided, or the site has an area of 0.5 hectares or more. For non-residential development it means additional floorspace of 1,000m² or more, or a site of 1 hectare or more, or as otherwise provided in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.'

2.1 Lead Local Flood Authority

2.1.1 Hampshire County Council became a Lead Local Flood Authority under the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 and was given a series of new responsibilities to coordinate the management of local flood risk.

2.1.2 As part of its role Hampshire County Council has commissioned and produced the following documents:

- Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment – January 2016
- Local Flood Risk Management Strategy – July 2013

2.1.3 The above documents have been reviewed in the preparation of this report.

2.2 Local Planning Authorities

2.2.1 The majority of the site is located within the East Hampshire District Council local authority with a small section along the eastern site boundary located within the Havant Borough Council local authority.

2.3 East Hampshire District Council

2.3.1 East Hampshire District Council has commissioned and produced the East Hampshire Level 1 SFRA – October 2018 which has been reviewed in the preparation of this report.

2.4 East Hampshire District Council Policy

2.4.1 The East Hampshire District Local Plan: Joint Core Strategy was adopted on 8 May 2014.

2.4.2 The following policies are of specific relevance to this Flood Risk Assessment.

2.4.3 **CP25** Flood Risk states that *'development in areas at risk of flooding, now and in the future, as identified on the latest Environment Agency flood risk maps and the Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will be permitted provided that:*

- a) it meets the sequential and exception test (where required) as outlined in Government guidance;*
- b) a site-specific flood risk assessment demonstrates that the development, including the access, will be safe without increasing flooding elsewhere, and where possible, will reduce flood risk overall;*
- c) the scheme incorporates flood protection, flood resilience and resistance measures appropriate to the character and biodiversity of the area and the*

specific requirements of the site;

- d) appropriate flood warning and evacuation plans are in place; and*
- e) new site drainage systems are designed taking account of events which exceed the normal design standard.*

All development will be required to ensure that there is no net increase in surface water run off. Priority will be given to incorporating SUDs (Sustainable Drainage Systems) to manage surface water drainage, unless it can be demonstrated that SUDs are not appropriate. Where SUDs are provided, arrangements must be put in place for their whole life management and maintenance.

Specific areas in the District, which overlay the Chalk geology, can be prone to groundwater flooding as shown on the Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment maps. Rivers in East Hampshire which are sourced in the chalk area are the River Meon, River Wey and Lavant Stream, and thus groundwater fed. Development should be avoided in areas at risk from, susceptible to, or have a history of groundwater flooding. If this is not possible then the development should be designed to incorporate flood resistance and resilience measures.'

2.4.4 CP26 Water Resources/Water Quality states that 'development will be required to protect the quality and quantity of water, and make efficient use of water. Development will be permitted provided that:

- a) it protects and enhances the quality and quantity of groundwater, surface water features and controls aquatic pollution to help to achieve the requirements of the European Water Framework Directive;*
- b) it has an adequate means of water supply (even in a drought), sufficient foul and surface water drainage and adequate sewage treatment capacity. Development must be phased to take into account the timing of any water and/or wastewater infrastructure required which must be in place prior to the occupation of development. The developer must show that additional provision or improvement of local infrastructure is required and demonstrate that adequate funding is available for that infrastructure in advance of development taking place;*
- c) demand management technologies are incorporated to meet the appropriate levels of the Code for Sustainable Homes as set out in Policy CP24.*

Development within Groundwater Source Protection Zones will only be permitted provided that it has no adverse impact on the quality of the groundwater source or a risk to its ability to maintain a public water supply.

Proposals by service providers for the delivery of wastewater services to meet the needs generated by new development and by existing communities will be encouraged and/or permitted, subject to other relevant policies.

The Council and National Park Authority have a duty to take account of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) objectives. Any development which will impact on a known water body will be required to seek out opportunities to introduce mitigation and enhancement measures to help ensure the objectives of the WFD are met. The site identified for the Havant Thicket reservoir will be safeguarded from development (see Map 3).'

2.5 Havant Borough Council

2.5.1 Havant Borough Council has commissioned and produced the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (Local Plan Sites) – November 2018 which has been reviewed in the preparation of this report.

2.5.2 Havant Borough Council belongs to the Partnership for Urban South Hampshire who commissioned a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Update – February 2016 which was produced by the Eastern Solent Coastal Partnership and which has been reviewed in the preparation of this report.

2.6 Havant Borough Council Policy

2.6.1 The Havant Borough Council Local Plan: Core Strategy was adopted in March 2011.

2.6.2 The following policies are of specific relevance to this Flood Risk Assessment.

2.6.3 **CS15** Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk states that *'development in areas at risk of flooding now and in the future as identified on the latest Environment Agency flood risk maps and Strategic Flood Risk Assessment climate change maps will only be permitted where:*

- 1) It meets the sequential and exception test (where required) in relation to PPS25.*
- 2) The site is located in a low hazard area as defined in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.*
- 3) A site-specific flood risk assessment demonstrates that the development will be safe, including the access, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall.*

- 4) *The scheme incorporates flood protection, flood resilience and resistance measures appropriate to the character and biodiversity of the area and the specific requirements of the site.*
- 5) *Appropriate flood warning and evacuation plans are in place.*
- 6) *New site drainage systems are designed taking account of events which exceed the normal design standard.*

All development will be required to ensure that there is no net increase in surface water run off. Priority should be given to incorporating Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) to manage surface water drainage, unless it is proven that SuDS are not appropriate. Where SuDs are provided arrangements must be put in place for their whole life management and maintenance.

The council will work with partners to implement the Coastal Policy Zones in the North Solent Shoreline Management Plan to ensure that development avoids areas at risk from coastal erosion and coastal flooding and that areas required to offset coastal squeeze and prevent habitat fragmentation, to allow species to adapt to climate change, are identified and protected from development.'

2.6.4 DM10 Pollution states that '*development that may cause pollution of water, air or soil or pollution through noise, smell, smoke, fumes, gases, steam, dust, vibration, light, heat, electromagnetic radiation and other pollutants will only be permitted where all of the following relevant criteria can be met:*

- 1) *The health and safety of existing and future users of the site, or nearby occupiers and residents is not put at risk.*
- 2) *National air quality standards or objectives would not be breached.*
- 3) *The water environment would not be detrimentally affected.*
- 4) *It would not lead to an unacceptable deterioration in the quality or potential yield of coastal, surface and ground water resources.*
- 5) *External lighting is of the minimum level of illumination and duration required for security and operational purposes.*
- 6) *External lighting would not interfere with safe navigation in either Chichester or Langstone Harbours and other coastal locations.*

3 Existing Site

3.1 Site Location

- 3.1.1 The development site is located on land southwest of Lovedean Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville at Ordnance Survey Reference SU 685 125. The nearest postcode is PO8 9RP.



Image 1: Site Location

- 3.1.2 The site is bounded to the north by the Phase 1 Norton Heights residential development, to the east by existing residential dwellings along Lovedean Lane, to the west by agricultural farmland and to the south by the existing residential Ashley Close and James Copse Road.
- 3.1.3 A copy of the site location plan is located in Appendix 1 at the rear of this report.
- #### 3.2 Site Description
- 3.2.1 The site is approximately 2.6ha in area and currently comprises undeveloped agricultural land and fields.
- 3.2.2 Existing ground levels are highest at the northwest corner of the site with levels at approximately 71m AOD. The site falls eastward to a low point of approximately 58m AOD.
- 3.2.3 A copy of the existing site layout plan is located in Appendix 2 at the rear of this report.

3.3 Existing Drainage

- 3.3.1 The site currently has no positive surface water or foul water drainage infrastructure.
- 3.3.2 Rainfall on the site currently discharges in part to ground and in part as overland greenfield runoff to the east of the site.
- 3.3.3 The existing greenfield runoff rate has been established using the HR Wallingford tool for Greenfield runoff estimation.
- 3.3.4 Q_{bar} has been established at 0.53l/s and the 1:100 year peak runoff at 1.68l/s
- 3.3.5 The equivalent greenfield runoff rates are 0.20l/s/ha and 0.65l/s/ha respectively.



Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Calculated by: Steve Burgess
Site name: Norton Heights
Site location: Lovedean Lane

Site Details
Latitude: 50.90855° N
Longitude: 1.02651° W
Reference: 850652819
Date: Jan 09 2020 12:52

This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C763 (Ciria, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may be the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

Runoff estimation approach IH124

Site characteristics

Total site area (ha): 2.6

Methodology

Q_{BAR} estimation method: Calculate from SPR and SAAR
SPR estimation method: Calculate from SOIL type

Soil characteristics

	Default	Edited
SOIL type:	1	1
HOST class:	N/A	N/A
SPR/SPRHOST:	0.1	0.1

Hydrological characteristics

	Default	Edited
SAAR (mm):	820	820
Hydrological region:	7	7
Growth curve factor 1 year:	0.85	0.85
Growth curve factor 30 years:	2.3	2.3
Growth curve factor 100 years:	3.19	3.19
Growth curve factor 200 years:	3.74	3.74

Greenfield runoff rates

	Default	Edited
Q_{BAR} (l/s):	0.53	0.53
1 in 1 year (l/s):	0.45	0.45
1 in 30 years (l/s):	1.21	1.21
1 in 100 year (l/s):	1.68	1.68
1 in 200 years (l/s):	1.97	1.97

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and license agreement at www.uksuds.com and also a disclaimer at www.uksuds.com. The output from this tool is an estimate of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these rates in the report is for the use of the tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEN, Hydrological Services or any other organisation for the use of the data in the design or operational outcome of any drainage scheme.

Notes

(1) Is $Q_{BAR} < 2.0$ l/s/ha?

When Q_{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha

(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 l/s?

Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.

(3) Is SPR/SPRHOST ≤ 0.3 ?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Image 2: Greenfield Runoff Calculation

- 3.3.6 To the east of the site, beneath Lovedean Lane, there is a 300mm diameter Southern Water public surface water sewer flowing north to south.
- 3.3.7 To the south of the site, beneath Ashley Close and James Copse Road, there is a 225mm diameter Southern Water public surface water sewer flowing north to south.
- 3.3.8 Along the eastern boundary of the site there is a 300mm Southern Water public foul sewer flowing north to south which continues along the eastern side of James Copse Road.
- 3.3.9 A copy of the sewer records is located in Appendix 3 at the rear of this report.

3.4 Geology and Groundwater

- 3.4.1 A Desk Study Report was undertaken by Wilson Bailey Geotechnical & Environmental in January 2020.
- 3.4.2 The report identifies that the site is underlain by a junction between clay and sand soils of the Lambeth Group overlying the chalk soils of the Tarrant Chalk.
- 3.4.3 The site's geological characteristics present a risk of chalk solution and database searches undertaken within the report have also indicated numerous chalk solution features within the site and surrounding area.
- 3.4.4 Preliminary discussions regarding surface water and geology and the risk of solution features have been held with Hampshire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority, the Environment Agency and Portsmouth Water.
- 3.4.5 These discussions concluded that due to the risk of solution features within the chalk substrata infiltration to ground will not be a suitable form of surface water disposal.
- 3.4.6 The online "Magic Map" available from Defra confirms that the site is located above a principal aquifer.
- 3.4.7 The Environment Agency indicates that the site is located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone Class 1 associated with a public drinking water abstraction owned and operated by Portsmouth Water.
- 3.4.8 Extracts from the Wilson Bailey Geotechnical & Environmental Desk Study Report are located in Appendix 4 at the rear of this report.
- 3.4.9 A copy of correspondence and minutes of the meetings held with Hampshire County Council as Local Lead Flood Authority, the Environment Agency and Portsmouth Water are located in Appendix 5 at the rear of this report

4 Flood Zone and Flood History

4.1 Flood Zone

- 4.1.1 The Environment Agency's online mapping confirms that the site is located in Flood Zone 1 and is not at risk of flooding from rivers or the sea from anything less extreme than a 1:1,000 year flood event.

4.2 Flood History

4.2.1 Environment Agency

- 4.2.1.1 The Environment Agency maps of historic flood incidents do not identify any incidents of flooding in the locality of the site.

4.2.2 Hampshire County Council

- 4.2.2.1 Neither the Local Flood Risk Management Strategy dated July 2013 nor the Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment dated January 2016 identify any specific flood incidents in the immediate vicinity of the site.

4.2.3 East Hampshire District Council

- 4.2.3.1 The East Hampshire Level 1 SFRA dated October 2018 identifies a single instance of flooding along Lovedean Lane in January 2013. The document identifies the cause of the flood as being blocked highway drainage.

4.2.4 Havant Borough Council

- 4.2.4.1 Neither the Havant Borough Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (Local Plan Sites) dated November 2018 nor the Partnership for Urban South Hampshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Update dated February 2016 identify any specific flood incidents in the immediate vicinity of the site.

- 4.2.5 Copies of the available flood maps and correspondence are located in Appendix 6 at the rear of this report.

5 Flooding Potential

5.1 Tidal Flooding

- 5.1.1 The site is located approximately 13.5km from the coast at an elevation of 70m AOD and is not at risk of tidal flooding.

5.2 Fluvial Flooding

- 5.2.1 The area of the proposed site is within Flood Zone 1 and is not at risk of fluvial flooding from anything less extreme than a 1:1,000 year flood event.

5.3 Groundwater Flooding

- 5.3.1 The East Hampshire Level 1 SFRA dated October 2018 identifies the site as having a 'Limited potential for groundwater flooding to occur'.
- 5.3.2 There are no records identified within Hampshire County Council's Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment or Local Flood Risk Management Strategy of groundwater flooding affecting the site.

5.4 Overland Flow

- 5.4.1 The Environment Agency has undertaken modelling of surface water flood risk at a national scale and produced mapping identifying and classifying those areas at risk of surface water flooding as:
- 3.33% annual probability (1 in 30 year), 'high'
 - 1% annual probability (1 in 100 year), 'medium'
 - 0.1% annual probability (1 in 1,000 year) 'low'
- 5.4.2 The flood mapping contained within the various flood documents produced by Hampshire County Council do not identify any flood risk associated with overland flow routes.
- 5.4.3 The East Hampshire Level 1 SFRA dated October 2018 identifies small, isolated areas of the site, which have no apparent flow route to or from each location, at high risk of surface water flooding.
- 5.4.4 The Environment Agency maps identify the site access located on the northeast site boundary as being at high surface water flood risk with potential depths of up to 900mm.
- 5.4.5 There is no corroboration between the area noted at risk of flooding on the Environment Agency's surface water flood map and the actual surveyed site and carriageway levels of Lovedean Lane. We note that the Environment Agency Surface Water flood maps are not based on site specific data and in this instance

the area noted as having a surface water flood risk is at a higher ground level than the site and carriageway levels to the south with direct flow paths to the lower levels available. The site and carriageway to the south are not indicated to be at surface water flood risk on the Environment Agency mapping.

- 5.4.6 The existing carriageway of Lovedean Lane falls north to south at this location from a level of approximately 59.540m AOD adjacent to the site entrance. At the extent of the site survey to the south the carriageway has fallen to a level of 57.320m AOD and continues to fall to the south along Lovedean Lane.
- 5.4.7 With reference to the existing topography within the site, it is anticipated that there is potential for 130mm depth of surface water ponding approximately 20m into the site access road at the existing low point. However this would not prevent vehicular access or egress to the site or be an area that could support up to 900mm of surface water as suggested by the Environment Agency mapping.
- 5.4.8 As part of the design proposals the site access road will be raised and constructed with a straight gradient to eliminate the existing low point and any surface water flow path into the site.
- 5.4.9 There is also no evidence from Lead Local Flood Authority, Local Authority drainage records or Environment Agency records other than the disputed Environment Agency mapping to suggest flooding from surface water will occur at the proposed site entrance.
- 5.4.10 We have noted the existing ground levels at the proposed site entrance and along Lovedean Lane together with an indication of the flood routing on drawing 50 located in Appendix 7 at the rear of this report.

5.5 Flood Routing

- 5.5.1 The existing natural route for flood waters to dissipate, should any event occur on the site, is towards the eastern site boundary.
- 5.5.2 The proposed route for any exceedance flows to dissipate will mimic the existing situation.




Image 3: Local Topography

- 5.5.3 Copies of plans identifying the existing and proposed flood routing are located in Appendix 7 at the rear of this report.

6 Development Proposals

6.1 Description

6.1.1 The development proposals are for the construction of up to 56 residential dwellings with associated access roads, car parking and landscaping.

6.1.2 An indicative site layout plan is located in Appendix 8 at the rear of this report.

6.2 Drainage Strategy

6.2.1 A preliminary surface water drainage system has been designed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the accompanying Guidance and Technical Standards for SuDS. The design also complies with the requirements under Building Regulations Part H.

6.2.2 For surface water discharge, the drainage hierarchy notes the following list of drainage options in order of preference:

1. Infiltration to ground
2. Discharge to a watercourse
3. Discharge to a surface water sewer
4. Discharge to a foul water sewer

6.2.3 Due to the site's geological characteristics presenting a risk of chalk solution features, infiltration of surface water to ground has been ruled out as an option in agreement with Hampshire County Council, the Environment Agency and Portsmouth Water.

