

**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
MANOR FARM
BEDHAMPTON
HAMPSHIRE**


FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT & DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE STRATEGY

BARGATE HOMES LTD

REV C

NOVEMBER 2020


REF: SS/19/0185/5992



DOCUMENT CONTROL RECORD

Document Issue:

Rev	Date	Issue Status	Prepared by	Checked by
-	05.04.19	First Issue for comment	S. Smith	C. Pendle
A	08.04.19	Updated to client's comments	S. Smith	C. Pendle
B	23.09.19	Drainage Strategy and 6.16 updated	S. Smith	C. Pendle
C	11.11.20	Drainage Strategy updated	K.Gebhart	C.Pendle



Contents

1. Executive Summary.....	1
2. Introduction.....	2
3. The Development Site.....	3
4. Flood Risk Assessment.....	6
5. Existing and Proposed Surface Water Runoff.....	12
6. Surface Water Drainage Strategy.....	14
7. Water Quality and Pollution Prevention.....	16
8. Foul Water Drainage Strategy.....	19

Appendices

- Appendix A – Site Layout
- Appendix B – Topographical Survey
- Appendix C – Foul and Surface Water Drainage Strategy
- Appendix D – Pre / Post Development Runoff and Storage Calculations
- Appendix E – Public Sewer Records
- Appendix F – SUDS Compatibility Matrix
- Appendix G – LLFA Response
- Appendix H – SUDS Management and Maintenance Plan

References

Environment Agency Flood Map Information © and database right www.environment_agency.gov.uk


Contains British Geological Survey materials © NERC (2018)

National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Communities and Local Government, March 2012)

PPG Flood risk and coastal change (Department for Communities and Local Government, March 2014)

Havant Borough Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, 2018 (SFRA) (HBC, November 2018)

Hampshire County Council Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (PFRA) (HCC, April 2011)



1 Executive Summary

SITE INFORMATION	CLIENT	Bargate Homes Ltd
	SITE NAME	Manor Farm
	SITE LOCATION	SU 70016 06118 Bedhampton Havant Hampshire
	SITE AREA	3.9 ha
	CURRENT LAND USE	Greenfield – Arable / Agricultural grassland
	PROPOSED LAND USE	No. Residential Dwellings (Class C3A)
	SITE GEOLOGY – Superficial	River Terrace Deposit – Sand, Silt, Clay
	SITE GEOLOGY - Bedrock	Lewis Nodular Chalk, Seaford Chalk, Newhaven Chalk, Culver Chalk and Portsdown Chalk Formations – Chalk
	SOIL INFILTRATION RATE	Superficial: Insufficient. Underlying Chalk: 1×10^{-4} m/s
	GROUNDWATER LEVELS	~3.8m Below Ground Level
	GROUNDWATER SPZ / AQUIFER	SPZ 1 close by/ Principle (Bedrock), Superficial (Secondary A) Vulnerable
	GROUND CONTAMINATION	TBC – None anticipated
FLOOD RISK	ENVIRONMENT AGENCY FLOOD ZONE	Flood Zone 1 - Lowest Risk < 0.1% (<1:1000)
	FLUVIAL (RIVERS & WATERCOURSES)	Not a risk
	PLUVIAL (SURFACE WATER)	Not a risk
	GROUNDWATER	Not a risk
	EXISTING/PROPOSED SEWERS & MAINS	Not a risk
	ARTIFICIAL	Not a risk
	TIDAL	Not a risk
FOUL & SURFACE	PROPOSED SURFACE WATER STRATEGY	Gravity - Infiltration
	PROPOSED SUDS TYPE	Swales, Filter Trenches, Pond, Reed Bed, Attenuation Basin
	EXISTING SW PEAK FLOW RATE	Greenfield QBar: 3.9 l/s
	PROPOSED SW PEAK FLOW RATE	N/A – Infiltrating
	FOUL WATER STRATEGY	Gravity to Portsmouth Water Foul Sewer
	EXISTING FW PEAK FLOW RATE	N/A
	PROPOSED FW PEAK FLOW RATE	2.3 l/s (SFA 4000 l/unit/d) for 50 Units
MISC		
	FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS	N/A

2 Introduction

2.1 Scope

Bargate Homes is seeking full planning permission for the construction of No.50 residential dwellings with associated infrastructure including development access roads, SuDS, vehicle parking, domestic gardens and areas of public open space. The 38,575m² (3.9ha) site is located at land adjacent to Lower Road, Bedhampton. Refer to Appendix A for site layout.

2.2

MJA Consulting has been appointed to provide a Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy suitable to submit as part of the planning application for the disposal of foul and surface water from the proposed development.

2.3 Report Structure

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the Flood Risk and Coastal Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) is the current guidance on development and flood risk in England and Wales. The Flood Risk technical guidance for the National Planning Policy Framework requires a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) to be carried out on sites over 1ha to consider all potential forms of flooding including that from river, sea, estuarial, land drainage, groundwater, overland flow, surface water run-off, sewer systems, and artificial water bodies (lakes, reservoirs, canals etc.) to both the development site and to offsite parties and land.

2.4

This report will take the structure of a 'Flood Risk Assessment' in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, the Flood Risk and Coastal Planning Practice Guidance, Environment Agency's Flood Risk Assessment Guidance and CIRIA Report 624 'Development and Flood Risk.

2.5

The objectives of this report are:

- To confirm whether the proposed development site is affected by current or anticipated future flooding from all sources for the lifetime of the site.
- To confirm that this development will not increase the risk of flooding to any offsite properties and land or increase the population within a floodplain.
- To undertake calculations to establish the foul and surface water runoff rates from the existing site and to assess the potential foul and surface water runoff from the proposed development.
- To detail a suitable strategy for the management of foul and surface water generated from the proposed development allowing for future climate change.
- To satisfy the approving planning authority that the most sustainable foul and surface water drainage solutions have been considered, in line with Environment Agency guidance, The Building Regulations (Document H 2002) and government legislation such as the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 (Defra) and The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF & PPG).

3 The Development Site

Figure 1: Site location




Image courtesy of: Bing Maps, 2018 Microsoft Corporation Image courtesy of Ordnance Survey

Figure 2: Development boundary



Image courtesy of: Google Maps, 2018 Microsoft Corporation

3.1 Site Location and Description

The application site is located at land south west of Bedhampton in Hampshire. The site is centred on National Grid Reference SU 70016 06118 and is bound by Lower Road to the north and the railway line to the south. The A27/A3(M) lies to the west and arable land to the east of the site. The 38,575m² (3.9ha) parcel of land comprises an arable field.

3.2 Topography

A topographical survey of the site was undertaken by Encompass Surveys in Sept 2015 which indicates the site is relatively flat with levels ranging from 6.0mAOD to 9.3mAOD (metres above Ordnance Datum). Refer to Appendix B for a Topographical Survey of the existing site.

3.3 Geology

Information published by the British Geological Society (BGS) indicates the underlying geology at the site as Lewis Nodular Chalk, Seaford Chalk, Newhaven Chalk, Culver Chalk and Portsdown Chalk Formations – Chalk, with superficial deposits of River Terrace Deposits – Sand, Silt and Clay.

3.4 Ground investigations were carried out in September 2017 and confirmed the site is underlain by sandy, silty clay over chalk substrata. The Clay is circa 1-3m thick. The clay is at its thinnest in the south west corner.

3.5 Soil Permeability

Soil infiltration testing in accordance BRE 365 methodology was carried out in eight trial pits on site. The tests failed to achieve 75% drain and therefore failed to comply with BRE 365 “soakaway design”. Additional testing in the chalk just below the clay layer also showed low insufficient infiltration rates. Falling head tests in boreholes circa 14-15m below ground level showed higher soakage rates of 1.4×10^{-4} m/s, likely due to fissures in the chalk.

3.6 Groundwater

Groundwater levels were taken during the intrusive investigation. These tests were carried out in 2017, 2018 and 2019 during and after the winter recharge periods when groundwater levels are typically at their maximum.

3.7 Groundwater was encountered at various depths across the site but on average was 3.8m BGL, with a maximum level recorded at 3.52m BGL.

3.8 Historic BGS borehole records in the *vicinity* of the site are available and show the highest water level as circa 1.2m BGL.

3.9 Hydrogeology

The Environment Agency’s mapping website (<http://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx>) has classified the site as not within a Source Protection Zone but it is located 20m south (downstream) of a Zone 1 Source Protection Zone. The flow of water from the site is away from the abstraction point, towards the coast.

-
- 3.10 The bedrock Chalk formations are classified as 'Principle' aquifers. These are layers of rock that provide a high level of water storage. They may support water supply and/or river base flow on a strategic scale.
- 3.11 The superficial deposits are classified as a 'Secondary A' aquifer. These are permeable layers of rock that can support water supplies on a local rather than strategic scale. They may be an important source for base flows to rivers.
- 3.12 **Hydrology and existing site drainage characteristics**
The existing site is undeveloped grassland, as such rainfall that lands on the site firstly infiltrates directly at source and into the underlying soils. It is likely the site has relatively poor surface water drainage with some overland flow due to the relatively steep topography.
- 3.13 The closest watercourse is located approximately 85m east of the site and the site is located approximately 730m north of Langstone Harbour.
- 3.14 **Ground Contamination**
The available environment data does not indicate the presence of any significant sources of contamination risk on site and no visual or olfactory evidence of soil contamination was identified during a site walkover.

4 Flood Risk

4.1 The assessment of flood risk to the site requires that all potential forms of flooding are considered. In accordance with the Environment Agency's Flood Risk Assessment Guidance, NPPF, PPG and CIRIA Report 624, sources of flooding to be assessed include tidal, fluvial (rivers, streams and watercourses), pluvial (overland rainfall runoff), groundwater, artificial sources (canals and reservoirs) and existing / proposed sewerage and water mains infrastructure.

4.2 History of Flooding

During the data collection process it is important to consider the information which already exists for the site location with respect to flood risk. The main sources of data for flood risk and recorded incidents of flooding for this site has been the *Havant Borough Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) (HBC, November 2018)* and the *Hampshire County Council Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (PFRA) (HCC, April 2011)*. Additional information has been obtained from The Environment Agency, British Society Chronology of Extreme Hydrological Events, local news and media outlets.

4.3 Within the SFRA/PRFA studies, consultation was carried out with all appropriate authorities and organisations including the Environment Agency, Portsmouth Water, Havant Borough Council and local community stakeholders to identify known or perceived problem areas with respect to flooding.

4.4 With reference to the above, and in the context of the proposed development, there are no historic issues of flooding from the following potential sources including:


- Fluvial (Rivers)
- Tidal.
- Groundwater.
- Pluvial (Surface water runoff).
- Existing foul, storm and combined sewers or potable water main infrastructure.
- Artificial infrastructure.

4.5 Fluvial

The closest stream, also a main river classification, to the site runs north to south ~340m to the east and flows into Langstone Channel and the Solent. The Environment Agency confirms that no incidents of flooding from main rivers or ordinary watercourses have been recorded within the site boundary.

4.6 The Environment Agency (EA) is the principal flood risk management operating authority in England. The EA have carried out a national flood risk assessment (NaFRA) which assesses the probability of flooding to land from all main rivers in England. The results of this modelling are combined and calibrated against data from recorded flood events to produce the Environment Agency's Flood Zone Map (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Extract from Environment Agency River and Sea Water Flood Map (March 2019)



Contains Environment Agency information © Environment Agency 2019

Key:

 Main Rivers

Dark Blue : (Flood Zone 3)

Shows the area that could be affected by flooding, either from rivers or the sea, if there were no flood defences. This area could be flooded: from the sea by a flood that has a 0.5% (1 in 200) or greater chance of happening each year, or from a river by a flood that has a 1% (1 in 100) or greater chance of happening each year.

