

Dear Ms Zelazik,

Thank you for your update below but I can't agree to your comment that the developer "*may* have impacted on the ecology in the immediate area" when it is obvious he has caused damage. We want to remain confident you will not allow the developer to escape accountability. I also cannot agree to the comment about voles contained in the late and only recently released Ecology Report.

I am expertly advised that given the location of Langstone Brook and the absence of mink, Langstone Brook is exactly the environment you would expect to find voles meaning the balance of probability suggests voles are more likely to be present than not and this should have been the default position. I noticed the date of the report is not accurately stated by David Leach Ecology Ltd and we request you investigate this and confirm whether it was written before or after the environmental damage was done.

Part of the vole habitat is lost and under bulldozed soil, but on the opposite side of the Brook evidence remains and we would be delighted to arrange a viewing including more evidence of habitat in the area.

Not only should the developer remove the soil bulldozed near to and partly into the Brook, he should also clearly show development boundaries in his revised site plan. This would remove further uncertainty following his activities which increased overall plot size and ignored the eight meter rule you put in place.

I have copied this e mail to Mr Eaves with the request it be considered a response to his letter of 11th February addressed to me and other residents about this Application and which requests a response by 18th February

Regards