

Comments for Planning Application APP/19/00780

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/19/00780

Address: Broad Oak, Copse Lane, Hayling Island

Proposal: Erection of boundary fence and 2.No new access/crossovers from Copse Lane.

Case Officer: Ross Leal

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Complainant

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I object to the installation of a fence along the boundary of Broad oak Copse lane.

From the drawing it is shown as being beyond head height. It will stand out and not reflect the rural nature of this road.

There are insufficient details about the fence

The report says

'The fence will be of 'conventional' installation i.e. fence posts with fence panels between. The fence panels will be proprietary products with an 1850mm span, putting the fence posts at 1850mm spacings'

It doesn't tell me as a lay person very much. Is this wood/concrete /metal/ how tall is? What will it look like? A mock up showing the fence against the trees would be useful so we can see its visual impact.

I object to the fence installation because of its impact on the natural environment.

The developer has already cut down a considerable number of mature trees from or near the boundary.

The visual impact of this woodland frontage has already changed by this action and I feel the developer must restore this. Their development has had a negative impact on both biodiversity; has a visual impact and impacts on the provision of mature trees. The retention of mature trees is essential if we are going to have any impact on global warming and the climate crisis.

It is essential that the trees left in this development and particularly those in question on the boundary are not interfered with in any way that would impact their health. I.e. surfacing or interference in the root protection area.

Root Protection

The drawings show that the fence posts will be dug within root protection areas and this will impact the trees.

3.7 New surfacing:

Can a clause be put in that commits the owners of the property to continue in future years and under new ownership to maintain a surface that will protect the trees?

Is it the intention that guidelines set out in the ARBORICULTURIST_REPORT will be followed?
I feel they should be clarified and a binding method statement for any agreed works should be written

I make this point because of comment such as the last paragraph

Which says rather vaguely:

'The details given in this letter show that provided the appropriate precautions during installation are taken; trees will not be adversely affected by the proposed fence.'

Should the developer wish to put up a boundary why not add a native hedgerow? This would help to re balance some of the vegetation lost from the boundary line

What are the developers doing to compensate for the loss of tree cover from trees already lost from the site?

I object to the new access because the application or the report doesn't say why there is a need for 2.No new access/crossovers from Copse Lane and does not give enough detail about their construction .