Light Blue : (Flood Zone 2)

Shows the additional extent of an extreme flood from rivers or the sea.

These outlying areas are likely to be affected by a major flood, with up to a 0.1% (1 in 1000) chance of occurring each year.

These two colours show the extent of the natural floodplain if there were no flood defences or certain other manmade structures and channel improvements.

Clear : (Flood Zone 1)


Shows the area where flooding from rivers and the sea is very unlikely.

There is less than a 0.1% (1 in 1000) chance of flooding occurring each year.

- 4.7** The latest Environment Agency 'Flood Zone Map' (March 2019) indicates the site is located within the lowest risk category - Flood Zone 1. 'Flood Zone 1' is land assessed as having a less than 1 in 1000 (<0.1%) annual probability of flooding from a main river in each year and is not within an area of recorded river flooding.
- 4.8** Hampshire County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) are responsible for assessing the flood risk from all other ordinary watercourses (non-main rivers) in the Bedhampton area, with this information detailed in the Havant SFRA. This study has concluded that the site is not at risk of flooding from all ordinary watercourses within the locality of the development.

- 4.9 It is demonstrated that safe and dry access and egress at the site is achievable to a publicly accessible location outside the 1:100 year (plus climate change) flood event extent, in accordance with DEFRA Report FD2320/TR2 - 'Flood Risk Assessment Guidance for New Developments'.
- 4.10 **Tidal**
Lying 1km from the coast, parts of Bedhampton and its local river networks encounter a risk from tidal flooding. However, the site itself is not at risk of tidal flooding as confirmed by the SFRA, PFRA and the Environment Agency.
- 4.11 **Surface Water**
The 'FMfSWF' (Flood Map for Surface Water Flooding) presented within the SFRA confirms that no incidents of flooding from surface water runoff have been recorded within the site boundary. Since the SFRA/PFRA reports were produced, no further evidence of surface water flooding at the site has been identified.
- 4.12 The Environment Agency's 'uFMfSW' (updated Flood Map for Surface Water) (Figure 4) is a theoretical assessment of potential overland flow paths, ground levels and drainage systems using information from Hampshire County Council as the LLFA to indicate areas that may be susceptible to surface water flooding.

Figure 4: Extract from Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map (March 2019)



Contains Environment Agency information © Environment Agency 2019

Key:

High (Greater than 1:30(3.3%) chance of flooding)

Medium (Between 1:100(1%) and 1:30(3.3%) chance of flooding)

Low (Between 1:1000 (0.1%) and 1:100 (1%) chance of flooding)

 Very Low (Less than 1:1000 (0.1%) chance of flooding)

-
- 4.13 This map indicates that the existing site has a ‘very low’ (less than a 1:1000 or 0.1%) risk of flooding from surface water runoff.
- 4.14 The implementation of a robust SuDS scheme to collect, attenuate and convey the surface water runoff from the proposed development will mitigate any residual risk of surface water flooding to the site in its developed state.
- 4.15 **Groundwater**
The PFRA, SFRA and the Environment Agency confirm that no recorded events of groundwater flooding have been identified at or within the vicinity of the site.
- 4.16 Groundwater was encountered at depth of circa 3.8m during site investigations.
- 4.17 **Artificial Sources**
With reference to the SFRA/PRFA there have been no recorded incidents of flooding to the site or surrounding areas from artificial sources.
- 4.18 There are no additional artificial sources of flooding, such as that from canals, reservoirs and sewage treatment works within a 1 km radius of the site.
- 4.19 **Overland Flood Flow / Exceedance**
The occurrence of overland flooding at the site due to an extreme rainfall event exceeding the design capacity of the drainage system and SuDS, or a failure of the associated flow controls have been considered both during the construction and operational phase of the development.
- 4.20 If SuDS or the piped drainage system becomes overwhelmed by exceptional rainfall then exceedance routes are proposed to protect people and property by providing unobstructed overland flow routes across the development.
- 4.21 The design levels of hard paved and landscaped areas will aim to contain and convey flood flows through areas of the site to cause minimum flood risk to properties and residents such as parking areas and low-lying open space.
- 4.22 All proposed attenuation features within the development are designed to manage the 1 in 100 year return storm (1% chance of occurrence each year) plus an extra allowance of 40% for the potential increase in peak rainfall predicted up to 2115.
- 4.23 Any residual risk of overland flooding to properties is to be mitigated by the provision of raised property slab levels a minimum of 150mm above surrounding ground level.
- 4.24 The described protection measures ensure that properties both within the proposed development and any offsite parties and land will not be affected by overland runoff in the event of a reasonably extreme rainfall event exceeding the design storm or a failure or a blockage of the SuDS structures within the system.
-

4.25 Existing Sewers & Water Mains

The SFRA confirms that for the area in which the site lies, there have been no incidents of flooding from sewers in the last 10 years.

4.26 With reference to the Portsmouth Water flood register; there have been no recorded incidents of flooding from the existing foul and surface water sewers or potable water mains within the vicinity of the development.

4.27 With reference to Portsmouth Water records and engineering drawings for the surrounding development there is a 225mm foul water sewer that runs along Lower Road to the north of the site.

4.28 There is also a 300mm foul sewer that lies 30m to the east of the site.

4.29 Portsmouth Water have confirmed that there is adequate capacity for the proposed development flows. The proposed development will have a 'no detriment' impact on the local foul sewer system and does not create an increase in flood risk.

4.30 Proposed Site Drainage

A Flood Risk Assessment requires that an evaluation of all proposed artificial drainage systems and infrastructure within, or in close proximity to the site is carried out. In the context of this development, the following systems are to be installed which need to be assessed in terms of potential flooding through the capacity of the systems being exceeded or the structural, hydraulic, mechanical or operational failure of the system occurring during the lifetime of the development:

- Piped foul water sewers, manholes and potable water mains.
- SuDS for the temporary conveyance and attenuation of surface water.
- Foul water pumping station and associated rising main.

4.31 All adoptable foul and surface water drains, sewers and manholes will be designed and constructed to the Sewers for Adoption 7th edition with all private drainage constructed in accordance with *The Building Regulations Part H, BS EN 752 or BS EN 12056-2* as appropriate, ensuring adequate design capacity and robust structural integrity for the

lifetime of the development. This will not only prevent the risk of flooding to both the development and offsite parties, but will avoid the potential contamination of groundwater by preventing the ingress of groundwater into the pipework and egress of sewage into the underlying soils.

4.32 All SuDS within the drainage system will be sized to manage the runoff from the exceptionally rare 1 in 100 storm event (1% AEP), plus an additional 40% allowance for predicted future climate change effects (in accordance with EA recommendations up to the year 2115).

4.33 The new development as a whole must not create or exacerbate existing flood risk elsewhere and in particular to properties, land and highways downstream of the site. During the design of the proposed development careful consideration has been given to the

-
- 4.34 most sustainable method of surface water disposal and strict controls have been imposed to limit the peak rate and volume of runoff generated from the developed site.
- 4.35 All surface water runoff from impermeable areas on the proposed development will be and safely disposed at a controlled rate to mimic the existing natural runoff regime for the undeveloped greenfield site. This will ensure that the risk of flooding to properties and land downstream of the site will not increase as a result of this proposed development.
- 4.36 **Sequential Test**
The flood risk technical guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) categorises residential developments as 'More Vulnerable' within the risk classification. 'More vulnerable' developments located within Flood Zone 1 are considered appropriate under the NPPF.
- 4.37 The NPPF guidance states that planning authorities should complete a risk based 'Sequential Test' at all stages of the planning process, to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. Under the requirements of the 'Sequential Test' and as the proposed development is already located within Flood Zone 1 (lowest risk), there are no more suitable, developable and deliverable alternative sites, better located from a flood risk perspective which could accommodate the proposed development.

5 Existing and Proposed Site Runoff

- 5.1 This section aims to calculate the estimated peak rate and volume of surface water runoff from the existing site. These discharge figures are then used to establish the post-development constraints to inform the preliminary design of the site surface water drainage strategy.

5.2 Catchment Areas

The existing and proposed permeable and impermeable areas are listed in the table below.

Site Catchment	Impermeable	Permeable	Total
Existing Site Area	0 m ²	38,575 m ²	38,575 m ²
Proposed Site Area	9942 m ²	28,633 m ²	38,575 m ²

- 5.3 This development represents an overall approximate increase of 9942 m² in impermeable area post development.

5.4 Existing Surface Water Runoff Peak Runoff Rate & Volume (Greenfield)

An assessment of the estimated current greenfield runoff rate has been carried out using the Institute of Hydrology Report 124 (QBar) methodology.

Catchment: 1.094ha (FSR)

1 in 1 year	3.3 l/s
QBAR	3.9 l/s
1 in 30 year	8.8 l/s
1 in 100 year	12.5 l/s
Volume 100y 6hr	346.5 m ³

- 5.5 Refer to Appendix D for calculations. A 10% allowance for urban creep has been included in the calculations.

5.6 Post Development Surface Water Runoff Peak Runoff Rate & Volume

The procedure for surface water management in accordance within 'Rainfall runoff management for developments' (DEFRA/EA Report – SC030219 E, 2013) states; *"For the range of annual flow rate probabilities up to and including the 1% (1 in 100 year) annual exceedance probability event including an appropriate allowance for climate change, the post-developed rate of run-off into a watercourse, sewer, or other receiving water body, should be no greater than the existing pre-developed rate of run-off for the same event"*.

- 5.7 The National Planning Policy Framework requires that consideration is given to the effect of climate change on the surface water flows generated by any new development. Table 2 of the NPPF - Flood Risk Assessments: Climate Change Allowances – Detailed Guidance (Feb 2016), specifies that an assessment of a 40% increase in rainfall intensity allowance is made when calculating post development runoff rates for residential developments.

-
- 5.8 The additional volume of runoff generated from a site should also be limited to the greenfield runoff volume where possible. Where infiltration cannot be utilised to dispose of the additional volume; *“The limiting discharge for any return period up to the 1% AEP (1 in 100 year) event including climate change, shall not be greater than the mean annual peak rate of runoff for the greenfield site – Q_{Bar} or 2 l/s/ha, whichever is the greatest”*.
- 5.9 As a result of this development and the increase in impermeable areas, the peak rate and volume of surface water that could potentially runoff the proposed site if not effectively managed, could be greater than in its current undeveloped state.
- 5.10 To mitigate this potential increase, it is proposed that all surface water runoff from impermeable areas on the proposed residential development will be attenuated on site and discharged via infiltration.
- 5.11 Where possible permeable paving will be utilised on driveways and shared parking areas. This will function as under-drained, sealed-tank systems and discharge to the positive drainage network. Swales, reed beds and attenuation basins will also serve as additional attenuation and water quality treatment components.

6 Surface Water Drainage Strategy

- 6.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires that developments do not exacerbate flood risks both to the development site and to offsite parties and land, which means there is a need to control surface water drainage and overland runoff to ensure there are no increases in peak rates and volumes of runoff as a result of the development.
- 6.2 Environment Agency guidance and government legislation such as the Flood and Water Management Act (Defra 2010) requires surface water drainage strategies for new developments to be in accordance with the ideals of ‘sustainable development’ via the provision of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).
- 6.3 SuDS are more sustainable than conventional drainage methods because they can mitigate many of the adverse effects of urban stormwater runoff on the environment. This can be achieved through reducing peak runoff rates and volumes to sewer networks and watercourses, reducing the risk of downstream flooding.
- 6.4 The Building Regulations Document H (2015) details the appropriate hierarchy of potential methods for disposing surface water from a development:
1. A soakaway or some other adequate infiltration system, or where that is not practicable;
 2. A watercourse, or where that is not possible;
 3. A sewer.
- 6.5 Soil permeability rates for the superficial clay are insufficient to use conventional infiltration methods to disposing the surface water runoff generated from the proposed development.
- 6.6 There are no watercourses within the vicinity of the development site.
- 6.7 This site lies with Portsmouth Water’s service region. With reference to sewer records, there are dedicated surface water sewers along the northern boundary of the site but would require pumping to reach them.
- 6.8 Therefore, it is proposed to utilise dry detention basins with deep borehole soakaways into the chalk layer below the clay to dispose of surface water runoff from the proposed development’s hard standing areas including roofs, driveways and roads.
- 6.9 The surface water network will include swales with filter trenches; a reed bed; an attenuation pond and basin; which will all serve as conveyance, storage and water quality treatment.
- 6.10 Drainage from house and garage roofs, driveways and parking courts, carriageways and footways will drain to swales and filter trenches which convey water to a permanently wet pond and reed bed in the southern landscaped area of the site.

-
- 6.11 The pond and reedbed will overflow into an attenuation basin which will be dry when not receiving storm water and will attenuate large return period storms via restricted outflow and high-level overflow to borehole soakaway chambers. Infiltration to ground will also occur gradually within the swales and base of the attenuation basin.
- 6.12 Any exceedance overflow will overtop the basin and flow to the southwest at a rate far lower than the predevelopment greenfield run off for a large return period storm.
- 6.13 A 10% allowance for urban creep has been included in the calculations.
- 6.14 The total storage requirement provided by the attenuation basins discharging via deep borehole soakaways is 1273m³. This will provide storage onsite to manage the 1:100year (1% AEP) storm event, plus an extra allowance of 40% for the predicted potential increase in peak rainfall up to 2115.
- 6.15 No.3 deep borehole soakaways, each 16m deep will provide the necessary infiltration to manage the storm water from the development site. Although these deep borehole soakaways would be within groundwater the EA and Portsmouth Water have no concerns with this discharge method in this instance. Quoting from the pre-application advice by the LLFA:
- “Whilst there are times when deep bore soakaways may be appropriate, we would consider this as a drainage option of last resort. A suitable assessment should therefore be provided to prove why other systems are not viable. If it is necessary to consider deep bore soakaways, then appropriate silt removal processes/features will be required upstream. We do note that the system proposed for this site does incorporate several SuDS features prior to water entering the bore hole and note that neither Portsmouth Water nor the EA have concerns.”*
- See Appendix G for the full comments by the LLFA.
- 6.16 A penstock valve within a manhole chamber will be placed upstream of the flow control into the boreholes. This will provide an emergency shut-off system in the event of a pollution event like an oil spillage.
- 6.17 All drains and manholes/inspection chambers will be designed and constructed in accordance with the Building Regulations Part H and BS EN 752, ensuring adequate capacity and robust structural integrity for the lifetime of the development. This will ensure that no polluted discharge from the drainage system to ground will take place.

7 Water Quality and Pollution Prevention

- 7.1 The proposed SuDS scheme has been designed to mitigate against the contamination of the receiving watercourse. The CIRIA SuDS Manual 2015 details an approach for establishing the hazard posed by the intended land use activities and the extent to which the proposed SuDS components can reduce and mitigate the contamination risk to the receiving waterbody.
- 7.2 Referring to Table 26.2 – ‘Pollution hazard indices for different land use classifications’ the proposed development and land use results in a low pollution hazard, therefore a ‘Simple Index’ method can be used to make a qualitative assessment of the proposed SuDS management.

Table 1: Table 26.2 – ‘Pollution hazard indices for different land use classifications’ CIRIA C753, 2015

TABLE 26.2 Pollution hazard indices for different land use classifications				
Land use	Pollution hazard level	Total suspended solids (TSS)	Metals	Hydrocarbons
Residential roofs	Very low	0.2	0.2	0.05
Other roofs (typically commercial/ industrial roofs)	Low	0.3	0.2 (up to 0.8 where there is potential for metals to leach from the roof)	0.05
Individual property driveways, residential car parks, low traffic roads (eg cul de sacs, homezones and general access roads) and non-residential car parking with infrequent change (eg schools, offices) ie < 300 traffic movements/day	Low	0.5	0.4	0.4

Roof Runoff:

Total SuDS mitigation index ≥ pollution hazard index (for each contaminant type)
For the development site: TSS = 0.2, Metals = 0.2, Hydrocarbons = 0.05

Driveway / Parking / Road Runoff:

Total SuDS mitigation index ≥ pollution hazard index (for each contaminant type)
For the development site: TSS = 0.5, Metals = 0.4, Hydrocarbons = 0.4

Table 2 - Table 26.3 – ‘Indicative SuDS mitigation indices for discharge to surface waters’ CIRIA C753, 2015

TABLE 26.3 Indicative SuDS mitigation indices for discharges to surface waters				
Type of SuDS component	Mitigation indices¹			
	TSS	Metals	Hydrocarbons	
Filter strip	0.4	0.4	0.5	
Filter drain	0.4 ²	0.4	0.4	
Swale	0.5	0.6	0.6	
Bioretention system	0.8	0.8	0.8	
Permeable pavement	0.7	0.6	0.7	
Detention basin	0.5	0.5	0.6	
Pond ⁴	0.7 ³	0.7	0.5	
Wetland	0.8 ³	0.8	0.8	
Proprietary treatment systems ^{5,6}	These must demonstrate that they can address each of the contaminant types to acceptable levels for frequent events up to approximately the 1 in 1 year return period event, for inflow concentrations relevant to the contributing drainage area.			

Table 3 - Table 26.4 – ‘Indicative SuDS mitigation indices for discharge to groundwater’ CIRIA C753, 2015

TABLE 26.4 Indicative SuDS mitigation indices for discharges to groundwater				
Characteristics of the material overlying the proposed infiltration surface, through which the runoff percolates¹		TSS	Metals	Hydrocarbons
A layer of dense vegetation underlain by a soil with good contaminant attenuation potential ² of at least 300 mm in depth ³		0.6 ⁴	0.5	0.6
A soil with good contaminant attenuation potential ² of at least 300 mm in depth ³		0.4 ⁴	0.3	0.3
Infiltration trench (where a suitable depth of filtration material is included that provides treatment, ie graded gravel with sufficient smaller particles but not single size coarse aggregate such as 20 mm gravel) underlain by a soil with good contaminant attenuation potential ² of at least 300 mm in depth ³		0.4 ⁴	0.4	0.4
Constructed permeable pavement (where a suitable filtration layer is included that provides treatment, and including a geotextile at the base separating the foundation from the subgrade) underlain by a soil with good contaminant attenuation potential ² of at least 300 mm in depth ³		0.7	0.6	0.7
Bioretention underlain by a soil with good contaminant attenuation potential ² of at least 300 mm in depth ³		0.8 ⁴	0.8	0.8
Proprietary treatment systems ^{5,6}		These must demonstrate that they can address each of the contaminant types to acceptable levels for inflow concentrations relevant to the contributing drainage area.		

7.3 To deliver adequate treatment, the selected SuDS components should have a total pollution mitigation index (for each contaminant type) that equals or exceeds the pollution hazard index (for each contaminant type). Where the mitigation index of an individual component is insufficient, two or more components in a series is required, where:

$$\text{Total SuDS mitigation index} = \text{mitigation index}_1 + 0.5 (\text{mitigation index}_2)$$

- 7.4 The proposed development surface water drainage system consists of the following SuDS component:

Roof Runoff:

Primary Treatment – Swale

Using table 26.3/26.4 – Mitigation Indices

TSS = 0.5 which is > 0.2 therefore ok.

Metals = 0.6 which is > 0.2 therefore ok.

Hydrocarbons = 0.6 which is > 0.05 therefore ok.

+ Secondary Treatment – Detention Basin

Using table 26.3/26.4 – Mitigation Indices

TSS = $0.5 + (0.5 * 0.5) = 0.75$ which is > 0.2 therefore ok.

Metals = $0.6 + (0.5 * 0.5) = 0.15$ which is > 0.2 therefore ok.

Hydrocarbons = $0.6 + (0.6 * 0.5) = 0.18$ which is > 0.05 therefore ok.

Driveway / Parking / Road Runoff:

Primary Treatment – Detention Basin

Using table 26.3/26.4 – Mitigation Indices:

TSS = 0.5 which is ≥ 0.5 therefore ok.

Metals = 0.6 which is > 0.4 therefore ok.

Hydrocarbons = 0.6 which is > 0.4 therefore ok.

- 7.5 The runoff will also pass through filter trenches and a pond with a reed bed which will add to the mitigation indices. The total combination of SuDS will meet the high water quality and silt removal requirements to discharge via deep borehole soakaways.
- 7.6 The total mitigation level exceeds the development pollution risk and would be suitable for both medium and high-risk developments. The proposed drainage strategy incorporates measures to far exceed the water quality target requirements within the SuDS Manual and therefore complies with the Water Framework Directives for discharge of runoff into controlled waters.

8 Foul Water Drainage Strategy

- 8.1 All foul water flows generated from the development will discharge via gravity into the existing Portsmouth Water foul manhole (reference SU70061101) located beyond the eastern boundary of the site.
- 8.2 A package pumping station will not be required.
- 8.3 The predicted peak foul sewer discharge from the 50 units based on the Sewers for Adoption guidance of 4000 l/dwelling/day will be 2.3 l/s.
- 8.4 Portsmouth Water have confirmed that there is adequate capacity for the proposed development flows. The proposed development will have a 'no detriment' impact on the local foul sewer system and does not create an increase in flood risk.

