

Houghton, Michelle

From: Hopkins, David (ETE) <david.hopkins@hants.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 November 2020 09:23
To: Havant Planning and Development Mailbox New
Subject: FW: Planning Application APP/20/00991 Havant Thicket, adjacent to Sir George Staunton Country Park, Middle Park Way, Havant

Sorry, further to my last email I have spotted the wrong application number was in the title, the correction is below,
David

Dear Mr Oliver,

Planning Application APP/20/00991 Havant Thicket, adjacent to Sir George Staunton Country Park, Middle Park Way, Havant

Thank you for your consultation regarding the proposed water reservoir, and extensive development with a direct impact across a large part of a landscape. I would draw your attention to Chapter 8 (Historic Environment) of the submitted Environmental Statement (ES) which I am afraid I cannot fully endorse to you. With regard to the historic environment I will address my comments to archaeological matters and will defer to others, including Historic England, regarding listed buildings and the Registered Park.

With regard to the chapter in general the study indicates that there are no designated archaeological sites within the development area and that there is no intervisibility with the three Scheduled Monuments in the wider study area (8.22 and 8.56). The study indicates that there are only two known archaeological sites recorded on the HER, HER 23391 and 34198, within the development area (8.29) however it also notes (8.27) a range of earthworks identified from LiDAR which are presently undated and of uncertain function/origin. The archaeological potential, that is the potential to encounter archaeological sites which are as yet unrecorded, of the site is discussed in paragraphs 8.30 to 8.32. This suggests that there is a moderate potential for prehistoric remains, particularly of Mesolithic date; a moderate potential for Roman remains and a moderate to high potential for post medieval remains – notably those associated with nationally important designed landscape of the Park. The potential for medieval remains is not suggested which is an omission given that the area is associated with medieval defences (the Scheduled castles to the north) and a medieval Chase (which may well have left specific attributes in the landscape and in the archaeological record).

Within the route of the pipeline para 8.73 indicates that the site of Chad's Well is within the red line boundary, but will be protected by fencing during the works and by use of a trenchless design (boring). This is welcomed. Para 8.80 states "The pipeline has been designed to be installed in areas of previous disturbance, either along existing roadways or within the modified and realigned Hermitage Stream corridor. The Archaeological Management Planwill include measures to address the potential for impacts to as-yet unknown archaeological remains. It is anticipated that an archaeological watching brief will be undertaken for the pipeline construction work, subject to a Written Scheme of Investigation prepared under the Archaeological Management Plan for the Scheme. In addition, as the pipeline is designed to come within 10 m of St Chad's Well (HER 65094), the heritage asset will be fenced off during construction activities to ensure pipeline construction activities avoid impacting it." Whilst I recognise the prior disturbance implied by use of existing highway nothing has been set out within the ES to demonstrate the relationship between the 'modified and realigned' corridor and the pipe trench.

However para 8.80 reflects that although the line of the pipe is proposed as likely to have been disturbed some archaeological monitoring will none the less be put in place. This is welcomed. Despite the modified and realigned route of the Hermitage Stream it seems to me to be likely that parts of the route will have been undisturbed and that, as acknowledged in the ES, as yet unrecorded archaeological remains might be encountered. The archaeological watching brief that is proposed will be set out within the AMP and secured therefore by archaeological condition attached to the planning permission. I am happy to endorse the principle of an AMP to

describe appropriate archaeological monitoring of the pipeline construction and to secure the protection of Chad's Well by fencing and boring.

Yours sincerely

David Hopkins
(County Archaeologist)

David Hopkins
County Archaeologist

Hampshire County Council
Economy, Transport and Environment Department

Telephone 0370 779 8025

Due to COVID I am presently working from home but can be contacted by email and on the phone number above.

From: Historic Environment

Sent: 17 November 2020 09:21

To: planning.development@havant.gov.uk

Subject: RE: Planning Application APP/20/00991 Havant Thicket, adjacent to Sir George Staunton Country Park, Middle Park Way, Havant

Dear Mr Oliver,

Planning Application APP/20/00990 Havant Thicket, adjacent to Sir George Staunton Country Park, Middle Park Way, Havant

Thank you for your consultation regarding the proposed water reservoir, and extensive development with a direct impact across a large part of a landscape. I would draw your attention to Chapter 8 (Historic Environment) of the submitted Environmental Statement (ES) which I am afraid I cannot fully endorse to you. With regard to the historic environment I will address my comments to archaeological matters and will defer to others, including Historic England, regarding listed buildings and the Registered Park.