6.2.4 There are no watercourses within the vicinity of the site and therefore the proposed surface water drainage strategy is to discharge surface water at a restricted rate to the existing Southern Water surface water sewers in the vicinity of the site and provide storage to accommodate a 1:100 year storm event including an additional 40% to account for the predicted effects of future climate change.

6.2.5 The estimated impermeable areas for various elements of the development are as follows:

- Residential Dwellings
 - 2,790m² Roof Area
 - 2,800m² Parking / Driveways
- Onsite Access Roads / Footways
 - 4,555m² Total

6.2.6 As previously noted, infiltration is not a suitable means of discharging surface water at this location and given the concern about potential solution features surface water from paths and patios will be intercepted and positively drained rather than allowed to run off into gardens and verges. An additional 20m² of impermeable per dwelling has been included within the calculations to allow for this.

6.2.7 The total impermeable area of the development is anticipated to be approximately 11,085m². An additional 10 percent impermeable area has been included within the design calculations a 12,575 m².

6.2.8 Surface water drainage for the development will be divided into three main systems. System 1 will serve the northern area of the development. System two will serve the low level section of site access road at the entrance to the development. System 3 will serve the southern area of the development.

6.2.9 As previously noted the greenfield Q_{bar} runoff rate is 0.2l/s/ha which equates to an equivalent greenfield runoff rate for the proposed impermeable area of 0.25l/s

6.2.10 The 1:00 year runoff rate is 0.65l/s/ha which equates to an equivalent greenfield runoff rate of 0.82l/s

6.2.11 Such low levels of runoff are indicative of the chalk subsoil however they are impractical and in accordance with HR Wallingford guidance a minimum restriction of 2l/s is recommended for the 1:1 year rainfall event.

6.2.12 System 1

6.2.12.1 Surface water runoff from the northern area of the development will be discharged into a crate storage system, located beneath the private driveway/car parking area at the north of the site.

6.2.12.2 Surface water will then be discharged, via a lined water treatment swale, into the existing public surface water sewer to the east of the site beneath Lovedean Lane.

6.2.12.3 In order to achieve a half drain time of less than 24 hours for a 1 in 100 year plus 40% storm event a restriction of 3.8l/s is proposed.

6.2.12.4 Preliminary calculations confirm a storage volume of 493m³ will be required.

6.2.12.5 This system will have an estimated half drain time of 1,221 minutes (approximately 20.4 hours).

6.2.13 System 2

6.2.13.1 Due to the steep gradient of the site a separate system is proposed to drain approximately the first 55m long section of the access road.

6.2.13.2 Surface water runoff from this area will drain through a permeable paving system incorporating a lined 30% voided subbase system and discharged into the existing public surface water sewer to the east of the site beneath Lovedean Lane at a restricted rate of 2.0l/s

6.2.13.3 A minimum of 425mm deep subbase will be required providing 22.0m³ of storage with an estimated half drain time of 100 minutes (approximately 1.7 hours).

6.2.14 System 3

6.2.14.1 Surface water runoff from the southern area of the development will be discharged into a crate storage system, located beneath the private driveway and the public open space area at the southeast corner of the site, via a lined water treatment swale.

6.2.14.2 Surface water will then be discharged into the existing public surface water sewer to the south of the site beneath James Copse Road.

6.2.14.3 In order to achieve a half drain time of less than 24 hours for a 1 in 100 year plus 40% storm event a restriction of 3.1l/s is proposed.

6.2.14.4 Preliminary calculations confirm a storage volume of 453m³ will be required.

6.2.14.5 This system will have an estimated half drain time of 1,417 minutes (approximately 23.6 hours).

6.2.15 Further information on the surface water drainage proposals will be confirmed at detailed design stage subject to further site investigations and testing.

6.2.16 Foul drainage from the development will discharge via gravity into the existing Southern Water public foul sewer which flows along the eastern boundary of the site.

6.2.17 A plan of the proposed impermeable areas is located in Appendix 9 at the rear of this report.

6.2.18 A copy of the preliminary drainage strategy plan together with copies of the preliminary storage calculations is located in Appendix 10 at the rear of this report.

6.3 Water Quality

6.3.1 The proposed development is for residential use. In accordance with CIRIA SuDS Manual 2015 (Report C753), the pollution hazard level for this type of development is between very low and low depending on the use/area of the site.

6.3.2 The surface water scheme will include mitigation to ensure that surface water is suitably treated, and any pollution risk adequately managed prior to discharge.

6.3.3 Table 26.2 in Chapter 26 of CIRIA report C753 The SuDS Manual provides Pollution Hazard Indices for varying land types. Those of relevance to the development proposals are as follows:

Land Use	Pollution hazard level	Total suspended solids (TSS)	Metals	Hydro-carbons
Residential roofs	Very Low	0.2	0.2	0.05
Individual property driveways, residential car park, low-traffic roads	Low	0.5	0.4	0.4

Table 1: Pollution Hazard Indices

6.3.4 Where multiple drainage components are used in series the individual mitigation index of secondary and tertiary components is lowered by 50% due to reduced performance associated with primary treatment.

SuDS Type	Total suspended solids (TSS)	Metals	Hydro-carbons
Swale	0.5	0.6	0.6
Permeable pavement	0.7	0.6	0.7

Table 2: Pollution Mitigation Indices

6.3.5 The proposed use of a lined water quality swale and permeable paving will meet the target treatment level required for runoff with very low to low risk of pollution hazard thus demonstrating that the surface water drainage system for the site can be designed to adequately mitigate against the risk of pollution.

6.3.6 An outline drainage maintenance schedule is located in Appendix 11 at the rear of this report.

7 Safe Development

7.1 Flood Zone Compatibility

7.1.1 The site and its wider area are in Flood Zone 1 and will remain so for the foreseeable future.

7.1.2 With reference to the Technical Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework:

- Table 2: Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification

The type of development proposed is residential and therefore classified as More Vulnerable

- Table 3: Flood Risk Vulnerability and Flood Zone Compatibility

More Vulnerable development is appropriate in Flood Zones 1 and 2

7.2 Risk to Others

7.2.1 The proposed surface water drainage system will be designed to current standards incorporating SuDS elements providing treatment, attenuation and storage which will minimise runoff leaving the site during times of heavy rain.

7.2.2 Allowance has been made for a 40% increase in rainfall intensities which accords with the latest figures published by the Environment Agency and in accordance with the requirements under the National Planning Policy Framework.

7.2.3 The proposed drainage system will incorporate sufficient treatment prior to final discharge destination thus mitigating the risk of pollution from the site.

7.2.4 The risk of surface water flooding to others due to the development proposals is negligible.

7.2.5 Sewerage undertakers have an obligation to upgrade the existing networks if a connection to an equivalent or larger sized public sewer is technically achievable.

7.2.6 The residual risk of sewer flooding from this development for the foreseeable future is therefore negligible.

8 Conclusions

- 8.1 The site is located within Flood Zone 1 and is not at risk from any source of flooding.
- 8.2 The Desk Study Report undertaken by Wilson Bailey Geotechnical & Environmental in January 2020 identifies that the site is underlain by a junction between clay and sand soils of the Lambeth Group overlying the chalk soils of the Tarrant Chalk.
- 8.3 The proposed drainage strategy is to discharge surface water at a restricted rate into the surrounding public surface water sewers beneath Lovedean Lane and James Copse Road.
- 8.4 Sufficient storage will be provided to accommodate a 1:100 year storm event including an additional 40% to account for the predicted effects of future climate change.
- 8.5 Water quality improvement will be provided to mitigate against any risk to any receiving waterbody.
- 8.6 Foul drainage will discharge by gravity into the existing public foul sewer which flows along the eastern boundary of the site.
- 8.7 There are no historic records of flooding from any source affecting the site or its immediate area.
- 8.8 The site is not at risk of flooding from tidal or fluvial sources, overland flows or groundwater.
- 8.9 In terms of flood risk planning the proposed development is safe, will not increase flood risk elsewhere and will provide improvement to immediately adjacent sites by managing surface water from all rainfall events up to the 100 year plus climate change event.
- 8.10 The development proposals are suitable at this location.