APPENDIX A

SITE LAYOUT




ROOF TILE KEY

CS26 NATURAL ROOFING SLATE

CLAY LARGE PROFILE PLAIN ROOF TILE TO MAIN ROOFS, SMALL PROFILE PLAIN PORCH TILE TO CO-ORDINATE WITH MAIN ROOF TILE

NOTE:
Proposed replacement tree shelter belt, for details refer to drawing nos 1860-TF-00-00-DR-L-1002 to 1006 by Terraforma Landscape Architects




Revisions				Drawing Status		Drawing Status		Drawing Notes:		Client		Project Title		Date		HGP ARCHITECTS	
				☐ Preliminary		☐ Preliminary		For hard and soft landscaping details refer to drawings nos. 1860-TF-00-00-L-1002 - 1006 inclusive by Terraforma Landscape Architects		BARGATE HOMES		Manor Farm, Bedhampton		June/2018		Wickham Road, Fareham, Hampshire, PO16 7JH	
				☐ Planning		☒ Planning		To be read in conjunction with HGP Architects Ltd drawing nos. 17.043.100-101, 200-202, 204-223 & 226-227 inclusive				Document Title		Drawn by / Checked by		01329 283235	
				☐ Building Regulations		☐ Building Regulations						Proposed Site Layout		TIM / CK		email@hgp-architects.co.uk	
				☐ Construction		☐ Construction						Drawing Scale / Sheet Size		Signature		www.hgp-architects.co.uk	
												1/500@A1					
												Job No.		Drawing Number / Revision		FS 10342	
												17.043		102 U		HGP Architects Ltd. Copyright Reserved. Check dims. on site.	
U New 5 bed House Type shown to Plot 32. Garden length to Plots 22-25 reduced. Parking spaces swap. SUDS in open space amended.				21.10.20		IS /CK											
T Amendments to plots 3,4 & 5, removed SUDS in front of Plot 29, tarmac to parking spaces shown, re-labelled annotation.				02.10.20		IS /CK											



APPENDIX B

TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY




REV. No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	INITIALS			
Client						
Project	Manor Farm Bedhampton		Ipsium Court, The Quadrant, Abingdon Science Park, Oxon, OX14 3YS Tel: 01235 555173 Fax: 01235 523226			
Title	Topographic Survey		Scale 1:500@A1	Date Nov '18	Drawing No.	Rev
Checked CP			Drawn SS	Topo		





APPENDIX C

FOUL AND SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY



- Construction Notes:**
- Do not scale from this drawing.
 - All dimensions in metres.
 - All levels relate to ordnance datum.
 - This drawing is based on the latest Architectural planning layout and shall be read in conjunction with all other relevant longitudinal sections and construction drawings.
 - Any discrepancies with any of the drawings shall be reported to MJA Consulting Engineer.
 - The level and location of all existing services shall be verified on site by the Contractor before commencing any construction work.
 - Contractor must comply with all current legislation relating to CDM, Health and Safety and COSHH.
 - All material in excess of 20kg (i.e. kerbs, manhole units cover etc.) shall be mechanically lifted and laid where possible at all times.
 - Manholes, sewers, headwalls and other structures intended to convey surface water or sewerage are to be constructed in accordance with the Water Authorities Association specification 'Sewers for Adoption' 7th edition and to Southern Water specification and addendum.
 - Gullies, gully connections, drains, manholes, catchpits, soakways, headwalls and other drainage structures intended to convey highway water are to be constructed in accordance with the specification issued by Hampshire County Council.
 - All foul and storm water drains which are not to be adopted as public sewers under a section 104 agreement shall be constructed using uPVC pipes or similar approved, bedded and backfilled in accordance with the manufacturers instructions and to the Building Regulations Part H, BS EN 752 & B.R.E. S01.
 - All building drainage to be 100mm dia. laid at a minimum gradient of 1 in 60 (FW) and 1 in 80 (SW) unless otherwise shown.
 - Sewers and drains of different diameters should be laid soffit to soffit.
 - Inspection chambers on private drains shall be non-access preformed polypropylene.
Depth to invert
< 1.2m DN450
> 1.2m DN600
In accordance with the Building Regulations Part H & BS EN 752.
 - Position of soil pipes, stubstacks, WC outlets rainwater downpipes etc positions shall be checked against architects house type drawings to ensure compatibility.
 - Where back inlet gullies are used they should be roddable.
 - All stub stacks to be fitted with air admittance valve where branch drain exceeds 12m except at head of run.
 - Rainwater downpipes to be connected directly to drains via removable adapter to permit access for rodding.
 - Positions of yard and house gullies are nominal and may be adjusted on site, linear drains to be installed at the end of all drives falling towards the public highway. All gully gratings in drives and parking areas should be of sufficient strength to withstand vehicular loading.
 - Lintels or sleeves are to be provided for drains passing through foundation brickwork.
 - All gully connections to be 150 dia. uPVC ultrarib or similar.
 - All gully connections other than at manholes to be 'Y' junctions.
 - All drain runs are to be flushed through and building materials removed where necessary at the time of final test by Building Inspector and prior to occupation.
 - All finished floor levels are shown on the drawing for guidance only and may need to be adjusted on site. However there is to be no deviation without prior approval.
 - All drives are to have a crossfall or gradient of 1:40 minimum. Maximum drive gradient on down slope to be 1 in 10 and 1 in 8 on up slopes.
 - Continuous edging to be laid at rear of service margin.



- DRAINAGE KEY**
- Private foul drain and inspection chambers
 - Private storm drain and inspection chambers
 - Rodding eye
 - Linear Drain
 - Invert levels shown thus: F10.00 S10.00
 - DN450 x 900mm deep trapped highway gully with 150mm connector
 - 2.5m wide x 0.5m deep swale over 0.5m wide filter/carrier drain
 - Carrier drain with 600-750mm SEL or similar approved catchpit inspection chambers
 - Foul sewer and manhole
 - DN225/300 Manley Quantum or similar approved Perforated Pipes
 - Hydrobrake inspection chamber by CPM or similar approved
 - Multi stage up flow with filter silt removal device by Hydro International
 - Deep bore soakaway chamber with 'Hockeystick' style top to deep bores
 - Perforated PCC Ringed soakaway
 - Permavoid collector/diffuser unit by Charcon or similar approved with catchpit inspection chamber prior to permavoid
 - Permavoid Diffuser Size 0.708x0.708x0.150thk P1
 - Permavoid Collector Size 0.708x0.708x0.150thk P2
 - Note: Minimum Permavoid size 0.708x0.354 attached using Permafit to accord with Building Regulations.
 - Permeable Sub-base level SB78.50

FOR PLANNING ONLY			
REV. No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	INITIALS
I	11.11.20	New planning layout inserted, drainage layout amended	KTG
H	21.02.20	Drainage strategy updated in accordance with latest Site Layout (drawing number 102-P). Drawing issue changed to Tender and drawing number updated.	JRV
G	18.12.19	Pond levels adjusted.	SS
F	18.12.19	Pond depths increased lined soakaway added.	SS
E	05.12.19	Boreholes updated to 10m apart.	SS
D	14.10.19	Updated pond sizes to reflect full network calculations.	SS
C	17.10.19	Hard standing around lay by added	KTG
B	23.09.19	Indicative drainage design updated to accord with revised planning layout. CPM Penstock chamber added to plan.	JRV
A	27.06.19	Pipe and invert level information added to layout	KTG
Client			
Project Manor Farm Bedhampton			
Title Drainage Strategy Layout			
Scale 1:250@A0		Date Mar'19	Drawing No. 5992:P01
Checked		Drawn KTG	Rev 1



APPENDIX D

PRE / POST DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF AND STORAGE CALCULATIONS

MJA Consulting		Page 1
Monarch House Barton Lane OX14 3NB	Land off Lower Road Bedhampton Greenfield Rates	
Date 28/03/2019 File	Designed by S.Smith Checked by	
Innovyze Source Control 2017.1.2		

ICP SUDS Mean Annual Flood

Input

Return Period (years)	100	Soil	0.400
Area (ha)	1.094	Urban	0.000
SAAR (mm)	729	Region Number	Region 7

Results l/s

QBAR Rural	3.9
QBAR Urban	3.9
Q100 years	12.5
Q1 year	3.3
Q30 years	8.8
Q100 years	12.5

©1982-2017 XP Solutions

MJA Consulting		Page 1
Monarch House Barton Lane OX14 3NB	Land off Lower Road Bedhampton Greenfield Volume	
Date 28/03/2019 File	Designed by S.Smith Checked by	
Innovyze	Source Control 2017.1.2	

Greenfield Runoff Volume

FSR Data

Return Period (years)	100
Storm Duration (mins)	360
Region	England and Wales
M5-60 (mm)	19.500
Ratio R	0.349
Areal Reduction Factor	1.00
Area (ha)	1.094
SAAR (mm)	789
CWI	116.484
Urban	0.000
SPR	47.000

Results

Percentage Runoff (%)	49.10
Greenfield Runoff Volume (m ³)	346.526

MJA Consulting			Page 1																																																																																																																																																																																					
Monarch House Barton Lane OX14 3NB																																																																																																																																																																																								
Date 05/04/2019 14:17 File Pond-DeepBoreholeSoakaw...		Designed by stewart Checked by																																																																																																																																																																																						
Innovyze		Source Control 2017.1.2																																																																																																																																																																																						
<u>Cascade Summary of Results for Deep Borehole Soakaway.SRCX</u> Upstream Outflow To Overflow To Structures Pond.SRCX (None) (None) Half Drain Time : 13 minutes. <table><thead><tr><th>Storm Event</th><th>Max Level (m)</th><th>Max Depth (m)</th><th>Max Infiltration (l/s)</th><th>Max Volume (m³)</th><th>Status</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>15 min Summer</td><td>86.653</td><td>38.153</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.2</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>30 min Summer</td><td>83.283</td><td>34.783</td><td>1.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>60 min Summer</td><td>86.183</td><td>37.683</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.2</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>120 min Summer</td><td>88.823</td><td>40.323</td><td>1.3</td><td>1.3</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>180 min Summer</td><td>90.210</td><td>41.710</td><td>1.3</td><td>1.3</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>240 min Summer</td><td>91.101</td><td>42.601</td><td>1.3</td><td>1.3</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>360 min Summer</td><td>92.335</td><td>43.835</td><td>1.4</td><td>1.4</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>480 min Summer</td><td>93.179</td><td>44.679</td><td>1.4</td><td>1.4</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>600 min Summer</td><td>93.804</td><td>45.304</td><td>1.4</td><td>1.4</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>720 min Summer</td><td>94.290</td><td>45.790</td><td>1.4</td><td>1.4</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>960 min Summer</td><td>95.001</td><td>46.501</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>1440 min Summer</td><td>95.854</td><td>47.354</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>2160 min Summer</td><td>96.458</td><td>47.958</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>2880 min Summer</td><td>96.673</td><td>48.173</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>4320 min Summer</td><td>96.537</td><td>48.037</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>5760 min Summer</td><td>96.091</td><td>47.591</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>O K</td></tr><tr><td>7200 min Summer</td><td>95.621</td><td>47.121</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>O K</td></tr></tbody></table> <table><thead><tr><th>Storm Event</th><th>Rain (mm/hr)</th><th>Flooded Volume (m³)</th><th>Time-Peak (mins)</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>15 min Summer</td><td>127.517</td><td>0.0</td><td>1440</td></tr><tr><td>30 min Summer</td><td>85.701</td><td>0.0</td><td>113</td></tr><tr><td>60 min Summer</td><td>54.957</td><td>0.0</td><td>136</td></tr><tr><td>120 min Summer</td><td>34.010</td><td>0.0</td><td>186</td></tr><tr><td>180 min Summer</td><td>25.295</td><td>0.0</td><td>238</td></tr><tr><td>240 min Summer</td><td>20.351</td><td>0.0</td><td>294</td></tr><tr><td>360 min Summer</td><td>14.971</td><td>0.0</td><td>406</td></tr><tr><td>480 min Summer</td><td>12.028</td><td>0.0</td><td>520</td></tr><tr><td>600 min Summer</td><td>10.141</td><td>0.0</td><td>638</td></tr><tr><td>720 min Summer</td><td>8.817</td><td>0.0</td><td>756</td></tr><tr><td>960 min Summer</td><td>7.063</td><td>0.0</td><td>990</td></tr><tr><td>1440 min Summer</td><td>5.157</td><td>0.0</td><td>1466</td></tr><tr><td>2160 min Summer</td><td>3.757</td><td>0.0</td><td>2180</td></tr><tr><td>2880 min Summer</td><td>2.997</td><td>0.0</td><td>2896</td></tr><tr><td>4320 min Summer</td><td>2.176</td><td>0.0</td><td>4332</td></tr><tr><td>5760 min Summer</td><td>1.731</td><td>0.0</td><td>5152</td></tr><tr><td>7200 min Summer</td><td>1.449</td><td>0.0</td><td>5880</td></tr></tbody></table>					Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status	15 min Summer	86.653	38.153	1.2	1.2	O K	30 min Summer	83.283	34.783	1.1	1.1	O K	60 min Summer	86.183	37.683	1.2	1.2	O K	120 min Summer	88.823	40.323	1.3	1.3	O K	180 min Summer	90.210	41.710	1.3	1.3	O K	240 min Summer	91.101	42.601	1.3	1.3	O K	360 min Summer	92.335	43.835	1.4	1.4	O K	480 min Summer	93.179	44.679	1.4	1.4	O K	600 min Summer	93.804	45.304	1.4	1.4	O K	720 min Summer	94.290	45.790	1.4	1.4	O K	960 min Summer	95.001	46.501	1.5	1.5	O K	1440 min Summer	95.854	47.354	1.5	1.5	O K	2160 min Summer	96.458	47.958	1.5	1.5	O K	2880 min Summer	96.673	48.173	1.5	1.5	O K	4320 min Summer	96.537	48.037	1.5	1.5	O K	5760 min Summer	96.091	47.591	1.5	1.5	O K	7200 min Summer	95.621	47.121	1.5	1.5	O K	Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)	15 min Summer	127.517	0.0	1440	30 min Summer	85.701	0.0	113	60 min Summer	54.957	0.0	136	120 min Summer	34.010	0.0	186	180 min Summer	25.295	0.0	238	240 min Summer	20.351	0.0	294	360 min Summer	14.971	0.0	406	480 min Summer	12.028	0.0	520	600 min Summer	10.141	0.0	638	720 min Summer	8.817	0.0	756	960 min Summer	7.063	0.0	990	1440 min Summer	5.157	0.0	1466	2160 min Summer	3.757	0.0	2180	2880 min Summer	2.997	0.0	2896	4320 min Summer	2.176	0.0	4332	5760 min Summer	1.731	0.0	5152	7200 min Summer	1.449	0.0	5880
Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status																																																																																																																																																																																			
15 min Summer	86.653	38.153	1.2	1.2	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
30 min Summer	83.283	34.783	1.1	1.1	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
60 min Summer	86.183	37.683	1.2	1.2	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
120 min Summer	88.823	40.323	1.3	1.3	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
180 min Summer	90.210	41.710	1.3	1.3	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
240 min Summer	91.101	42.601	1.3	1.3	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
360 min Summer	92.335	43.835	1.4	1.4	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
480 min Summer	93.179	44.679	1.4	1.4	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
600 min Summer	93.804	45.304	1.4	1.4	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
720 min Summer	94.290	45.790	1.4	1.4	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
960 min Summer	95.001	46.501	1.5	1.5	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
1440 min Summer	95.854	47.354	1.5	1.5	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
2160 min Summer	96.458	47.958	1.5	1.5	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
2880 min Summer	96.673	48.173	1.5	1.5	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
4320 min Summer	96.537	48.037	1.5	1.5	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
5760 min Summer	96.091	47.591	1.5	1.5	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
7200 min Summer	95.621	47.121	1.5	1.5	O K																																																																																																																																																																																			
Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)																																																																																																																																																																																					
15 min Summer	127.517	0.0	1440																																																																																																																																																																																					
30 min Summer	85.701	0.0	113																																																																																																																																																																																					
60 min Summer	54.957	0.0	136																																																																																																																																																																																					
120 min Summer	34.010	0.0	186																																																																																																																																																																																					
180 min Summer	25.295	0.0	238																																																																																																																																																																																					
240 min Summer	20.351	0.0	294																																																																																																																																																																																					
360 min Summer	14.971	0.0	406																																																																																																																																																																																					
480 min Summer	12.028	0.0	520																																																																																																																																																																																					
600 min Summer	10.141	0.0	638																																																																																																																																																																																					
720 min Summer	8.817	0.0	756																																																																																																																																																																																					
960 min Summer	7.063	0.0	990																																																																																																																																																																																					
1440 min Summer	5.157	0.0	1466																																																																																																																																																																																					
2160 min Summer	3.757	0.0	2180																																																																																																																																																																																					
2880 min Summer	2.997	0.0	2896																																																																																																																																																																																					
4320 min Summer	2.176	0.0	4332																																																																																																																																																																																					
5760 min Summer	1.731	0.0	5152																																																																																																																																																																																					
7200 min Summer	1.449	0.0	5880																																																																																																																																																																																					
©1982-2017 XP Solutions																																																																																																																																																																																								

