

Recommendation: **GRANT TPO CONSENT**

06/71442/000 - To crown raise to 3m a Common Ash tree, the subject of TPO 1188. , PERM,23/06/2006

09/71442/001 - Crown reduce by 25% 1No. Pine tree, crown reduce by 25% and crown thin by 10% 1No. Ash tree, crown reduce by 30% 1No. Maple tree and fell 1No. Oak tree all subject to TPO 1188. , PERM,26/01/2010

APP/12/00502 - Reduce height of 1No. Ash by 6m and sides by 4m; remove dead, crossing or epicormic branches. Reduce south/south east sectors of 1No. Pine by 4.5m to balance tree; remove dead, crossing or damaged branches. Both trees within Area Order 1 of TPO 1188. , PERM,06/07/2012

APP/12/00528 - Reduce height of 1No. Maple by 4m and remove dead, crossing or epicormic branches; reduce height of Conifer hedge by 1m and south side by 1m; reduce top and sides of second Conifer hedge by 0.5m; reduce top and sides of third Conifer hedge by 0.5m; reduce height of 1No. Conifer by 2m; crown reduce 1No. Bay by 3m. All trees within Mill Lane Conservation Area. , RNO,22/06/2012

APP/12/00806 - Reduce Conifer in height by 2m and trim sides by no more than 1m. Tree is within Mill Lane Conservation Area., RNO,13/09/2012

APP/14/00914 - Reduce 1No. Ash to give clearance of 1.5m from garage; reduce overhang from 1No. Oak (within 10 Harbourside) by 1m; reduce 1No. Oak to give clearance of 2m from house; all within A1 of TPO 1188. , PERM,15/10/2014

APP/14/00945 - Reduce 1No. Cherry Plum by 2m on south side; within Conservation Area of Mill Lane., RNO,15/10/2014

APP/17/00627 - Crown reduce by 3m (back to previous pruning points) 1No. Ash; crown reduce by 3m 1No. Acer; crown reduce by 1.5m on southern sector 1No. Oak; fell 1No. Birch; remove lowest branch on eastern sector of 1No. Monterey Pine; reduce northern sector by 1m of 1No. Ash; crown reduce by 2.5m 1No. Bay. All trees subject to A1 of TPO 1188. , PERM,13/07/2017

APP/19/00608 - Crown reduce 2No. White Beam (T1 & T2) by 1m leaving branches 3m; crown reduce 1 No. White Poplar (T3) by up to 3m. All trees subject to TPO 1188 within A1., PERM,23/07/2019

3 Proposal

Reduce crown height of 1No. Ash (T1 on plan) by 2.5m and width by 2m (back to previous pruning points);

Reduce the laterals growing towards the house/conservatory by 1.2-2m to suitable growth points of 1No. Oak (T2 on plan);

1No. Oak (T3 on plan) reduce one limb growing into the Acer;

reduce 1No. Acer (T4 on plan) back to previous pollarding points;

2No. Lombardy Poplar in neighbouring property, prune back overhanging branches to a height of 5m (T5 and T6 on plan). Subject to A1 of TPO 1188.

4 Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) March 2011

DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Havant Borough Local Plan (Allocations) July 2014

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.

Conservation Area: Mill Lane (Langstone)

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Councillor D Guest - St Faith's

Councillor J Branson - St Faiths

Councillor T Pike - St Faith's

Landscape Team, Havant Borough Council

Planning Application APP/19/00880 11 Harbourside, Havant, PO9 1TJ

Reduce crown height of 1No. Ash (T1 on plan) by 2.5m and width by 2m (back to previous pruning points; reduce crown height of 1No. Oak (T2 on plan) by 3.5m and width by 2.5m; reduce crown height of 1No. Oak (T3 on plan) by 2m and reduce one limb growing into the Acer; reduce 1No. Acer (T4 on plan) back to previous pollarding points; 2No. Lombardy Poplar in neighbouring property, prune back overhanging branches to a height of 5m (T5 and T6 on plan). Subject to A1 of TPO 1188.

From a landscape perspective we have no adverse comments in relation to this application.

Kind regards,

Harry Mitchell CMLI
Landscape Architect
Havant Borough Council
Email ?harry.mitchell@havant.gov.uk

Langstone Harbour Board

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 13

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

Comment	Officer Comment

7 Planning Considerations

7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

- Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

7.2 My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than

generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

- 7.3 This application to undertake works to trees protected by a Tree Preservation Order relates to the proposed pruning of one Ash, two Oak, one Acer and two Lombardy Poplars.

The Ash appeared to be in a fair condition and has some public amenity value. It has been reduced in the past and the intention to prune again to the previous pruning points is considered reasonable and appropriate.

The two Oak trees appeared to be healthy and structurally sound, and offer some public amenity value to the area. The work to these trees has been modified (with the agreement of the agent) to a more limited specification. The work now involves a lateral reduction and the reduction of a limb growing into an adjacent Acer.

An Acer which is situated in the middle of the lawn is to be reduced back to the previous pruning points. The tree appeared in a reasonable condition and the work will not negatively affect the tree.

Two Lombardy Poplars overhang the garden from a neighbouring property, the intention is to prune back the overhang to a height of 5m. This work will not have a negative impact on the trees. It should however be noted that the trees were viewed from the applicant's garden and the work was assessed purely on the impact on public amenity. An inspection of the base of the trees has not been undertaken, however the agent has not submitted anything to suggest the trees are in a poor condition.

No objections the application have been received.

8 **Conclusion**

The work proposed is considered to be appropriate and proportionate and therefore permission should be granted.

9 **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Head of Neighbourhood Support be authorised to **GRANT TPO CONSENT** for application APP/19/00880

(A)

(B) for the following reasons/subject to the following conditions

- 1 The tree works for which consent is hereby granted shall not be carried out otherwise than in full accordance with all relevant content of BS 3998 (2010).
Reason: In the interests of tree health and safety.

- 2 The tree works for which consent is hereby granted shall be carried out and completed no later than 27/12/2020.
Reason: To control the timing of the works