9 List of Appendices, Images and Tables


Appendix 1	Site Location Plan
Appendix 2	Existing Site Layout Plan
Appendix 3	Southern Water Sewer Records
Appendix 4	Site Investigation Extracts
Appendix 5	Correspondence and Meeting Minutes
Appendix 6	Flood Maps and Correspondence
Appendix 7	Existing and Proposed Flood Routing Plans
Appendix 8	Indicative Site Layout Plan
Appendix 9	Proposed Impermeable Area Plan
Appendix 10	Preliminary Drainage Strategy Plan and Calculations
Appendix 11	Drainage Maintenance Schedule

Image 1	Site Location
Image 2	Greenfield Runoff Calculation
Image 3	Local Topography

Table 1	Pollution Hazard Indices
Table 2	Pollution Mitigation Indices

Appendix 1

Site Location Plan




Site Location Plan Norton Heights,
Lovedean Lane, PO8 9RP,
OS Grid Reference SU 85 12

Scale 1:10000 @ A3 23246

FRA 01

Appendix 2
Existing Site Layout Plan




Listing Site Layout Plan

SCALE	1:1,000	PROJECT No.	23246
REVISION	FRA	DATE	02

Appendix 3
Southern Water Sewer Records

SEWER RECORDS PAGE 1 OF 2



O.S. REF. SU6812NE		Drawn by: gujskew	The positions of pipes shown on this plan are believed to be correct, but Southern Water Services Ltd accept no responsibility in the event of inaccuracy. The actual positions should be determined on site. WARNING: BAC pipes are constructed of Briton's Asbestos Cement WARNING: Unknown (UK) materials may include Briton's Asbestos Cement Based upon Ordnance Survey Digital Data with the permission of the controller of H.M.S.O. Crown Copyright Reserved Licence No. WU 298530.		
Title: 363085_Norton Heights	Date: 10/01/2020				

Node	Size	Material	Shape	Invert	Cover	Node	Size	Material	Shape	Invert	Cover	Node	Size	Material	Shape	Invert	Cover
0201X	61.64	150	VC	CIRC	0702X	69.15	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6200X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0204X	66.25	150	VC	CIRC	0704X	67.94	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6204X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0206X	66.86	150	VC	CIRC	0706X	70.72	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6206X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0253X	64.61	225	VC	CIRC	0801X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6253X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0254X	65.71	225	VC	CIRC	0802X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6254X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0255X	65.71	225	VC	CIRC	0803X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6255X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0301X	62.67	150	VC	CIRC	1301X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6301X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0302X	62.67	150	VC	CIRC	1302X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6302X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0303X	62.67	150	VC	CIRC	1303X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6303X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0304X	62.67	150	VC	CIRC	1304X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6304X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0350X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1350X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6350X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0401X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1401X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6401X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0402X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1402X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6402X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0403X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1403X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6403X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0404X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1404X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6404X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0405X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1405X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6405X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0406X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1406X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6406X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0407X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1407X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6407X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0408X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1408X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6408X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0409X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1409X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6409X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0410X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1410X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6410X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0411X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1411X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6411X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0412X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1412X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6412X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0413X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1413X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6413X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0414X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1414X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6414X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0415X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1415X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6415X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0416X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1416X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6416X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0417X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1417X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6417X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0418X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1418X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6418X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0419X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1419X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6419X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0420X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1420X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6420X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0421X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1421X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6421X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0422X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1422X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6422X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0423X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1423X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6423X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0424X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1424X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6424X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0425X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1425X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6425X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0426X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1426X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6426X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0427X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1427X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6427X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0428X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1428X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6428X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0429X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1429X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6429X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0430X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1430X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6430X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0431X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1431X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6431X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0432X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1432X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6432X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0433X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1433X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6433X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0434X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1434X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6434X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0435X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1435X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6435X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0436X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1436X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6436X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0437X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1437X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6437X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0438X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1438X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6438X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0439X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1439X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6439X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0440X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1440X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6440X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0441X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1441X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6441X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0442X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1442X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6442X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0443X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1443X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6443X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0444X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1444X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6444X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0445X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1445X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6445X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0446X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1446X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6446X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0447X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1447X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6447X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0448X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1448X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6448X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0449X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1449X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6449X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0450X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1450X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6450X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0451X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1451X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6451X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0452X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1452X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6452X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0453X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1453X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6453X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0454X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1454X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6454X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0455X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1455X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6455X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0456X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1456X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6456X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0457X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1457X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6457X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0458X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1458X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6458X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0459X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1459X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6459X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0460X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1460X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6460X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0461X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1461X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6461X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0462X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1462X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6462X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0463X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1463X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6463X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0464X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1464X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6464X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0465X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1465X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6465X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0466X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1466X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6466X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0467X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1467X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6467X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0468X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1468X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6468X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0469X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1469X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6469X	59.13	300	CP	CIRC	58.04	300
0470X	63.86	150	VC	CIRC	1470X	69.21	150	VC	CIRC	67.94	6470X	59.13</					

Drawn by:	guiskew
Title:	363085_Norton Heights
Date:	10/01/2020





Appendix 4
Site Investigation Extracts

Design precautions may also need to be considered for proposed drainage infrastructure for road and any areas of private drainage.

The use of soakaways cannot be incorporated into the drainage design for this site and the normal consideration of SUDs hierarchy protocols cannot be adopted at this site.

5.2 Geotechnical Risks

The site is located within a geologically compromised setting that is prone to the risk of chalk dissolution.

The site walkover and desk study have indicated the presence of likely chalk dissolution features and man-made chalk extraction related features within the site boundary and the database searches have indicated the presence of numerous chalk dissolution features in the vicinity of the site.

The presence of any such features within the site does not necessarily preclude the potential for development, although it is important that the location of any below ground anomalies and the variation of the natural and man-made geology beneath the site is robustly and appropriately assessed. Once the ground conditions have been fully assessed, the implications with regards to geotechnical stability of the ground can be determined.

Where development can be subsequently acceptable, the design of the proposed scheme will need to be carefully considered within the geotechnical parameters of the site and below ground structures may need to incorporate measures such as reinforcement and load spreading to mitigate the risks of geotechnical instability, settlement, subsidence and collapse.

It is considered that in addition to the environmental reasons noted above, for geotechnical reasons soakaways shall not form part of any development scheme at this site and precautions will be required with regards to surface drainage and infrastructure to mitigate the risks of surface water drainage further reducing the geotechnical stability of the ground at this site.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

It is considered that the site in its current state does not present any unacceptable environmental risks and that with careful and considered design, it should be possible to mitigate the identified potential future risks associated with the construction and completed occupation of the proposed residential development of this site.

Extensive further ground investigation works will be required to fully evaluate the site. These works should commence with a geophysical survey of the ground conditions that may be used to guide a subsequent physical investigation of the ground conditions by means of boreholes, dynamic probing and trial pits where appropriate.

Appendix 5
Correspondence and Meeting Minutes

Notes of Meeting

Project Norton Heights Phase 2, Lovedean
Project Number 23246
Date of Meeting 20 November 2019
Venue Portsmouth Water, Havant



The Civil Engineering Practice
11 Tungsten Building
George Street
Fishersgate
Sussex
BN41 1RA
01273 424424
reception@civil.co.uk
www.civil.co.uk

Present

Name	Company	Initials
Rachel Davies	Bargate Homes	RD
James Bedford	Bargate Homes	JB
Simon Deacon	Portsmouth Water	SD
James Deakin	Portsmouth Water	JBa
Tom Wickens	Environment Agency	TW
Stuart Magowan	The Civil Engineering Practice	SM

Distribution

All those present plus:

Name	Company	Initials
Dominic Brightman	Wilson Bailey	DB

1 Notes

- 1.1 Introductions were made, Tom Wickens dialled into the meeting and SM thanked all for attendance.
- 1.2 SM gave a brief overview of the project, confirming that we are at the early stages of the project, aiming for an outline application early in the new year for up to 70 dwellings.
- 1.3 SM confirmed that Bargate Homes developed the site immediately north of this site and during the initial works and site investigation for that site a number of historic solution features and the potential for solution features into the underlying chalk substructure were identified.