MJA Consulting				Page 2		
Monarch House Barton Lane OX14 3NB						
Date 05/04/2019 14:17 File Pond-DeepBoreholeSoakaw...		Designed by stewart Checked by				
Innovyze		Source Control 2017.1.2				
<u>Cascade Summary of Results for Deep Borehole Soakaway.SRCX</u>						
	Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Infiltration (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status
	8640 min Summer	95.220	46.720	1.5	1.5	O K
	10080 min Summer	94.870	46.370	1.5	1.5	O K
	15 min Winter	85.415	36.915	1.2	1.2	O K
	30 min Winter	84.579	36.079	1.1	1.1	O K
	60 min Winter	87.598	39.098	1.2	1.2	O K
	120 min Winter	90.346	41.846	1.3	1.3	O K
	180 min Winter	91.793	43.293	1.4	1.4	O K
	240 min Winter	92.722	44.222	1.4	1.4	O K
	360 min Winter	94.016	45.516	1.4	1.4	O K
	480 min Winter	94.902	46.402	1.5	1.5	O K
	600 min Winter	95.564	47.064	1.5	1.5	O K
	720 min Winter	96.079	47.579	1.5	1.5	O K
	960 min Winter	96.845	48.345	1.5	1.5	O K
	1440 min Winter	97.785	49.285	1.5	1.5	O K
	2160 min Winter	98.500	50.000	1.6	1.6	O K
	2880 min Winter	98.571	50.071	1.6	1.7	O K
	4320 min Winter	98.646	50.146	1.6	1.9	O K
	5760 min Winter	98.537	50.037	1.6	1.7	O K
	7200 min Winter	98.157	49.657	1.6	1.6	O K
	8640 min Winter	97.728	49.228	1.5	1.5	O K
	10080 min Winter	97.311	48.811	1.5	1.5	O K
	Storm Event	Rain (mm/hr)	Flooded Volume (m³)	Time-Peak (mins)		
	8640 min Summer	1.255	0.0	6544		
	10080 min Summer	1.111	0.0	7440		
	15 min Winter	127.517	0.0	1440		
	30 min Winter	85.701	0.0	118		
	60 min Winter	54.957	0.0	140		
	120 min Winter	34.010	0.0	188		
	180 min Winter	25.295	0.0	238		
	240 min Winter	20.351	0.0	290		
	360 min Winter	14.971	0.0	402		
	480 min Winter	12.028	0.0	514		
	600 min Winter	10.141	0.0	630		
	720 min Winter	8.817	0.0	746		
	960 min Winter	7.063	0.0	978		
	1440 min Winter	5.157	0.0	1444		
	2160 min Winter	3.757	0.0	2144		
	2880 min Winter	2.997	0.0	3040		
	4320 min Winter	2.176	0.0	4444		
	5760 min Winter	1.731	0.0	5680		
	7200 min Winter	1.449	0.0	6608		
	8640 min Winter	1.255	0.0	6912		
	10080 min Winter	1.111	0.0	7928		
©1982-2017 XP Solutions						

MJA Consulting		Page 3
Monarch House Barton Lane OX14 3NB		
Date 05/04/2019 14:17 File Pond-DeepBoreholeSoakaw...	Designed by stewart Checked by	
Innovyze	Source Control 2017.1.2	

Cascade Rainfall Details for Deep Borehole Soakaway.SRCX

Rainfall Model	FSR	Winter Storms	Yes
Return Period (years)	100	Cv (Summer)	0.750
Region	England and Wales	Cv (Winter)	0.840
M5-60 (mm)	19.400	Shortest Storm (mins)	15
Ratio R	0.350	Longest Storm (mins)	10080
Summer Storms	Yes	Climate Change %	+40

Time Area Diagram

Total Area (ha) 0.000

Time (mins)		Area
From:	To:	(ha)
0	4	0.000



APPENDIX E

PUBLIC SEWER RECORDS



THE CIVIL ENGINEERING PRACTICE
14 AUG 2017
RECEIVED

P	PM	SEM
Project No:		22863
Engineer:		
Action By:		
Action Date:		

The Civil Engineering Practice
Unit 11
Tungsten Building
George Street
Fishersgate
West Sussex
BN41 1RA

Developer Services
Southern Water
Sparrowgrove House
Sparrowgrove
Otterbourne
Hampshire
SO21 2SW

Tel: 0330 303 0119

Email: developerservices@southernwater.co.uk

Your Ref:

Our Ref:

CC/003497

Date:

09 August 2017

F.A.O: Mr. Magowan,

Site: Manor Farm, Bedhampton, Havant, Hampshire, PO9 3LH.

Dear Mr. Magowan,

We have completed the capacity check for the above development site and the results are as follows:-


Foul capacity check:

There is currently inadequate capacity within the foul sewerage network to accommodate a foul flow for the above development at manhole reference SU70061101. The proposed development would increase flows to the public sewerage system, and existing properties and land may be subject to a greater risk of flooding as a result. Additional off-site sewers, or improvements to existing sewers, will be required to provide sufficient capacity to service the development.

The nearest point where capacity is currently available is at Budds Farm Havant WTW which is located approximately 880 m South East of the proposed development site.

Section 98 of the Water Industry Act 1991 provides a legal mechanism through which the appropriate infrastructure can be requested (by the developer) and provided to drain a specific location.

SOUTHERN WATER



The positions of pipes shown on this plan are believed to be correct, but Southern Water Services Ltd accept no responsibility in the event of inaccuracy. The actual positions should be determined on site.

Based upon Ordnance Survey Digital Data with the permission of the controller of H.M.S.O. Crown Copyright Reserved Licence No. WU 298530

O.S. REF: SU7005NE

Sewer Plot

WARNING: BAC pipes are constructed of Bonded Asbestos Cement

WARNING: Unknown (UNK) materials may include Bonded Asbestos Cement

Scale: 1:1250



Printed By: kolacha

Nearest Point of Available Capacity

Requested By:

Date: 9-8-2017

APPENDIX F

SUDS COMPATIBILITY MATRIX

SuDS Type	Description	Suitable for this site	Comments
Green Roofs	Green roofs comprise a multi-layered system that covers the roof of a building with vegetation cover over a drainage layer. They are designed to intercept and retain rainfall, reducing the volume of runoff and attenuating		Living Roofs would not be technically feasible at this development due to factors such as loadings, steep roof pitch of proposed dwellings, visual impact and high maintenance burden to homeowners.
Rainwater Harvesting	Re-using rainwater for non-potable purposes such as irrigation and toilet flushing.		Rainwater harvesting cannot be relied upon to guarantee a reduction in the volume of water leaving the site as it relies upon tanks having available capacity. During intense/prolonged periods of rainfall it is likely that the tanks will be full and will overflow into the system. These systems can also be a high maintenance burden for residential homeowners. Cost benefit of system is not recovered until 10-15 years.
Soakaways	Soakaways provide stormwater attenuation, stormwater treatment and groundwater recharge.		Onsite infiltration via soakaways is not feasible in the superficial and upper chalk geology. However deep borehole soakaways could be used in this instance where infiltration rates are 1x10 ⁻⁴ m/s about 15m below ground level.
Filter Strip / Trenches / Swales	Filter strips are linear grassed or vegetated strips of land / channels designed to accept runoff as overland sheet flow from impermeable surfaces usually located adjacent road or parking areas and used to treat infiltrated or convey runoff.		Potentially viable on this site.
Permeable Paving	Pervious pavements provide a pavement suitable for pedestrian and vehicular traffic, while allowing rainwater to infiltrate through the surface and into the underlying layers. The water is temporarily stored before infiltration to the ground, reuse, or discharge to a watercourse or other drainage system.		Potentially on private drives / parking areas/ roads. This would improve water quality into the ground and subsequent aquifer/river.
Bio Retention	Bioretention areas are shallow landscaped depressions which are typically under-drained and rely on engineered soils and enhanced vegetation and filtration to remove pollution and reduce runoff downstream. They are aimed at managing and treating runoff from frequent rainfall events.		A bio retention pond could be utilised at this development if the receiving waterbody is considered sensitive and additional treatment is required. Although likely not required.
Ponds / Basins	Ponds can be used to store and treat water. 'Wet' ponds have a constant body of water and run-off is additional, while 'dry' ponds are empty during periods without rainfall. Ponds can be designed to allow infiltration into the ground or to store water for a period of time before discharge.		A pond / basin can be utilised at this development to provide attenuation and improvements in water quality. There is sufficient open space.
Underground Storage	Underground large diameter Concrete pipes or Geocellular Tanks to reduce and attenuate peak flows		Underground storage tanks can be utilised at this development if required.



