

Comments for Planning Application APP/19/01004

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/19/01004

Address: Hewlins House, Plot 1 - The Retreat, Croft Lane, Hayling Island

Proposal: Variation of Condition 3 of Planning Permission APP/16/00583 (Demolition of existing stable block and erection of 1No. dwelling incorporating swimming pool and detached double garage) to vary approved plans in relation to balcony/balustrading on north elevation of dwelling and vent grill to west elevation of garage.

Case Officer: David Eaves

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Complainant

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

We STRONGLY OBJECT to the proposed balcony at the upstairs rear of the property. Already builders have been stood (with the temporary scaffold), and peering into our property and totally overlooking our garden and guest bedrooms - compromising our privacy.

Having spoken with the owner a couple of months ago about the balcony he claimed that it was in fact on the original drawings submitted to the Council, but because it was under the roof on the "plan view" that the council did not see it! What a load of rubbish. If the balcony was supposed to be included the drawing it would have therefore been shown on section view.

He went ahead knowing full well that this was NOT the case and assumed no one would notice.

If it was on the original drawings then why now has he to apply for retrospective planning?

Because it was not on the originals and he has been caught out!

He has had multiple additions to the planning and I think it is time the Council sided with the residents on this one and do not allow this to take place, as he has had enough changes to his planning which I believe were deliberate planning on his behalf. If the original drawings had shown all the additions and changes the Council would never have passed the planning in the first place. In this case the Council should request that the balcony and possibly an extended roof (has anyone from the council checked the length of the roof to see if he did extend it?) line over the balcony are removed and constructed as per the last set of drawings.

When is all this nonsense going to stop with the council continually giving this guy just what he wants. He still has a long way to go and do not expect this to be the last retrospective planning application he requests,

We STRONGLY OBJECT to the proposed vent' grill to the west elevation of the garage. We understand that this would be a substantial size and like any of these heating systems would clearly NOT be NOISE FREE. Furthermore, why would this be necessary as he already has TWO velux in the roof, albeit supposedly, with restricted opening. As this is a SINGLE STOREY garage, (without a staircase!!!) Why should he not use one of the velux openings, instead of an extra grill.