ISO
9001 : 2008
REGISTERED
Cert No: 1412/150

- 1.4 SM noted that it was anticipated that this site would have very similar restraints as the site immediately to the north and it was expected there would be the potential for solution features in the chalk underlying the site.
- 1.5 SM noted that he and RD had already met Hampshire SuDS team to discuss the site given the complexity of the site to the north.
- 1.6 RD noted that initial desktop site investigations were taking place together with a site Geophysical Survey to identify any area of the site that may require further investigation.
- 1.7 The initial desktop and geophysical investigation will provide further details and will allow the site layout to be set from the constraints found.
- 1.8 At this initial investigation stage no soakage tests were proposed. The risk of surface water discharging to ground in concentrated areas was discussed and it was agreed that undertaking soakage tests would not be appropriate on this site.
- 1.9 SM noted that both surface and foul water drainage systems were constructed in plastic pipework to allow for longer 6m pipe sections to be used which minimise the number of pipe joints reducing risk of future leakage.
- 1.10 There was a discussion on the features undertaken for the Phase 1 scheme to minimise the risk from surface water being concentrated in one place and being allowed to drain to ground during the construction and for the completed site.
 - 1.10.1 The items discussed included the use of raft foundations and draining the structural slabs, positive drainage of all paths and patios to prevent future discharge to ground and allowing collection using lined swales for surface water runoff from uphill of the site.
 - 1.10.2 The phasing of construction to undertake an enabling works to provide drained roads, and structural slabs during the summer months and ensuring filtration and SuDS drainage was constructed early to allow positive drainage throughout the construction phase.
 - 1.10.3 RD noted that most of the items worked extremely well and were aided by the early inclusion of their Groundworks Contractor in developing the plans and mitigation works.
 - 1.10.4 Feedback during the construction phase of the site to the north was generally positive. The temporary drainage, as far as possible, used the permanent drainage features with some additional temporary filtration (double bagging of road gullies for example to remove silts and construction debris). The scheme worked well and produced a dry and usable site throughout the construction period.
 - 1.10.5 The use of ductile iron watermain was one item that caused a number of issues during construction and the team felt that MDPE would have provided a more suitable option with less risk of future leakage.
 - 1.10.6 The success of the Phase 1 Construction Management Plan was noted and it was agreed that using this plan as a base and adjusting items that were less successful would be beneficial. Ideally the CMP could be submitted with any Planning Application to ensure the proposals are understood by all consultees.
- 1.11 SM noted that initial discussions with Southern Water were being held and that it was anticipated that both foul and surface water from the site would be discharged to the public sewers. Southern Water have confirmed (subject to suitable applications and negotiation) that if the connection to the public

sewer was at the same diameter pipe or smaller they would be responsible for providing suitable capacity in the downstream network.


- 1.12 SM confirmed there was a foul sewer crossing the eastern part for the site and there were surface water sewers in both Lovedean Lane to the east and in both Ashley Close and James Copse Road to the south of the site.
- 1.13 Surface water will need to be discharged at a suitably agreed greenfield run off rate and storage provided to ensure the 100 year plus climate change rainfall event can be managed on site.
- 1.14 We discussed the type of storage that could be used and this will be developed as the site progresses. The use of lined swales and ponds or lined storage crates were discussed. SM noted that lined sealed crates were used on Phase 1 which worked well. The crate system also allowed for any initial overflow from exceedance events to back up into the pipe network and onto the site carriageway. Concern was expressed over the use of a pond on site as there is a risk from exceedance flows or overtop which would be more appropriate to avoid on a site with the risk of solution features.
- 1.15 Site access is available to Lovedean Lane via an existing access track which is due to be used as the vehicular and pedestrian access for the site.
- 1.16 The site layout has not yet been established and RD confirmed that once the initial site investigation is complete and the full constraints established, works to inform and develop the site layout will progress.

2 Next Steps

- 2.1 Complete the initial site investigation, consult on any proposed further investigation.
- 2.2 Establish constraints for the site and developable areas.
- 2.3 Submit Outline Planning Application to establish principle of development.

Appendix 6
Flood Maps and Correspondence


Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea) - Centred on Norton Heights Phase 2 - Created 27 September 2019



© Crown Copyright and database rights 2019. Ordnance Survey 100024198

Contact Us: National Customer Contact Centre, PO Box 544, Rotherham, S60 1BY. Tel: 03708 506 506 (Mon-Fri 8-6). Email: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

Risk of flooding from Surface Water - Centred on Norton Heights Phase 2 - Created 27 September 2019





P08 9RP

Lovedean

James's Copse



Extent of flooding from rivers or the sea

- High
- Medium
- Low
- Very Low

PO8 9RP

Lovedean

James's Copse

Extent of flooding from reservoirs

- Maximum extent of flooding






Extent of flooding from surface water

High Medium Low Very Low




James's Copse





P08 9RP



James's Copse

Lovedean

Surface water flood risk: water depth in a high risk scenario
Flood depth (millimetres)

- Over 900mm
- 300 to 900mm
- Below 300mm




James's Copse

PO8 9RP

Lovedean

Surface water flood risk: water depth in a medium risk scenario
Flood depth (millimetres)

- Over 900mm
- 300 to 900mm
- Below 300mm



P08 9RP


Lovedean


James's Copse

Surface water flood risk: water depth in a low risk scenario

Flood depth (millimetres)

- Over 900mm
- 300 to 900mm
- Below 300mm






Appendix 7

Existing and Proposed Flood Routing Plans



LEGEND


- Contours 0.1m intervals
- Exceedance Flow Route
- Site Low Points



Existing Flood Routing Plan

Scale 1:1,000
Project No. 23246
Report Title FRA
Date 03





G Existing Gully Location
+63.260 Existing Level
 Blue Arrow Existing Flow

NOTES

1. All dimensions are to finished ground, unless otherwise stated.
2. All elevations are to finished ground, unless otherwise stated.
3. The plan is a preliminary plan and is subject to change.
4. A detailed plan of the site is available on request.
5. The plan is a preliminary plan and is subject to change.
6. A detailed plan of the site is available on request.
7. The plan is a preliminary plan and is subject to change.
8. A detailed plan of the site is available on request.
9. The plan is a preliminary plan and is subject to change.
10. A detailed plan of the site is available on request.
11. The plan is a preliminary plan and is subject to change.
12. A detailed plan of the site is available on request.


The Civil Engineering Practice
 11 Tugayon Building
 George Street
 Brisbane
 QLD 4000
 07 221 4444
 www.civileng.com.au

Burgess Horne
 NORTON THORNTON
 LAWYERS

Surface Water Flooding Overlay
 With Levels, Contours and Gully Locations

3 Burgess
 23246
 1:500 (A1)

Appendix 8
Indicative Site Layout Plan




Indicative Site Layout Plan

Scale	1:1000	Page No. of 10	23246
Project Name	FRA	Date	05
			A

Appendix 9
Proposed Impermeable Area Plan


Total Site Area	25,501m ²
Impermeable Areas	
Highway	4,555m ²
Building Roofs	2,790m ²
Driveways	2,800m ²
Paths and Patios - (An area of 20m ² has been assumed for each dwelling)	940m ²
TOTAL 11,085m ²	
Impermeable Areas plus 10% Urban Creep	
TOTAL 12,575m ²	



Title			
Proposed Impermeable Area Plan			
Whole Site			
Scale	1:1,000	Project No.	23246
Prepared By	FRA	Issue No.	06
			A

Appendix 10

Preliminary Drainage Strategy Plan and Calculations



The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 1
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020 File SYSTEM 1.SRCX	Designed by S Burgess Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Half Drain Time : 1221 minutes.

Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Control (l/s)	Max Σ Outflow (l/s)	Max Volume (m ³)	Status
15 min Summer	58.696	0.496	0.0	2.9	2.9	153.6	O K
30 min Summer	58.872	0.672	0.0	2.9	2.9	208.1	O K
60 min Summer	59.063	0.863	0.0	3.1	3.1	267.3	O K
120 min Summer	59.256	1.056	0.0	3.3	3.3	326.9	O K
180 min Summer	59.357	1.157	0.0	3.4	3.4	358.2	O K
240 min Summer	59.419	1.219	0.0	3.4	3.4	377.4	O K
360 min Summer	59.498	1.298	0.0	3.5	3.5	402.1	O K
480 min Summer	59.540	1.340	0.0	3.6	3.6	415.1	O K
600 min Summer	59.561	1.361	0.0	3.6	3.6	421.4	O K
720 min Summer	59.567	1.367	0.0	3.6	3.6	423.2	O K
960 min Summer	59.552	1.352	0.0	3.6	3.6	418.6	O K
1440 min Summer	59.500	1.300	0.0	3.5	3.5	402.7	O K
2160 min Summer	59.439	1.239	0.0	3.5	3.5	383.7	O K
2880 min Summer	59.384	1.184	0.0	3.4	3.4	366.7	O K
4320 min Summer	59.275	1.075	0.0	3.3	3.3	332.8	O K
5760 min Summer	59.172	0.972	0.0	3.2	3.2	301.0	O K
7200 min Summer	59.076	0.876	0.0	3.1	3.1	271.2	O K
8640 min Summer	58.985	0.785	0.0	3.0	3.0	243.1	O K
10080 min Summer	58.900	0.700	0.0	2.9	2.9	216.7	O K
15 min Winter	58.757	0.557	0.0	2.9	2.9	172.4	O K

Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m ³)	Discharge Volume (m ³)	Time-Peak (mins)
15 min Summer	125.952	0.0	157.1	23
30 min Summer	85.839	0.0	214.2	37
60 min Summer	55.837	0.0	278.6	68
120 min Summer	34.983	0.0	349.1	126
180 min Summer	26.151	0.0	391.9	186
240 min Summer	21.135	0.0	422.3	246
360 min Summer	15.668	0.0	469.7	364
480 min Summer	12.647	0.0	505.4	482
600 min Summer	10.701	0.0	512.0	602
720 min Summer	9.329	0.0	514.7	722
960 min Summer	7.505	0.0	520.1	918
1440 min Summer	5.511	0.0	528.8	1146
2160 min Summer	4.037	0.0	725.9	1540
2880 min Summer	3.232	0.0	774.9	1960
4320 min Summer	2.357	0.0	847.9	2772
5760 min Summer	1.886	0.0	903.4	3624
7200 min Summer	1.587	0.0	951.4	4400
8640 min Summer	1.378	0.0	990.9	5192
10080 min Summer	1.224	0.0	1026.2	6048
15 min Winter	125.952	0.0	176.0	23

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 2
11 Tungsten Building	Norton Heights	
George Street	Lovedean Lane	
Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File SYSTEM 1.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Control (l/s)	Max E Outflow (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status
30 min Winter	58.955	0.755	0.0	2.9	2.9	233.9	O K
60 min Winter	59.171	0.971	0.0	3.2	3.2	300.8	O K
120 min Winter	59.392	1.192	0.0	3.4	3.4	369.1	O K
180 min Winter	59.510	1.310	0.0	3.5	3.5	405.6	O K
240 min Winter	59.584	1.384	0.0	3.6	3.6	428.5	O K
360 min Winter	59.682	1.482	0.0	3.7	3.7	458.9	O K
480 min Winter	59.738	1.538	0.0	3.7	3.7	476.4	O K
600 min Winter	59.771	1.571	0.0	3.8	3.8	486.5	O K
720 min Winter	59.788	1.588	0.0	3.8	3.8	491.7	O K
960 min Winter	59.792	1.592	0.0	3.8	3.8	493.1	O K
1440 min Winter	59.740	1.540	0.0	3.7	3.7	477.1	O K
2160 min Winter	59.650	1.450	0.0	3.7	3.7	449.2	O K
2880 min Winter	59.573	1.373	0.0	3.6	3.6	425.1	O K
4320 min Winter	59.408	1.208	0.0	3.4	3.4	374.1	O K
5760 min Winter	59.252	1.052	0.0	3.3	3.3	325.7	O K
7200 min Winter	59.107	0.907	0.0	3.1	3.1	281.0	O K
8640 min Winter	58.974	0.774	0.0	3.0	3.0	239.7	O K
10080 min Winter	58.850	0.650	0.0	2.9	2.9	201.4	O K

Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)
30 min Winter	85.839	0.0	232.3	37
60 min Winter	55.837	0.0	312.2	66
120 min Winter	34.983	0.0	391.3	124
180 min Winter	26.151	0.0	438.9	182
240 min Winter	21.135	0.0	472.9	240
360 min Winter	15.668	0.0	515.9	358
480 min Winter	12.647	0.0	522.2	472
600 min Winter	10.701	0.0	528.1	588
720 min Winter	9.329	0.0	534.3	700
960 min Winter	7.505	0.0	545.2	920
1440 min Winter	5.511	0.0	552.7	1312
2160 min Winter	4.037	0.0	813.4	1648
2880 min Winter	3.232	0.0	868.0	2108
4320 min Winter	2.357	0.0	929.1	3024
5760 min Winter	1.886	0.0	1013.2	3872
7200 min Winter	1.587	0.0	1064.6	4752
8640 min Winter	1.378	0.0	1110.2	5544
10080 min Winter	1.224	0.0	1149.9	6360

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 3
11 Tungsten Building	Norton Heights	
George Street	Lovedean Lane	
Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File SYSTEM 1.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model	FSR	Winter Storms	Yes
Return Period (years)	100	Cv (Summer)	0.750
Region	England and Wales	Cv (Winter)	0.840
M5-60 (mm)	19.700	Shortest Storm (mins)	15
Ratio R	0.322	Longest Storm (mins)	10080
Summer Storms	Yes	Climate Change %	+40

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 0.666

Time (mins)	Area	Time (mins)	Area
From:	To: (ha)	From:	To: (ha)
0	4 0.333	4	8 0.333

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 4
11 Tungsten Building	Norton Heights	
George Street	Lovedean Lane	
Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File SYSTEM 1.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Model Details

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 60.600

Cellular Storage Structure

Invert Level (m) 58.200 Safety Factor 2.0
Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95
Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m)	Area (m ²)	Inf. Area (m ²)	Depth (m)	Area (m ²)	Inf. Area (m ²)
0.000	326.0	0.0	1.300	326.0	0.0
0.100	326.0	0.0	1.400	326.0	0.0
0.200	326.0	0.0	1.500	326.0	0.0
0.300	326.0	0.0	1.600	326.0	0.0
0.400	326.0	0.0	1.601	0.0	0.0
0.500	326.0	0.0	1.800	0.0	0.0
0.600	326.0	0.0	1.900	0.0	0.0
0.700	326.0	0.0	2.000	0.0	0.0
0.800	326.0	0.0	2.100	0.0	0.0
0.900	326.0	0.0	2.200	0.0	0.0
1.000	326.0	0.0	2.300	0.0	0.0
1.100	326.0	0.0	2.400	0.0	0.0
1.200	326.0	0.0	2.500	0.0	0.0

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0079-3800-2000-3800
Design Head (m) 2.000
Design Flow (l/s) 3.8
Flush-Flo™ Calculated
Objective Minimise upstream storage
Application Surface
Sump Available Yes
Diameter (mm) 79
Invert Level (m) 57.800
Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 100
Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1200

Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (l/s)
Design Point (Calculated)	2.000	3.8
Flush-Flo™	0.349	2.9
Kick-Flo®	0.711	2.4
Mean Flow over Head Range	-	2.9

The hydrological calculations have been based on the Head/Discharge relationship for the Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Should another type of control device other than a Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised then these storage routing calculations will be invalidated

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 5
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File SYSTEM 1.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)
0.100	2.3	1.200	3.0	3.000	4.6	7.000	6.8
0.200	2.8	1.400	3.2	3.500	4.9	7.500	7.1
0.300	2.9	1.600	3.4	4.000	5.2	8.000	7.3
0.400	2.9	1.800	3.6	4.500	5.5	8.500	7.5
0.500	2.9	2.000	3.8	5.000	5.8	9.000	7.7
0.600	2.7	2.200	4.0	5.500	6.1	9.500	7.9
0.800	2.5	2.400	4.1	6.000	6.4		
1.000	2.8	2.600	4.3	6.500	6.6		

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 1
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 09/01/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File System 2.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2017.1.2	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Half Drain Time : 100 minutes.

Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Control (l/s)	Max E Outflow (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status
15 min Summer	58.009	0.224	0.0	2.0	2.0	11.7	O K
30 min Summer	58.077	0.292	0.0	2.0	2.0	15.3	O K
60 min Summer	58.133	0.348	0.0	2.0	2.0	18.2	Flood Risk
120 min Summer	58.156	0.371	0.0	2.0	2.0	19.3	Flood Risk
180 min Summer	58.153	0.368	0.0	2.0	2.0	19.2	Flood Risk
240 min Summer	58.141	0.356	0.0	2.0	2.0	18.6	Flood Risk
360 min Summer	58.114	0.329	0.0	2.0	2.0	17.2	Flood Risk
480 min Summer	58.081	0.296	0.0	2.0	2.0	15.4	O K
600 min Summer	58.046	0.261	0.0	2.0	2.0	13.6	O K
720 min Summer	58.015	0.230	0.0	2.0	2.0	12.0	O K
960 min Summer	57.963	0.178	0.0	2.0	2.0	9.3	O K
1440 min Summer	57.896	0.111	0.0	2.0	2.0	5.8	O K
2160 min Summer	57.864	0.079	0.0	1.7	1.7	4.1	O K
2880 min Summer	57.851	0.066	0.0	1.4	1.4	3.5	O K
4320 min Summer	57.838	0.053	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.8	O K
5760 min Summer	57.832	0.047	0.0	0.9	0.9	2.4	O K
7200 min Summer	57.827	0.042	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.2	O K
8640 min Summer	57.824	0.039	0.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	O K
10080 min Summer	57.821	0.036	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.9	O K
15 min Winter	58.038	0.253	0.0	2.0	2.0	13.2	O K

Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)
15 min Summer	125.952	0.0	12.9	17
30 min Summer	85.839	0.0	17.6	32
60 min Summer	55.837	0.0	23.0	60
120 min Summer	34.983	0.0	28.8	96
180 min Summer	26.151	0.0	32.3	130
240 min Summer	21.135	0.0	34.8	164
360 min Summer	15.668	0.0	38.7	234
480 min Summer	12.647	0.0	41.7	302
600 min Summer	10.701	0.0	44.1	364
720 min Summer	9.329	0.0	46.1	426
960 min Summer	7.505	0.0	49.5	542
1440 min Summer	5.511	0.0	54.5	766
2160 min Summer	4.037	0.0	59.9	1104
2880 min Summer	3.232	0.0	64.0	1468
4320 min Summer	2.357	0.0	70.0	2204
5760 min Summer	1.886	0.0	74.6	2936
7200 min Summer	1.587	0.0	78.5	3640
8640 min Summer	1.378	0.0	81.8	4312
10080 min Summer	1.224	0.0	84.7	5128
15 min Winter	125.952	0.0	14.5	17

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 2
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 09/01/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File System 2.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2017.1.2	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Control (l/s)	Max E (l/s)	Max Outflow Volume (m³)	Status
30 min Winter	58.117	0.332	0.0	2.0	2.0	17.3	Flood Risk
60 min Winter	58.182	0.397	0.0	2.0	2.0	20.7	Flood Risk
120 min Winter	58.207	0.422	0.0	2.0	2.0	22.0	Flood Risk
180 min Winter	58.201	0.416	0.0	2.0	2.0	21.7	Flood Risk
240 min Winter	58.184	0.399	0.0	2.0	2.0	20.8	Flood Risk
360 min Winter	58.141	0.356	0.0	2.0	2.0	18.6	Flood Risk
480 min Winter	58.092	0.307	0.0	2.0	2.0	16.0	O K
600 min Winter	58.035	0.250	0.0	2.0	2.0	13.0	O K
720 min Winter	57.987	0.202	0.0	2.0	2.0	10.6	O K
960 min Winter	57.915	0.130	0.0	2.0	2.0	6.8	O K
1440 min Winter	57.866	0.081	0.0	1.7	1.7	4.2	O K
2160 min Winter	57.847	0.062	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	O K
2880 min Winter	57.838	0.053	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.8	O K
4320 min Winter	57.829	0.044	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.3	O K
5760 min Winter	57.824	0.039	0.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	O K
7200 min Winter	57.820	0.035	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.8	O K
8640 min Winter	57.817	0.032	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.7	O K
10080 min Winter	57.815	0.030	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	O K

Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)
30 min Winter	85.839	0.0	19.8	32
60 min Winter	55.837	0.0	25.8	60
120 min Winter	34.983	0.0	32.3	108
180 min Winter	26.151	0.0	36.2	138
240 min Winter	21.135	0.0	39.0	178
360 min Winter	15.668	0.0	43.4	254
480 min Winter	12.647	0.0	46.7	328
600 min Winter	10.701	0.0	49.4	390
720 min Winter	9.329	0.0	51.7	448
960 min Winter	7.505	0.0	55.4	552
1440 min Winter	5.511	0.0	61.1	752
2160 min Winter	4.037	0.0	67.1	1108
2880 min Winter	3.232	0.0	71.6	1468
4320 min Winter	2.357	0.0	78.4	2204
5760 min Winter	1.886	0.0	83.6	2920
7200 min Winter	1.587	0.0	87.9	3672
8640 min Winter	1.378	0.0	91.7	4296
10080 min Winter	1.224	0.0	94.9	5096

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 3
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 09/01/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File System 2.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2017.1.2	

Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model	FSR	Winter Storms	Yes
Return Period (years)	100	Cv (Summer)	0.750
Region	England and Wales	Cv (Winter)	0.840
M5-60 (mm)	19.700	Shortest Storm (mins)	15
Ratio R	0.322	Longest Storm (mins)	10080
Summer Storms	Yes	Climate Change %	+40

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 0.055

Time (mins)	Area
From:	To: (ha)

0	4	0.055
---	---	-------

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 4
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 09/01/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File System 2.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2017.1.2	

Model Details

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 58.400

Infiltration Blanket Structure

Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr)	0.00000	Diameter/Width (m)	5.8
Safety Factor	2.0	Length (m)	30.0
Porosity	0.30	Cap Volume	Depth (m) 0.000
Invert Level (m)	57.785		

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Unit Reference	MD-SHE-0076-2000-0425-2000
Design Head (m)	0.425
Design Flow (l/s)	2.0
Flush-Flo™	Calculated
Objective	Minimise upstream storage
Application	Surface
Sump Available	Yes
Diameter (mm)	76
Invert Level (m)	57.785
Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm)	100
Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm)	1200

Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (l/s)
Design Point (Calculated)	0.425	2.0
Flush-Flo™	0.130	2.0
Kick-Flo®	0.302	1.7
Mean Flow over Head Range	-	1.7

The hydrological calculations have been based on the Head/Discharge relationship for the Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Should another type of control device other than a Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised then these storage routing calculations will be invalidated

Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)
0.100	2.0	1.200	3.2	3.000	4.9	7.000	7.4
0.200	1.9	1.400	3.4	3.500	5.3	7.500	7.7
0.300	1.7	1.600	3.7	4.000	5.6	8.000	7.9
0.400	1.9	1.800	3.9	4.500	5.9	8.500	8.2
0.500	2.2	2.000	4.1	5.000	6.3	9.000	8.4
0.600	2.3	2.200	4.3	5.500	6.6	9.500	8.7
0.800	2.7	2.400	4.4	6.000	6.9		
1.000	3.0	2.600	4.6	6.500	7.1		

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 1
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020 File SYSTEM 3.SRCX	Designed by S Burgess Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Half Drain Time : 1417 minutes.

Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Control (l/s)	Max Σ Outflow (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status
15 min Summer	57.883	0.483	0.0	2.5	2.5	136.8	O K
30 min Summer	58.056	0.656	0.0	2.5	2.5	185.7	O K
60 min Summer	58.244	0.844	0.0	2.5	2.5	238.9	O K
120 min Summer	58.435	1.035	0.0	2.6	2.6	293.0	O K
180 min Summer	58.536	1.136	0.0	2.7	2.7	321.7	O K
240 min Summer	58.600	1.200	0.0	2.7	2.7	339.7	O K
360 min Summer	58.684	1.284	0.0	2.8	2.8	363.5	O K
480 min Summer	58.732	1.332	0.0	2.9	2.9	377.0	O K
600 min Summer	58.759	1.359	0.0	2.9	2.9	384.6	O K
720 min Summer	58.772	1.372	0.0	2.9	2.9	388.4	O K
960 min Summer	58.773	1.373	0.0	2.9	2.9	388.7	O K
1440 min Summer	58.740	1.340	0.0	2.9	2.9	379.3	O K
2160 min Summer	58.682	1.282	0.0	2.8	2.8	362.9	O K
2880 min Summer	58.628	1.228	0.0	2.8	2.8	347.7	O K
4320 min Summer	58.526	1.126	0.0	2.7	2.7	318.9	O K
5760 min Summer	58.433	1.033	0.0	2.6	2.6	292.5	O K
7200 min Summer	58.345	0.945	0.0	2.5	2.5	267.5	O K
8640 min Summer	58.261	0.861	0.0	2.5	2.5	243.8	O K
10080 min Summer	58.180	0.780	0.0	2.5	2.5	220.8	O K
15 min Winter	57.943	0.543	0.0	2.5	2.5	153.6	O K

Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)
15 min Summer	125.952	0.0	139.6	23
30 min Summer	85.839	0.0	190.4	38
60 min Summer	55.837	0.0	247.9	68
120 min Summer	34.983	0.0	310.4	126
180 min Summer	26.151	0.0	348.2	186
240 min Summer	21.135	0.0	375.3	246
360 min Summer	15.668	0.0	415.5	364
480 min Summer	12.647	0.0	421.8	484
600 min Summer	10.701	0.0	423.6	602
720 min Summer	9.329	0.0	424.2	722
960 min Summer	7.505	0.0	424.2	960
1440 min Summer	5.511	0.0	423.5	1202
2160 min Summer	4.037	0.0	645.1	1584
2880 min Summer	3.232	0.0	688.9	1992
4320 min Summer	2.357	0.0	735.6	2816
5760 min Summer	1.886	0.0	803.6	3640
7200 min Summer	1.587	0.0	845.5	4472
8640 min Summer	1.378	0.0	881.2	5280
10080 min Summer	1.224	0.0	912.3	6144
15 min Winter	125.952	0.0	156.5	23

