

Recommendation: **GRANT PERMISSION**

CS11	(Protecting and Enhancing the Special Environment and Heritage of Havant Borough)
CS16	(High Quality Design)
CS19	(Effective Provision of Infrastructure)
DM10	(Pollution)
DM8	(Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.

Conservation Area: Not applicable.

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Department of Trade and Industry

No comments received

Environmental Health Manager, Community Group

In respect of noise we do not have objections to make in respect of this proposal, however if planning permission is granted we recommend the following condition is attached,

The Rating Level of the noise emitted from the plant shall not exceed the Background Sound Level at any time. The noise levels shall be determined at the nearest noise sensitive premises. The measurements and assessment shall be made according to British Standard 4142:2014+A1:2019.

Hampshire Highways

I confirm the Highway Authority have no objection in principle as effectively the applicant has a right to place this type of equipment on the highway. They will need the separate consent of the Highway Authority to place the equipment in any event.

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 18

Number of site notices: Not applicable.

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

Comment	Officer Comment

Summary:

Comment:

7 Planning Considerations

Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

- (i) Principle of development
- (ii) Impact upon the streetscene
- (iii) Impact upon residential amenity

(i) Principle of development

The application relates to an existing telecommunications site and the proposal would provide an upgrade which would provide 5G coverage for both H3G and EE. The upgrading of existing sites together with sharing of base stations between multiple operators are key strategic policy principles contained within the NPPF, and as such the principle of development is acceptable subject to the usual development control criteria.

(ii) Impact upon the streetscene

The proposed mast would be approx. 5.3m higher than the existing mast and owing to the need to accommodate 5G Infrastructure would be of significantly greater diameter. Due to its height, bulk, planting and the existing street furniture, the existing mast is not overly dominant in the street scene. The proposed mast whilst it remains of a monopole design, is taller and bulkier which, in combination with its siting further to the north west results in a more prominent structure, and as such would be more dominant in the street scene. The agent has been contacted in respect to the possibility of a mast of reduced bulk, however, as the mast needs to support 12 antenna the column can not be reduced in bulk. It would however, be viewed in the context of the existing street furniture and planting, albeit the planting is a relatively low level and would provide only partial screening. On balance, given this context the visual impact is considered not so detrimental as to support refusal.

(iii) Impact upon residential amenity

The replacement mast would be located further to the north west and to the front of the dwelling at 92 Hambledon Road, however, the siting has been amended such that the mast would not be located directly to the front of that dwelling and would be located in a position where views of the mast would be partly screened by the vegetation within the frontage to the dwelling. Whilst the proposed siting would place the proposed mast in a more prominent location the dwelling is set back on its plot and the existing tree planting provides an element of screening. As such the replacement mast would have a limited and acceptable impact on residential amenity, meeting the requirements of policy CS16 of the HBLP (Core Strategy). It is noted no objections were received regarding the application.

8 Conclusion

It is accepted that, given the existing mast, there is no available better site in the area, and the proximity of the adjacent street furniture and planting together with its monopole appearance limit the visual impact to an acceptable level.

9 RECOMMENDATION:

That the Head of Planning be authorised to **GRANT PERMISSION** for application APP/19/01200 subject to the following conditions

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and

Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:
002 Site Location Plan issue B
215 Revised Proposed General Arrangement Plan issue B
265 Max Configuration Elevation issue B
Reason: - To ensure provision of a satisfactory development.
- 3 The Rating Level of the noise emitted from the plant shall not exceed the Background Sound Level at any time. The noise levels shall be determined at the nearest noise sensitive premises. The measurements and assessment shall be made according to British Standard 4142:2014+A1:2019.
Reason: To safeguard the amenities of the locality and occupiers of neighbouring property and having due regard to policy CS16 of the Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework.