

Resent with corrected date of photo

>

> Dear Daphney

>

> In addition to my previous submission prior to Christmas I would like to
> submit the attached photos as further evidence to support my objection.

>

> The photos show approximately 250 protected Dark-bellied Brent Geese
> foraging in the centre of the field H34C, in the area currently allocated
> for the proposed development. This is important because:

>

> 1. The developers consultant WYG have repeatedly claimed that the geese
> almost never use the area for development. I have lived at this address
> since 1994 and can confirm that the geese consistently used the southern end
> of the field (the area for development) but have been used less by the
> geese in the last 5 years due to pedestrian traffic and loose dogs
> transiting from the Billy Trail making this area less attractive to the
> geese.

>

> 2. As stated in my earlier submission the questionable crop rotation in
> recent years has heavily discouraged the geese from foraging in the southern
> half of the field and these photos taken at 1400 on the 2nd January 2021
> clearly show the birds at the margin of the cultivated areas. The
> implication is that they would use the whole field if it was cultivated.

>

> 3. Shortly after this photos was taken the whole flock was disturbed and
> flew off because of walkers transiting into the field from the porous Billy
> Trail boundary. This should not be happening as both the developers
> consultant WYG and HBC planning team are aware that this perimeter is meant
> to have been secured with a livestock style fence and then maintained every
> year since 2015. The fact that this has not happened is in breach of the
> original Oysters 106 approval.

>

> I am happy for any planning application to be considered on its genuine
> merits but the clear manipulation and suppression of data in the current
> submission by the developer and the lack of enforcement by HBC is at best
> most disappointing.

>

> Please can you include this mail and photographs in the objections to this
> development.