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 2
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020 File SYSTEM 3.SRCX	Designed by S Burgess Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Control (l/s)	Max E Outflow (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status
30 min Winter	58.137	0.737	0.0	2.5	2.5	208.6	O K
60 min Winter	58.349	0.949	0.0	2.5	2.5	268.6	O K
120 min Winter	58.566	1.166	0.0	2.7	2.7	330.2	O K
180 min Winter	58.684	1.284	0.0	2.8	2.8	363.5	O K
240 min Winter	58.759	1.359	0.0	2.9	2.9	384.8	O K
360 min Winter	58.861	1.461	0.0	3.0	3.0	413.6	O K
480 min Winter	58.922	1.522	0.0	3.0	3.0	430.9	O K
600 min Winter	58.960	1.560	0.0	3.1	3.1	441.6	O K
720 min Winter	58.982	1.582	0.0	3.1	3.1	447.9	O K
960 min Winter	58.999	1.599	0.0	3.1	3.1	452.6	O K
1440 min Winter	58.972	1.572	0.0	3.1	3.1	444.9	O K
2160 min Winter	58.900	1.500	0.0	3.0	3.0	424.7	O K
2880 min Winter	58.825	1.425	0.0	2.9	2.9	403.3	O K
4320 min Winter	58.673	1.273	0.0	2.8	2.8	360.5	O K
5760 min Winter	58.531	1.131	0.0	2.7	2.7	320.3	O K
7200 min Winter	58.398	0.998	0.0	2.5	2.5	282.5	O K
8640 min Winter	58.270	0.870	0.0	2.5	2.5	246.3	O K
10080 min Winter	58.144	0.744	0.0	2.5	2.5	210.6	O K

Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)
30 min Winter	85.839	0.0	201.2	37
60 min Winter	55.837	0.0	277.5	66
120 min Winter	34.983	0.0	347.8	124
180 min Winter	26.151	0.0	390.2	182
240 min Winter	21.135	0.0	418.3	242
360 min Winter	15.668	0.0	427.8	358
480 min Winter	12.647	0.0	431.3	474
600 min Winter	10.701	0.0	433.8	588
720 min Winter	9.329	0.0	435.9	702
960 min Winter	7.505	0.0	440.4	926
1440 min Winter	5.511	0.0	447.8	1344
2160 min Winter	4.037	0.0	722.6	1672
2880 min Winter	3.232	0.0	771.6	2136
4320 min Winter	2.357	0.0	773.4	3068
5760 min Winter	1.886	0.0	900.4	3976
7200 min Winter	1.587	0.0	947.1	4832
8640 min Winter	1.378	0.0	986.6	5704
10080 min Winter	1.224	0.0	1021.9	6560

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 3
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File SYSTEM 3.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model	FSR	Winter Storms	Yes
Return Period (years)	100	Cv (Summer)	0.750
Region	England and Wales	Cv (Winter)	0.840
M5-60 (mm)	19.700	Shortest Storm (mins)	15
Ratio R	0.322	Longest Storm (mins)	10080
Summer Storms	Yes	Climate Change %	+40

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 0.592

Time (mins)	Area	Time (mins)	Area
From: To: (ha)		From: To: (ha)	
0 4 0.296		4 8 0.296	

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 4
11 Tungsten Building George Street Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Norton Heights Lovedean Lane Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020 File SYSTEM 3.SRCX	Designed by S Burgess Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Model Details

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 59.400

Cellular Storage Structure

Invert Level (m) 57.400 Safety Factor 2.0
Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95
Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m)	Area (m ²)	Inf. Area (m ²)	Depth (m)	Area (m ²)	Inf. Area (m ²)
0.000	298.0	0.0	1.300	298.0	0.0
0.100	298.0	0.0	1.400	298.0	0.0
0.200	298.0	0.0	1.500	298.0	0.0
0.300	298.0	0.0	1.600	298.0	0.0
0.400	298.0	0.0	1.601	0.0	0.0
0.500	298.0	0.0	1.800	0.0	0.0
0.600	298.0	0.0	1.900	0.0	0.0
0.700	298.0	0.0	2.000	0.0	0.0
0.800	298.0	0.0	2.100	0.0	0.0
0.900	298.0	0.0	2.200	0.0	0.0
1.000	298.0	0.0	2.300	0.0	0.0
1.100	298.0	0.0	2.400	0.0	0.0
1.200	298.0	0.0	2.500	0.0	0.0

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0075-3100-1675-3100
Design Head (m) 1.675
Design Flow (l/s) 3.1
Flush-Flo™ Calculated
Objective Minimise upstream storage
Application Surface
Sump Available Yes
Diameter (mm) 75
Invert Level (m) 57.325
Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 100
Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1200

Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (l/s)
Design Point (Calculated)	1.675	3.1
Flush-Flo™	0.327	2.5
Kick-Flo®	0.667	2.0
Mean Flow over Head Range	-	2.4

The hydrological calculations have been based on the Head/Discharge relationship for the Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Should another type of control device other than a Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised then these storage routing calculations will be invalidated

The Civil Engineering Practice		Page 5
11 Tungsten Building	Norton Heights	
George Street	Lovedean Lane	
Fishersgate BN41 1RA	Hampshire	
Date 24/04/2020	Designed by S Burgess	
File SYSTEM 3.SRCX	Checked by SRD	
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Outflow Control

Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (l/s)
0.100	2.0	1.200	2.7	3.000	4.1	7.000	6.0
0.200	2.4	1.400	2.8	3.500	4.4	7.500	6.2
0.300	2.5	1.600	3.0	4.000	4.6	8.000	6.4
0.400	2.5	1.800	3.2	4.500	4.9	8.500	6.6
0.500	2.4	2.000	3.4	5.000	5.2	9.000	6.8
0.600	2.3	2.200	3.5	5.500	5.4	9.500	7.0
0.800	2.2	2.400	3.7	6.000	5.6		
1.000	2.4	2.600	3.8	6.500	5.8		

Appendix 11
Drainage Maintenance Schedule

Drainage Maintenance Schedule

Project Phase 2 Norton Heights, Lovedean

Project Number 23246

By S Burgess

Date January 2020



The Civil Engineering Practice
11 Tungsten Building
George Street
Fishergate
Sussex
BN41 1RA
01273 424424
reception@civil.co.uk
www.civil.co.uk

1 Schedule of Maintenance

- 1.1 Once appointed the Contractor will prepare a site specific method statement for the control of silt and other pollutants during construction. CIRIA Report C532, Control of water pollution from construction sites, provides further guidance on this.
- 1.2 The Contractor will maintain the proposed drainage system during construction and until the handing over of the site.
- 1.3 Upon completion management of the shared drainage facilities (where not adopted) will be passed on to a Management Company appointed by the developer on behalf of the new residents.
- 1.4 In the event that the Management Company becomes unable to discharge its duties within two years of first appointment the developer will endeavour to appoint an alternative on behalf of the residents.
- 1.5 Maintenance of individual property drainage connections is the responsibility of the individual property owners.
- 1.6 The following maintenance schedule details the typical tasks to be undertaken at different intervals.

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Regular Maintenance	Remove sediment and debris from silt trap chambers, channel drains and inlet chambers	6 monthly
	Litter and debris removal – catch pits	Monthly or as required
	Surface and foul water pipework – jetting / rodding	Every 2 years or as required
	Manage other vegetation and remove nuisance plants – aesthetics	As required
	Visual inspection of permeable paving for defects and settlement	Annually
	Sweeping / brushing of permeable paving	Every 2 years



ISO
9001 : 2008
REGISTERED
Cert No: 141271362

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Corrective Maintenance	Remove debris / blockages to silt traps / channel drains	As required
	Repairs to access chambers / manhole covers	As required
	Replace any broken permeable blocks / surface, remedial works to any depressions or rutting	As required
	Inspect inlet, outlet from downpipe and gullies for blockages, standing water and clear	As required

Indicative Schedule of Maintenance for the Proposed Drainage System

2 Financing

- 2.1 The regular maintenance of all private drainage, channels, gutters, rainwater pipes and connections will be the responsibility of the individual homeowner.
- 2.2 The regular and corrective maintenance of all shared elements of the drainage system will be managed and funded through the Management Company on behalf of the Residents.

Inspection / Action Required	Gullies, Channels and Gutters	Catchpits	Permeable Surface	Surface Water Pipework
After leaf fall in Autumn	✓	✓		
6 Months	✓	✓	✓	
1 Year			✓	
2 Years				✓
When alarm indicates				

Drainage System Maintenance Summary