With regard to the chapter in general the study indicates that there are no designated archaeological sites within the development area and that there is no intervisibility with the three Scheduled Monuments in the wider study area (8.22 and 8.56). The study indicates that there are only two known archaeological sites recorded on the HER, HER 23391 and 34198, within the development area (8.29) however it also notes (8.27) a range of earthworks identified from LiDAR which are presently undated and of uncertain function/origin. The archaeological potential, that is the potential to encounter archaeological sites which are as yet unrecorded, of the site is discussed in paragraphs 8.30 to 8.32. This suggests that there is a moderate potential for prehistoric remains, particularly of Mesolithic date; a moderate potential for Roman remains and a moderate to high potential for post medieval remains – notably those associated with nationally important designed landscape of the Park. The potential for medieval remains is not suggested which is an omission given that the area is associated with medieval defences (the Scheduled castles to the north) and a medieval Chase (which may well have left specific attributes in the landscape and in the archaeological record).

Within the route of the pipeline para 8.73 indicates that the site of Chad's Well is within the red line boundary, but will be protected by fencing during the works and by use of a trenchless design (boring). This is welcomed. Para 8.80 states "The pipeline has been designed to be installed in areas of previous disturbance, either along existing roadways or within the modified and realigned Hermitage Stream corridor. The Archaeological Management Planwill include measures to address the potential for impacts to as-yet unknown archaeological remains. It is anticipated that an archaeological watching brief will be undertaken for the pipeline construction work, subject to a Written Scheme of Investigation prepared under the Archaeological Management Plan for the Scheme. In addition, as the pipeline is designed to come within 10 m of St Chad's Well (HER 65094), the heritage asset will be fenced off

during construction activities to ensure pipeline construction activities avoid impacting it.” Whilst I recognise the prior disturbance implied by use of existing highway nothing has been set out within the ES to demonstrate the relationship between the ‘modified and realigned’ corridor and the pipe trench.

However para 8.80 reflects that although the line of the pipe is proposed as likely to have been disturbed some archaeological monitoring will none the less be put in place. This is welcomed. Despite the modified and realigned route of the Hermitage Stream it seems to me to be likely that parts of the route will have been undisturbed and that, as acknowledged in the ES, as yet unrecorded archaeological remains might be encountered. The archaeological watching brief that is proposed will be set out within the AMP and secured therefore by archaeological condition attached to the planning permission. I am happy to endorse the principle of an AMP to describe appropriate archaeological monitoring of the pipeline construction and to secure the protection of Chad’s Well by fencing and boring.

Yours sincerely

David Hopkins
(County Archaeologist)

Hampshire County Council
Economy, Transport and Environment Department

Telephone 0370 779 8025

Due to COVID I am presently working from home but can be contacted by email and on the phone number above.

From: planning.development@havant.gov.uk <planning.development@havant.gov.uk>

Sent: 16 November 2020 11:50

To: Historic Environment <historic.environment@hants.gov.uk>

Subject: Planning Application APP/20/00991 Havant Thicket, adjacent to Sir George Staunton Country Park, Middle Park Way, Havant

This email is a consultation request regarding a planning application, reference APP/20/00991. The attached letter contains contact details should you wish to discuss the application with the Case Officer.

To see the details of the application and associated documents on Havant Borough Council's Public Access pages, follow [this link](#).

If you require any further information please email planning.development@havant.gov.uk or telephone (023) 92 446263.

Notes for Consultees using Consultee In Tray on Public Access

You will be able to access the full range of services on Public Access by using the Consultee In Tray which can be found under the My Profile tab.

Consultee Access allows you to see documentation that is not in the public domain (usually our pre-application enquiries) and saves your previous consultation responses.

If you have not yet registered your details on the website, please follow the instructions online and then email planning.development@havant.gov.uk to allow us to activate your consultee access and if you are experiencing problems with your access please let us know.

We can provide a User Guide on request.