
Site Address: 1 Danbury Court, Emsworth, PO10 7RD
Proposal: 1No.Field Maple crown reduce by 3m overall to leave crown height of 15m and width of 10m, subject to TPO 2103.
Application No: APP/20/00899 Expiry Date: 24/11/2020
Applicant: Mr A Todd
Agent: Mr W Fazackarley Case Officer: Maria Stewart
 M Reed & Co Ltd
Ward: Emsworth

Recommendation: **GRANT TPO CONSENT**

1 Site Description

1 Danbury Court, Emsworth, PO10 7RD

2 Planning History

10/74320/000 - Tree works., UNK,
GEN/14/01004 - Proposed replacement of front and side porches and larger window to replace existing., ,22/10/2014
APP/16/00790 - Replacement large front porch. , PERM,07/10/2016
GEN/20/00098 - Proposed single storey extension., ,25/02/2020 09:47:16
APP/20/00001/TP1 - , UNK,05/06/2020
APP/20/00482 - Single storey extension to east and south side of property.,
PERM,20/08/2020

3 Proposal

1No.Field Maple crown reduce by 3m overall to leave crown height of 15m and width of 10m, subject to TPO 2103.

4 Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) March 2011

DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Havant Borough Local Plan (Allocations) July 2014

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.

Conservation Area: Not applicable.

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Councillor Julie Thain-Smith

Councillor Lulu Bowerman

Councillor R Kennett

6 **Community Involvement**

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 6

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

Comment	Officer Comment

7 **Planning Considerations**

7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

- Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

7.2 My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

7.3 The application relates to a crown reduction of a tree subject to a Tree Preservation Order. No reason has been provided but the crown reduction is light (has been described by applicant's agent as "maintenance" which is reasonable) and the agent is known to carry out high quality reduction work (associated with Reed Tree Services). The works are not detrimental to the tree and will not diminish the amenity value afforded by it.

8 **Conclusion**

The proposed work is considered to be appropriate and proportionate therefore permission should be granted.

9 **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Head of Neighbourhood Support be authorised to **GRANT TPO CONSENT** for application APP/20/00899 subject to the following conditions

- 1 The tree works for which consent is hereby granted shall not be carried out otherwise than in full accordance with all relevant content of BS 3998 (2010).
Reason: In the interests of tree health and safety.

- 2 The tree works for which consent is hereby granted shall be carried out and completed no later than 24/11/2021
 Reason: To control the timing of the works