

Flat 42 Ward Court
65 Sea Front
Hayling Island
Hampshire
PO11 0AL



11th November 2019

Dear Sirs

Re: Re: Site Address: Ward Court, 65 Sea Front, Hayling Island
Proposed Development Form 5 no. Flats at roof level and provide new cladding and render finish to existing building
Your Ref: APP/19/00722

Thank you for your letter of 5th November 2019 indicating that you have now received further details relating to the parking provision and layout in respect of the above application. I would comment as follows:

1. The Amended Plan.

Whilst I am happy to see the removal of the 3 parking spaces in the rectangular garden area between the flats the revision does not address the loss of amenity to flats 20 and 19 i.e. the garden area in front of these flats. In fact it is worse as there is now a retaining wall shown which would block the ground floor flats off even more.

2. The Parking Supporting Statement

This statement claims that there is an existing surplus of parking provision on the site. It states that Ward Court has the following parking provision:

34 garage spaces
1 covered space under the building
38 open spaces
Total 73 existing parking spaces

I would take issue with this. The covered parking space and one of the garages are in the same ownership. There are 23 spaces within the perimeter of the block, 22 discounting the covered parking space but these spaces belong to flatowners so these and the garages would not be available to the new penthouse owners.

Also, the applicants' statement fails to take account of the fact that many garages and parking spaces are unusable as they are too narrow to accommodate modern vehicles so the owners of those parking spaces are forced to park around the perimeter of the block.

With regard to the remaining spaces outside the boundary wall I enclose the Land Registry file plan of the block from which it will be seen that the 5 spaces to the east of the entrance are not owned by the block. Part of this area is actually highway. Ownership of some of the 11 (not 10) spaces to the west of the entrance is also questionable because the boundary cuts diagonally across them. The applicants have placed signs on the wall all the way around the northern and western walls of the block threatening penalties to persons parking without a permit and has issued "permits" to residents to park in these areas. The applicants refuse to issue more than 2 permits per flat and employ a parking ticket company to issue tickets to residents or others whose cars are parked without a permit, even though with regard to the spaces to the east of the entrance, and the unadopted road on the west side of the block they have no right to do so.

Given that the applicants are prepared to go to such lengths to deter non-residents from parking around the block and to penalise residents with more than 2 vehicles I find their claim that there is an existing surplus of parking provision on the site to be incomprehensible.

I do not see what relevance the age of residents has since in normal times when there is not the prospect of this proposal looming over the block, flats change hands at the rate of approximately half a dozen each year and as the applicants have themselves stated, nearly a third of flats are let so the age demographic will always be changing. In any event elderly residents without cars need spaces for carers and visitors.


I note from the Council's Parking Supplementary Planning Document and the footnote to Table 4B that with the exception of small residential developments an additional 20% of unallocated parking should be accommodated. I do not know if this constitutes a small residential development but the fact is that in practice there are not enough spaces for residents, let alone visitors. My friends and relatives are put off visiting me because I have to provide them with a permit and that is in the event that they can find a parking space at all. If they cannot, as there are yellow lines on all the roads within the immediate vicinity they or I have to park some considerable distance away.

I note from clause 1.10 that the Residential Parking Standards are evidenced from figures derived from the 2011 Census data and government forecasts in car ownership which presumably indicate that modern households tend to have 2 cars.

Finally I see from paragraph 1.01 of the Council's Supplementary Statement that parking in proposed developments should not create undue impact on the built environment, street congestion, road safety issues or create tensions within a community. The shortage of usable parking spaces already causes tensions within our community. The reality is that if there are 5 new households with probably double the number of vehicles and therefore 5 extra vehicles fighting for access to the existing parking outside the block, that tension is bound to increase.

Therefore I would submit that the revised parking plan is not acceptable and does not justify the Council in departing from its own guidelines on the number of parking spaces which should be provided and given this and all the other issues myself and others have raised, the planning application should be refused.


Yours faithfully



Hannah Newbury

Planning Services
Havant Borough Council
Public Service Plaza
Civic Centre Road
Hampshire
PO9 2AX.

H.M. LAND REGISTRY		TITLE NUMBER HP58523	
ORDNANCE SURVEY PLAN REFERENCE	SZ7198	SECTION A	Scale 1:1250 Enlarged from 1:2500
COUNTY	HAMPSHIRE	DISTRICT	HAVANT
			© Crown copyright 1976



**HAVANT BOROUGH
COUNCIL**

14 NOV 2013

RECEIVED

This is a copy of the title plan on 24 APR 2018 at 15:06:41. This copy does not take account of any application made after that time even if still pending in HM Land Registry when this copy was issued.

This copy is not an 'Official Copy' of the title plan. An official copy of the title plan is admissible in evidence in a court to the same extent as the original. A person is entitled to be indemnified by the registrar if he or she suffers loss by reason of a mistake in an official copy. If you want to obtain an official copy, the HM Land Registry web site explains how to do this.

HM Land Registry endeavours to maintain high quality and scale accuracy of title plan images. The quality and accuracy of any print will depend on your printer, your computer and its print settings. This title plan shows the general position, not the exact line, of the boundaries. It may be subject to distortions in scale. Measurements scaled from this plan may not match measurements between the same points on the ground.

This title is dealt with by HM Land Registry, Weymouth Office.

Crown Copyright. Produced by HM Land Registry. Further reproduction in whole or in part is prohibited without the prior written permission of Ordnance Survey. Licence Number 100026316.