APPENDIX G

LLFA RESPONSE



Hampshire County Council

Stuart Magowan
The Civil Engineering Practice
11 Tungsten Building, George
Street, Fishergate,
West Sussex
BN41 1RA

*Economy, Transport and Environment Department
Elizabeth II Court West, The Castle
Winchester, Hampshire SO23 8UD*

Tel: 0300 555 1375 (General Enquiries)
0300 555 1388 (Roads and Transport)
0300 555 1389 (Planning)
Textphone 0300 555 1390
Fax 01962 847055
www.hants.gov.uk

<i>Enquiries to</i>	Flood & Water Management	<i>My reference</i>	LLFA/Pre-app/2018/0023
<i>Direct line</i>	01962 846730	<i>Your reference</i>	C4C 101296239
<i>Date</i>	30 th November 2018	<i>E-mail</i>	Swm.consultee@hants.gov.uk

Dear Sir,

Re: Land to the south of Lower Road (Manor Farm), Bedhampton PO9 3LH

We refer to your request for pre-application advice on 14th November 2018 and our subsequent meeting on 22nd November 2018.

Pre-application advice

As the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) Hampshire County Council is a statutory consultee for Surface Water Drainage on applications for major development. From 15 April 2015 Hampshire County Council were required to be consulted on these developments when a planning application is received by the Local Planning Authority. Further to this we have a regulatory role in relation to consenting works on ordinary watercourses.

Historic Flooding information

We have reviewed our records of flood incidents for this area and have the following records of flooding within a 500m radius of the site. Any improvements in the run-off from this site may help alleviate further flooding incidents. This does not necessarily mean that other flood events have not occurred, they either may not have been reported or events may have occurred prior to 2012 – HCC records have only been maintained since then. The EA may hold data on flood events prior to this date.

- **13030:** Pluvial flooding event prior to 2012 on Bedhampton Road. Lack of capacity in SW sewer. Gully grates upsized in 2013/14 drainage programme

Please refer to attached map for the location of flooding events.

There is a range of modelling information from the EA which highlights the potential risk from surface water flooding in a GIS format. Each model makes different assumptions regarding drainage provision, permeability of the area, routing around

buildings etc. Therefore, each model should be considered. The different models are as follows:

Areas susceptible to surface water flooding (AStSWf)

Flood Map for Surface Water (FMfSW)

Updated Flood Map for Surface Water (uFMfSW)

It is recommended that all modelling sets are used to assess the site for surface water flooding events and a suitable model be developed. The level of complexity should be site dependant including for topography and permeability of the area.

In general, it is considered that the surface water flood risk within the site boundaries is low and providing flow routes are considered should be manageable. The site is within **Flood Zone 1** only.

For information regarding Fluvial flooding please contact the Environment Agency. Please refer to the following EA website: <https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/>

For information regarding foul flooding, please contact the relevant sewerage provider.

The site is located within the Waterbody Catchment of Hermitage Stream with Main River Bedhampton Brook leading to Hermitage Stream.

Ordinary Watercourse consenting

HCC have no records of Ordinary Watercourse Consents within the search area.

Any works to ordinary watercourses that impact on capacity will require Ordinary Watercourse Consent. Please refer to the HCC [OWC website](#) for further information and the forms for consents. Consenting process takes approximately 2 months.

Drainage Assets

Please refer to the attached map which shows Hampshire CC drainage assets.

Please Note: *The data supplied has been compiled from a variety of sources of varying reliability. The data is constantly being revised and validated to ensure the highest accuracy possible. However, the data should not be relied upon or considered completely accurate and the data is provided on the understanding that neither the County Council nor the disclosing officer is to be held responsible should you rely on this data and consequently suffer damage.*

Surface Water drainage

Notes from meeting held between HCC Flood and Water Management Team, The Civil Engineering Practice and Bargate Homes held on 22nd November 2018.

Summary of Development and location:

- The site is located immediately south of Source Protection Zone 1 (Inner Protection). *HCC note that liaison with the EA and Portsmouth Water has already been undertaken*

- The development will be submitted for full planning permission by Bargate Homes.
- 50 dwellings are proposed
- HCC and Southern Water drainage assets are to the north of the site (uphill) therefore not possible to connect to.

Potential existing flooding constraints:

- The site is currently Greenfield therefore proposed discharge rates and volumes must not exceed existing Greenfield runoff rates.
- The site falls to the South-West with the southern boundary formed by the railway in a cutting
- An access bridge over the railway exists and will be retained for future use by the farmer to access land on the southern side of the railway
- The site does not currently flood, nor does it appear to infiltrate following soakage tests carried out to BRE365 in recent months
- There are no watercourses on or near the site to discharge surface water to

Drainage proposals:

- The site is not thought to be suitable for infiltration following site investigations undertaken in accordance with BRE365. *HCC request that all infiltration testing data be included in the surface water management plan as evidence that the site is not suitable for infiltration.*
- Where possible green corridors should be used to take exceedance flows and follow modelled flow routes thereby mimicking the existing situation.
- Surface level SuDS features such as permanent wet ponds would be considered highly beneficial from both a water quality and quantity point of view as well as providing the site with bio-diversity and amenity value and should be considered at the outset. *HCC note that swales, detention basins and some permeable paving is being considered*
- Increased tree planting – particularly within public open space and as tree belts along with areas of vegetation naturally slow down water and increases infiltration. Appropriately selected tree species can intercept up to 60% of rainfall. Trees (and their associated rooting environments) help to reduce flood risk by increasing transpiration (water loss by vegetation), provide rainfall interception, increase infiltration and storage. Further guidance on appropriate tree species for SuDS can be found in the [Tree Selector tool](#) produced by the Trees and Design Action Group (Tdag). It is also worth noting that at least two credits are available towards BREEAM certification if SuDS schemes are effectively integrated into a development.
- As stated on the HCC website we would expect to have demonstrated existing Greenfield runoff rates and volumes as well as proposed rates and volumes to reflect existing
- The proposals being considered are to eventually discharge surface water into the ground via a deep bore hole incorporating one additional mitigation step more than is required by the Ciria SuDS Manual (Tables 26.2, 3 and 4 within Chapter 26. *Whilst there are times when deep bore soakaways may be appropriate we would consider this as a drainage option of last resort. A suitable assessment should therefore be provided to prove why other*

systems are not viable. If it is necessary to consider deep bore soakaways, then appropriate silt removal processes/features will be required upstream. We do note that the system proposed for this site does incorporate several SuDS features prior to water entering the bore hole and note that neither Portsmouth Water nor the EA have concerns.

- HCC would expect to see reference made to a maintenance/management plan in the Surface Water Drainage Plan for all SuDS features within the site – who will be responsible for which features. *HCC note that the whole site will be managed through the developer. Details of the management strategy must be provided as part of the Surface Water Plan submission.*
- *We would also recommend consideration of phasing work in particular SuDS features throughout the construction period in order to ensure they are protected and thereby work effectively following completion of the works.*

Drainage Provision

The SuDS Manual Ciria C753 provides best practise and design advice on SuDS design and should be referred to during the design process. Drainage systems should look to mimic the natural processes as far as practicable, collecting and storing / infiltrating rain water as close to the source as possible. If infiltration is not possible, attenuation options should be considered with a controlled outfall so that water leaving the site is minimised and the 'peak runoff value' flattened.

The site geology appears to be located bedrock: Lewes Nodular Chalk formation and Seaford Chal with a superficial layer of: River terrace deposits, Sand, silt, clay
<http://www.bgs.ac.uk/downloads/start.cfm?id=2920>

Vulnerability of site from surface or ground water information

- EA mapping shows that the site is at low risk of surface water flooding.
- The site is at a greater risk of groundwater flooding (greater than 75%) on the eastern side to the western at around 50-75% chance

For further information on the vulnerability of the area from either surface water or river/sea flooding, please see the maps available from the Environment Agency on the .GOV website.

Hampshire also have a draft [Groundwater Management Plan](#) that was never published but is available on our website which contains useful information

HCC prepared [Surface Water Management Plans](#) which are available on our website however these will be replaced by Catchment Approach Plans over the forthcoming months.

Planning requirements

Hampshire County Council's website lists all the information required when assessing planning applications. This information should be provided within a Flood Risk Assessment, Drainage Strategy, drawings and calculations.

We would recommend the inclusion of an executive summary to provide key information in a more accessible format or the inclusion of our checklist (available in section 3 on our website)

Please note: the Environment Agency guidance relating to climate change was updated in February 2016. A [link](#) is provided on the County Council webpage. *We would expect that the system is tested to a 40% climate change uplift.*

We would also expect to see a suitable allowance for urban creep, this factor reflects the future predicted increase of urban development, and with it, the increase of the impervious area. An allowance of 10% on impermeable areas is suitable in relation to confirming that the proposed system is acceptable.

This response has been provided using the best knowledge and information submitted as part of the planning application at the time of responding and is reliant on the accuracy of that information.

If you have any queries on this pre-application advice please contact the FWM Team quoting the above reference.

Regards



FWM Team
fwm@hants.gov.uk

Users' declaration

I understand that in using advice provided by the Hampshire Flood and Water Management Team I am aware of the following:

1. The Flood and Water Management (FWM) Team is part of Hampshire County Council ("We/Us/Our"). The Council holds copyright of this information and advice on behalf of the FWM team except where service level agreements state otherwise. No direct reproduction of this advice will be allowed as a direct copy, except for the purpose of Environment Information Requests.
2. Advice provided by the Hampshire FWM Team will only be used for the purpose stated and will not be stored beyond the life of the project for which it was acquired.
3. Any personal information supplied in association with these records will not be used, copied, or passed to any third party without the consent of the Hampshire FWM Team and in accordance with the Data Protection Act.
4. Whilst every reasonable effort is made to validate information supplied to and by the Hampshire FWM Team owing to the dynamic and judgmental nature of information relating to flooding, the Hampshire disclaims any responsibility for the accuracy or comprehensiveness of the information supplied, and accepts no liability for any indirect, consequential, or incidental damages or losses arising from use of the information.
5. I am aware of the Hampshire FWM Team charging schedule and understand I may be charged for the advice.



