

Planning Application: Proposed dormer window APP/20/00823

Dear Mr Toole,

Following your letter of 10th November, I note that alterations have been made to the plans for an extension to the rear of No.44 South Street, Emsworth which I have already objected to.

The property in question was built around 1805. A ground floor extension was added at the rear in about 1980. A first floor large patio style modern window and balcony were somehow unfortunately permitted in 2008 with a skylight window in the second floor roof, none of which were in keeping with nature of the small pane windows in the properties either side.

This is a Conservation area. People buy these tiny houses as second homes and then proceed to overbuild, extend and alter the character and appearance that is supposed to be protected by the rules of Conservation for our future and for generations to come.

The proposed large second floor dormer window is more like an extension and certainly not in the style or size of dormers on the buildings around it. I believe the reason for the size and shape of the extension and dormer requested is to make a bigger room inside the second floor of this small house. This should not be permitted at the expense of the outside appearance. The amended proposal remains an unsuitable alteration which should not be allowed as it does not improve or enhance the appearance in this conservation area. It would be overpowering, obvious from the beach and promenade and spoil the look of the attractive expanse of the red tiled roof.

In addition to this, the proposed new large dormer windows would look directly into **redacted** distance of approximately only 30ft away. This would be an intrusion to our privacy. We ourselves would be subjected to staring straight at this large, modern and overpowering window right at the top of the roof line opposite which until recently had no windows in that roof at all.

I enclose two photos, one taken from my balcony, the other from the second floor bedroom window. More photographs will be forwarded separately. These illustrate the proximity and overpowering impact such an alteration would have not to mention the change to the look of the current attractive roof line of this characterful terrace which has been there for 200 years.

I strongly object to the proposal and trust that the Conservation committee will not allow any more downgrade to these historic buildings.