Appendix H

SUDS MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
MANOR FARM
BEDHAMPTON
HAMPSHIRE**


SUDS MANAGEMENT & MAINTENANCE PLAN

BARGATE HOMES LTD

Rev C

NOVEMBER 2020

REF: SS/19/0185/5992



DOCUMENT CONTROL RECORD


Document Issue:

Rev	Date	Issue Status	Prepared by	Checked by
-	04.04.19	First Issue	S. Smith	C. Pendle
A	28.06.19	Up-Flo Filter added	S. Smith	C. Pendle
B	14.11.19	Construction measures added - 2.4	S. Smith	C. Pendle
C	11.11.20	Revised drainage strategy added	K.Gebhart	C.Pendle

References:

The SUDS manual – CIRIA C753 (2015) ISBN 9780-86017-760-9

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) – Communities and Local Government
Technical Guidance - Flood Risk & Coastal Change (March 2012)



1 Introduction

- 1.1 This document sets out the principles for the long term management and maintenance of the proposed surface water Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) installed at the residential development at land adjacent to Lower Road, Bedhampton.
- 1.2 The purpose of this document is to set out the basis of the development SuDS Maintenance Plan and to ensure that the adopting management company is entrusted with a robust inspection and maintenance programme, ensuring the optimum operation of the surface water drainage network is continually maintained for the lifetime of the development and to prevent the increased risk of flooding both on and off site in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).
- 1.3 The activities listed in this document are generic to the relative SuDS types and represent the minimum maintenance and inspection requirements, however additional tasks or varied maintenance frequency may be instructed by the maintenance company as required. Specific maintenance needs of the SuDS elements should be monitored and maintenance schedules adjusted to suit requirements.
- 1.4 The installed SuDS system at this development are the initial responsibility of Bargate Homes.
Following installation and after an initial period (TBC) of operation, all SuDS are to be transferred to an appointed Management Company.
An agreement shall be set up by Bargate Homes, who shall confirm in writing to the Principle Planning Officer at Hampshire County Council when the maintenance agreement is completed and is operative.
- 1.5 The Management Company will then be responsible for maintaining the surface water system including all SuDS in perpetuity. All property owners should be advised that an annual management fee will need to be paid to the management company for this service.
- 1.6 All those responsible for maintenance should follow relevant Health and Safety legislation (Health and Safety at Work Regulations, 1999) for all activities listed within this report including lone working, if relevant) and risk assessments should always be undertaken.
- 1.7 Any contractor employed by the Management Company shall carry out periodic maintenance of all such SuDS in accordance with the schedules listed in this report. Inspection checks shall be carried out by a qualified and competent person, at the minimum intervals listed within the schedules and the appropriate work carried out.
- 1.8 This report is to read in conjunction with MJA drawing '5992:P01 'Drainage Strategy' for the location of all SuDS elements present within the development. Reference should also be made to the detailed landscape proposals for the proposed treatment / maintenance regime for all landscape features. The operations contained within this plan shall (where feasible) be in conjunction with routine grounds maintenance operations to the surrounding landscape.

2 SuDS Layout & Design

- 2.1 The storm water drainage strategy for the proposed development is to utilise a range of SuDS features to attenuate all surface water runoff from impermeable areas, with a restricted discharge via a flow control into deep borehole soakaways.
- 2.2 The proposed storm water system consists of the following drainage and SuDS components:
- Attenuation Basin
 - Storm water pipe work and road gullies
 - Flow control chambers
 - Outfall headwall
 - Linear Filter Trench (with perforated pipe)
 - Up-Flo Filter
 - Penstock Valve
- 2.3 There are three categories of maintenance activities referred to in this report:
- **Regular maintenance** (including inspections and monitoring).
Consists of basic tasks done on a frequent and predictable schedule, including vegetation management, litter and debris removal, and inspections.
 - **Occasional maintenance**
Comprises tasks that are likely to be required periodically, but on a much less frequent and predictable basis than the routine tasks (sediment removal is an example).
 - **Remedial maintenance**
Comprises intermittent tasks that may be required to rectify faults associated with the system, although the likelihood of faults can be minimised by good design.
Where remedial work is found to be necessary, it is likely to be due to site-specific characteristics or unforeseen events, and as such timings are difficult to predict.
- 2.4 It is also important that measures are taken to protect and ensure continued operation of drainage features during the construction phase. All road gullies will be blocked until the site is complete. Mesh will be placed over the outfalls and swales to prevent construction silts clogging up the drainage system and basins. The penstock valve will be set to the **CLOSED** position until all construction is complete on site. Any permeable paving will be capped with a layer of concrete during construction and cored through once the majority of the construction is complete.

3 SUDS Management & Maintenance

3.1 Storm water pipe work and road gullies

- 3.2 The key maintenance requirement for the upstream surface water drainage system includes the removal of sediments and debris from the system as required.
- 3.3 All storm water pipe work should be visually inspected a minimum of monthly for the first three months after installation and then a minimum of once year.
As road gullies are the first on the treatment train and susceptible to higher silt loadings, these will need to be inspected a minimum of monthly for the first three months after installation and then a minimum of every four months.
- 3.4 During this inspection identify any gully pots or pipes that require remedial maintenance such removal of sediment, debris, leaves and litter as required.
This involves the removal all protective covers and grids and the cleaning out of channels or gully pots by hand or with suitable jetting equipment.
- 3.5 During the inspections the general operation and structural integrity of all components should be recorded and repaired as required.

3.6 Flow Control Chamber

- 3.7 The key maintenance requirement for the flow control chambers will be the inspection of the units for blockages of the vortex flow control unit and silt removal from sump of chamber.
- 3.8 The hydraulic vortex flow control unit has no moving parts and is self-activating, requiring minimal maintenance. After initial installation, it is recommended that the unit be inspected monthly for three months.
- 3.9 Thereafter the manhole chamber and control device should be inspected at least every six months to verify the condition and operation of the unit and check for blockages within the inlet of the chamber and of the flow control device. During these inspections, accumulated silts should be removed and the sump cleaned out using a conventional sump vacuum cleaner. Flow Controls are fitted with a pivoting by-pass door, which allows the manhole chamber to be drained down should blockages occur.

3.10 Outfall Headwalls

- 3.11 Outfall headwalls should be visually inspected a minimum of monthly for the first three months after installation and then a minimum of once a year.
Accumulated sediments, debris and any overgrown vegetative material should be removed from the culvert and margins of the outlet headwalls as necessary.

- 3.12 During the inspections the general operation, and structural condition of the headwall and any erosion of banks or scour control features should be identified and rehabilitated as required.

3.13 Attenuation Basins

Key Maintenance Requirements:

- Litter/trash removal
- Inlet/outlet headwall inspection and cleaning
- Vegetation management
- Sediment monitoring and removal when required

- 3.14 Regular inspection and maintenance is important for the effective operation of the attenuation basin.

The basin will be subject to a routine monitoring and maintenance schedule as part of the general site management.

This will be carried out at intervals detailed below, and shall comprise of the following operations:

Collection and removal of all litter/large debris from the attenuation pond, inlets, outflows, and water margins once a month.

Monthly inspection of the attenuation ponds to check the following, and repair as necessary:

1. Any failure in the operation of the inlet and outlet headwalls unless adopted by others in which case they will be notified of any observed defect.
2. Any damage or erosion to the perimeter edges and slopes of the attenuation ponds.

- 3.15 The attenuation basin will be sown with two grassland seed mixes to create a simple mosaic of grassland habitat. The floor of the basin will be seeded with a wet meadow mix (WF2), whilst the banks will be seeded with a standard wildflower meadow mix (WF1) as detailed on the landscape proposals drawings.

3.16 Ground preparation

The basin should be constructed of predominantly low fertility subsoil, with only a thin upper layer of topsoil to be left after ground modelling to take up in-perfections in the soil surface. Final surface to be harrowed / fully cultivated to break-up large soil clods.

The basin area should then be continually cultivated of a period of several weeks to remove unwanted surface vegetation and weeds prior to meadow seeding.

No herbicide is to be used due to the sensitive location for the basin construction. The ground should be cultivated to form a medium tilth and basin to be seeded at the recommended sowing rate, followed by light rolling (if necessary) throughout the meadow area.

3.17 Landscape Maintenance (Year 1)

In the first year, meadow areas should be managed more intensively to prevent the intrusion of invasive ground flora and allow a diverse sward to develop. The first cut should occur when the grass reaches approximately 100mm, down to 50mm, followed by subsequent cuts every 4-6 weeks down to 50mm throughout the first growing season.

Meadow areas should be monitored to assess the growth of any invasive species and grassland areas should be hand-weeded or spot swiped for any perennial weeds such as docks, nettles and ragwort.

3.18 Landscape Maintenance (Year 2 onwards)

Meadow areas will be maintained with a bi-annual cuts in May / June and after seed has dropped in late September. Meadow grassland should be cut to a height of approximately 100mm. All arising should be allowed to lay in-situ for 24 hours before being removed from site in order to allow any wildlife using the basin to disperse.

No fertiliser should be added to the grassland at any time and an annual monitoring visit to the grassland should be conducted to inform management that may be required.

3.19 An annual check carried out by a suitably qualified person, to assess the condition and operation of the attenuation basin inlet and outflow, and the accumulation of silt/sediment.

3.20 A record of maintenance visits and remedial operations shall be maintained.

3.21 The above operations shall (where feasible) be in conjunction with routine grounds maintenance operations to the surrounding landscape.

Basin Operation and Maintenance Requirements

Maintenance schedule	Required action	Frequency
Regular maintenance	Litter, debris and trash removal.	Monthly.
	Grass cutting – for landscaped areas and access routes.	Monthly (during growing season), or as required.
	Grass cutting – meadow grass in and around basin.	Half yearly (spring – before nesting season, and autumn).
	Manage other vegetation and remove nuisance plants.	Monthly (at start, then as required).
Occasional maintenance	Re-seed areas of poor vegetation growth.	Annually, or as required.
	Prune and trim trees and remove cuttings.	As required.
	Remove sediment from pre-treatment system when 50% full.	As required.
Remedial actions	Repair of erosion or other damage by re-seeding or re-turfing.	As required.
	Realignment of rip-rap.	As required.
	Repair/rehabilitation of inlets, outlets and overflows.	As required.
	Rehabilitate infiltration surface using scarifying and spiking techniques if performance deteriorates.	As required.
	Re-level uneven surfaces and reinstate design levels.	As required.
Monitoring	Inspect inlets, outlets and overflows for blockages, and clear if required.	Monthly.
	Inspect banksides, structures, pipework, etc for evidence of physical damage.	Monthly.
	Inspect inlets and pre-treatment systems for silt accumulation. Establish appropriate silt removal frequencies.	Half yearly.
	Inspect infiltration surfaces for compaction and ponding.	Monthly.

3.22 Desilting of Attenuation Basins

In addition to regular routine items, SuDS maintenance will be carried out which may include de-silting the attenuation ponds, usually on a 10-15 year cycle depending on the on-going silt level checking.

This can be an intrusive operation when carried out within housing areas and prior to any planned desilting or dredging, consultation will be undertaken with both Buckinghamshire County Council and the Environment Agency.

3.23 Before any desilting operations are carried out, residents and members of the public in the general area will be informed and those in the immediate vicinity will be notified in writing well in advance of commencement of works.

3.24 The desilting work will be carried out under the supervision of consulting engineers and to a pre-agreed method statement. Such a method statement should be submitted in writing to the local authority and agreed in advance of the commencement of the works.

3.25 Prior to desilting works commencing, a suitably qualified ecologist shall be appointed to undertake an assessment of the ecological interest within the pond and its margins. In the event that the attenuation ponds develop particular ecological interest, then careful consideration will be given to the timing of this operation.

3.26 Linear Filter Trench

3.27 The filter trench is a below ground linear excavation filled with stone and a perforated pipe running the length.

At each end of the trench there are catchpit inspection chambers.

These catchpit chambers allow for the collection of sediments/debris from the catchpit sump and for the internal inspection / clearance of blockages of the perforated pipe if required.

3.28 Filter trenches require minimal maintenance, such and inspection of the catchpit manhole for accumulation of sediment or debris and cleaned out as required.

It is recommended that the catchpits be inspected no less frequently than 3 monthly intervals for the first year and thereafter at 6 monthly intervals.

3.29 If the filter trench becomes blocked or fails to operate as designed, then further inspection / repairs may be required from an external drainage contractor.

The perforated pipe that runs the length of the soakaway allows for internal CCTV / jet rod access for inspection and clearance of blockages.

Infiltration Trench Operation and Maintenance Requirements

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Regular Maintenance	Remove sediment and debris from pre-treatment devices and floor of inspection tube or chamber.	Annually.
	Cleaning of gutters and any filters on downpipes.	Annually.
	Trimming any roots that may be causing blockages.	Annually, or as required.
Remedial Actions	Reconstruct soakaway and/or replace or clean void fill, if performance deteriorates or failure occurs.	As required.
	Replacement of clogged geotextile.	As required.
Monitoring	Inspect silt traps and note rate of sediment accumulation.	Monthly in the first year and then annually.
	Check soakaway to ensure emptying is occurring.	Annually.

3.30 Up-Flo™ Filter (by Hydro International)

3.31 The Up-Flo Filter is a modular high-rate stormwater filtration device. The frequency of the maintenance interval can be site specific and generally the first year of inspections and monitoring of pollutant accumulations will determine the required future maintenance intervals.

3.32 The inspection of the device is a simple process that requires monitoring of pollutant accumulations. The key maintenance activities include floatable, oil and sediment removal, media pack replacement and drain down filter replacement. Maintenance consists of removing sediments, floatable trash, oils, and other debris from the sump with a standard vacuum tanker. The media bags are easily replaced by hand, with no heavy lifting equipment required.

3.33 Recommended Equipment

- Safety Equipment and Personal Protective Equipment
- Scale to measure the weight of the filter bags
- Tools to remove grate or cover
- Pole with skimmer or net
- Sediment probe
- Hydro International Up-Flo™ Filter Maintenance Log
- Rubbish bags for removed floatables

3.34 Inspection Procedures

1. Set up any necessary safety equipment to provide access to the Up-Flo™ Filter. Safety equipment should notify passing pedestrian and road traffic that work is being done.
2. Remove the grate or cover to the manhole or vault.
3. Without entering the vessel, look down into the chamber to inspect the inside. Make note of any irregularities.
4. Without entering the vessel, use the pole with the skimmer net to remove floatables and loose debris from the chamber.
5. Using a sediment probe measure the depth of sediment that has collected in the sump of the vessel. Maximum sediment depth is 400 mm.

6. Remove the Filter Module lid by turning the cam latch and remove the Filter Media Pack (refer to manufacturer's specification for replacement procedures).

Weigh the filter bags from one or two modules. Filter bags should be replaced if the wet weight exceeds 18kg.

7. On the Maintenance Log provided by Hydro International, record the date, unit location, estimated volume of floatables and gross debris removed, and the depth of sediment measured. Also note any apparent irregularities such as damaged components or a high standing water level (Standing water level is no higher than the base of the filter module).

8. Securely replace the grate or lid.

9. Remove safety equipment.

10. Contact Hydro International on 01275 878371 to discuss any irregularities noted during inspection or for further information.

3.35 First-Year Monitoring

Hydro International recommends that inspections be performed at least every six months during the first year of operation.

Use the following guidelines for determining maintenance intervals:

- **Floatables and Oil Monitoring:**

The water surface in the Up-Flo™ Filter should be monitored for accumulation of floatables and oil.

Floatables should not be allowed to accumulate to the point where they completely cover the surface of the water.

Oil should not be allowed to accumulate to the point where it has formed a measurable thickness on the surface of the water.

The rate of floatables and oil accumulation can be estimated by dividing the surface area covered by floatables and/or oil by the number of months since the Up-Flo™ Filter was installed.

- **Sediment Monitoring:**

A simple probe should be used to determine the depth of sediment in the sump.

The maximum allowable sediment depth in a typical 1200 mm diameter manhole equipped with an Up-Flo™ Filter is 400 mm.

In any case, sediment must be removed before it blocks the inlet to the Drain Down Filter.

The rate of sediment accumulation can be estimated by dividing the measured depth of sediment by the number of months since the Up-Flo™ Filter was installed.

- **Media Pack Monitoring:**

Filter bags should be weighed to determine the amount of particles that have been captured in the bags. Filter bags from one or two modules should be weighed.

Spent filter bags weigh approximately 18kg wet. The rate of filter bag clogging can be estimated by subtracting the wet weight of a new bag (approximately 9 kg) from the measured wet weight of the bags being checked and dividing by the number of months since the bags were installed.

- **Drain Down Filter Monitoring:**

The water level in the Up-Flo™ Filter should be monitored to ensure that the Drain Down Filter is operating properly. One to two days after a significant rainfall, the water level inside

the vessel should have dropped to a point where it is equal with the base of the Filter Modules.

If the water level has not reached that point, then the Drain Down Filter has either become clogged or blinded by trash or debris. If there is no evidence of trash or debris around the Drain Down Filter inlet, then it has likely become clogged with particles.

The rate of Drain Down Filter clogging can be estimated by noting the number of months since the Up-Flo™ Filter was installed.

3.36 Floatables, Oil, and Sump Cleanout

A commercially or council owned vac-truck is used to remove captured sediment, oil and floatables.

Floatables and loose debris can also be netted with a skimmer and pole. The access port located at the top of the manhole provides unobstructed access for a vac-truck hose and skimmer pole to be lowered to the base of the sump.

Scheduling

- Floatables and sump cleanout may typically be done during any season of the year.
- Floatables and sump cleanout should occur as soon as possible following a contaminated spill in the contributing drainage area.

Procedures

1. Set up any necessary safety equipment around the access of the Up-Flo™ Filter. Safety equipment should notify passing pedestrian and road traffic that work is being done.
2. Remove the grate or lid to the manhole or vault.
3. Without entering the vessel, look down into the chamber to inspect the inside. Make note of any irregularities.
4. If the standing water level in the sump is above the base of the Filter Modules, tug the Pull Chain(s) to release the Drain Down Plug(s). Allow the excess water to drain out of the chamber.
5. Use the skimmer pole to fit the Drain Down plug back into the open port.
6. Once all floatables have been removed, drop the vactruck hose to the base of the sump. Vac-truck out the sediment and gross debris from the sump floor.
7. Retract the vac-truck hose from the vessel.
8. Inspect the Angled Screens for blockages and ragging. If present, remove the obstruction or ragged materials from the surface using a hose or other screen-cleaning device.
9. On the Maintenance Log provided by Hydro International, record the date, unit location, estimated volume of floatables and gross debris removed, and the depth of sediment measured. Note any apparent irregularities such as damaged components or blockages.
10. Securely replace the grate or lid.
11. Remove safety equipment.
12. Contact Hydro International on 01275 878371 to discuss any irregularities noted during cleanout.

3.37 Replacement of Media Packs and Drain Down Filter

Unless the Up-Flo™ Filter has been installed as a very shallow unit, it is necessary to have an confined space entry trained person enter the vessel to replace Media Packs.

Scheduling


- Call Hydro International on 01275 878371 to order replacement Media Packs and Drain Down filter prior to scheduling maintenance.

- Because Media Pack replacement requires entry into the Up-Flo™ chamber, maintenance events should be scheduled during dry weather.
- Media Pack replacement should occur immediately after a contaminated spill in the contributing drainage area.

Procedures

1. Follow Floatables and Sump Cleanout Procedures, 1 – 10.
 2. Following Confined Space Entry procedures, enter the Up-Flo™ Filter Chamber.
 3. Open the Filter Module by turning the three cam latches on the front and sides of the module. Remove the lid 1 to gain access to the Media Pack
 4. Remove and discard the spent Media Pack. The Media Pack contents include:
 - A top layer of green Flow-Distributing Media.
 - Two Media Bags equipped with nylon handles.
 - A bottom layer of green 2 Flow-Distributing Media.
 5. Insert a new Media Pack, supplied by Hydro International.
 - First, insert a bottom layer of green Flow-Distributing Media. Be sure that the media sits snugly and level at the bottom of the Filter Module.
 - Next, insert the first of two replacement Media Bags. Smooth the bag out with your hands to make sure that the bag extends snugly to the walls and corners of the Filter Module.
 - Insert the second Media Bag, following the same procedure.
 - Insert the top layer of green Flow-Distributing Media.Be sure that the piece fits snugly against the walls and corners of the Filter Module.
 - Put the lid on and secure the three latches. Check to make sure that the latches are closed properly.
 6. Use a screwdriver to unscrew the Drain Down Filter from the face of the Outlet Module
DO NOT DISCARD THIS PIECE.
 7. Install new Drain Down Filter supplied by Hydro International.
 8. Exit the Up-Flo™ Filter chamber and securely replace the grate or lid.
 9. On the Maintenance Log provided by Hydro International, record the date, unit location, estimated volume of floatables, oil and gross debris removed, and the depth of sediment measured.
- Note the number of Media Packs replaced.
- Note any irregularities such as damaged components or blockages.
10. Remove safety equipment.
 11. Dispose of spent media packs at your local landfill, following local regulations.
 12. Return the spent Drain Down Filter to Hydro International.
 13. Contact Hydro International to discuss any irregularities noted during annual maintenance.

The Filter Module houses the Media Restraint and the Media Pack



Up-Flo Filter Operation and Maintenance Requirements

ACTIVITY	FREQUENCY
Inspection	- Regularly during first year of installation - Every 6 months after the first year of installation
Floatables/Oils Removal	- Twice per year or as needed - Following a contaminated spill in the drainage area
Sediment Removal	- Twice per year or as needed - Following a contaminated spill in the drainage area
Media Pack Replacement	- Once per year or as needed - Following a contaminated spill in the drainage area
Drain Down Filter Replacement	- Once per year with Media Pack replacement - As needed, in the event of continuous base flow conditions

3.38 For further detailed inspection and maintenance information and procedures refer to the manufacture's document 'Up-Flo Filter Operating and Maintenance Manual'.

3.39 To order replacement parts contact Hydro International on:
Tel: 01275 878371 (Monday to Friday 9.00 am to 5.30 pm)
Fax: 01275 874979
Email: enquiries@hydro-international.co.uk

3.40 Penstock Valve

A penstock valve is included within the SuDS train to act as an emergency shut off in the instance of a spillage event. If pollution such as an oil spill is seen within the development then the shut-off valve must be set to the off position immediately and the management company contacted. The Environment Agency should also be contacted immediately on their incident hotline: **0800 80 70 60**.

4 Contact Information

For further information on the management and maintenance of SuDS on the development please contact:

MJA Consulting
Ipsium Court
24 The Quadrant
Abingdon Science Park
Oxfordshire
OX14 3YS

Tel: 01235 555173
Email: mail@mjaconsulting.co.uk

MJA CONSULTING
Civil & Structural Engineers

Monarch House • Abingdon Science Park
Barton Lane • Abingdon • Oxon • OX14 3NB
Tel: (01235) 555173 • www.mjaconsulting.co.uk

MJA CONSULTING
Civil & Structural Engineers

Monarch House • Abingdon Science Park
Barton Lane • Abingdon • Oxon • OX14 3NB
Tel: (01235) 555173 • www.mjaconsulting.co.uk