



now part of



**Elettra Avenue,
Waterlooville**
Air Quality Assessment

On behalf of **Hargreaves**



Project Ref: 42567/3003| Rev: Rev.01 | Date: October 2018

Office Address: 10 Queen Square, Bristol, BS1 4NT
T: +44 (0)117 332 7840 E: bristol@peterbrett.com



Document Control Sheet

Project Name: Elettra Avenue, Waterloooville

Project Ref: 42567/3001

Report Title: Air Quality Assessment

Doc Ref: Rev.01

Date: October 2018

	Name	Position	Signature	Date
Prepared by:	Laura Smart	Graduate Air Quality Scientist		October 2018
Reviewed by:	Graham Harker	Senior Associate		October 2018
Approved by:	Jonathan Riggall	Director		October 2018
For and on behalf of Peter Brett Associates LLP				

Revision	Date	Description	Prepared	Reviewed	Approved
Draft	October 2018	Draft for client comment	LS	GH	JR
Issued	October 2018	Issued	LS	GH	JR
Rev.01	October 2018	Minor Amendments	LS	GH	JR

Peter Brett Associates LLP disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of this report. This report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the Client and generally in accordance with the appropriate ACE Agreement and taking account of the manpower, resources, investigations and testing devoted to it by agreement with the Client. This report is confidential to the Client and Peter Brett Associates LLP accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

© Peter Brett Associates LLP 2018

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Proposed Development.....	1
1.2	Scope of Assessment.....	1
2	Legislation and Policy	2
2.1	The Air Quality Strategy	2
2.2	Draft Clean Air Strategy 2018	2
2.3	EU Limit Values	2
2.4	Planning Policy.....	4
3	Methodology	9
3.1	Existing Conditions	9
3.2	Construction Impacts.....	9
3.3	Road Traffic Impacts	12
4	Baseline Conditions.....	16
4.1	LAQM	16
4.1	Monitoring.....	16
4.2	Background Concentrations	16
4.3	Predicted Baseline Concentrations	17
5	Impact Assessment.....	19
5.1	Construction Impacts.....	19
5.2	Road Traffic Impacts	19
6	Mitigation	22
6.1	Construction	22
7	Conclusions.....	24
	References	25

Tables

Table 2.1: NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ Objectives.....	3
Table 2.2: PM _{2.5} Objectives	3
Table 3.1: Criteria for Dust Emission Magnitude	9
Table 3.2: Area Sensitivity Definitions	11
Table 3.3: Risk of Dust Impacts	11
Table 3.4: Receptor Locations Description	12
Table 3.5: Impact Magnitude for Changes in Ambient Pollutant Concentrations.....	14
Table 3.6: Impact Descriptor for Changes in Concentration at a Receptor	14
Table 4.1: Measured NO ₂ Concentrations, (2013-2017).....	16
Table 4.2: Estimated Annual Mean Background Concentrations.....	17
Table 4.3: Predicted Baseline Concentrations of NO ₂ , PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} in 2017 and 2020 (µg/m ³).....	17
Table 5.1: Predicted Concentrations and Change in Concentrations of NO ₂ , PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} at Existing Receptors (µg/m ³)	19
Table 5.2: Impact Magnitude and Descriptors for Annual Mean NO ₂ , PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentrations20	

Appendices

Appendix A	Glossary
Appendix B	Model Verification
Appendix C	Model Inputs and Results Processing Tools
Appendix D	Traffic Data and Road Network
Appendix E	Future Year Modelling – Road Transport Emissions Factors
Appendix F	Figures

1 Introduction

1.1 Proposed Development

- 1.1.1 Hargreaves has commissioned Peter Brett Associates LLP (PBA) to undertake an air quality assessment to support a planning application for the proposed flexible-use employment, leisure and retail park on Elettra Avenue, Waterlooville. The proposed development site is located at Elettra Avenue within the boundary of Havant Borough Council (HBC).

1.2 Scope of Assessment

- 1.2.1 This report describes existing air quality within the study area and assesses the impact of the construction and operation of the development on air quality in the surrounding area. The main air pollutants of concern related to construction are dust and fine particulate matter (PM₁₀), and for road traffic are nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.
- 1.2.2 In relation to key ecological receptors, consideration should be given to the potential for effects on sensitive habitats as a result of changes in air quality. The Highways Agency's Design Manual for Roads and Bridges ((DMRB) HA207/07) specifies on a minimum change in traffic of 1,000 vehicles per day before an assessment is considered necessary (The Highways Agency, 2007). The increase in traffic flows as a result of the proposed development on the A3 London Road (north of Hulbert Road) is predicted to be 418 vehicles per day (David Tucker Associates (DTA), 2018). This is below the threshold for an assessment to be necessary and therefore, the impacts on The Queen's Inclosure ancient woodland are considered to be not significant and have been scoped out of this assessment.
- 1.2.3 In addition, the increase in traffic flows as a result of the proposed development on the A3 (south of Maurepas Way) is predicted to be 597 vehicles per day. This is below the threshold for a detailed assessment of the impacts on the Marrelsmoor Coppice ancient woodland to be considered necessary, and has therefore been scoped out of the assessment.
- 1.2.4 The proposed development will not include an energy centre. Therefore, an assessment of the effect of potential energy centre emissions has been scoped out.
- 1.2.5 The assessment has been prepared taking into account relevant local and national guidance and regulations.

2 Legislation and Policy

2.1 The Air Quality Strategy

- 2.1.1 The Air Quality Strategy (2007) establishes the policy framework for ambient air quality management and assessment in the UK (DETR, 2007). The primary objective is to ensure that everyone can enjoy a level of ambient air quality which poses no significant risk to health or quality of life. The Strategy sets out the National Air Quality Objectives (NAQOs) and Government policy on achieving these objectives.
- 2.1.2 Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 (Environment Act, 1995) introduced a system of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM). This requires local authorities to regularly and systematically review and assess air quality within their boundary, and appraise development and transport plans against these assessments. The relevant NAQOs for LAQM are prescribed in the Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000 (Statutory Instrument, 2000) and the Air Quality (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2002 (Statutory Instrument, 2002).
- 2.1.3 Where an objective is unlikely to be met, the local authority must designate an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and draw up an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to introduce in pursuit of the objectives within its AQMA.
- 2.1.4 The Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance 2016 (LAQM.TG(16); Defra, 2016), issued by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) for Local Authorities provides advice as to where the NAQOs apply. These include outdoor locations where members of the public are likely to be regularly present for the averaging period of the objective (which vary from 15 minutes to a year). Thus, for example, annual mean objectives apply at the façades of residential properties, whilst the 24-hour objective (for PM₁₀) would also apply within the garden. They do not apply to occupational, indoor or in-vehicle exposure.

2.2 Draft Clean Air Strategy 2018

- 2.2.1 The draft Clean Air Strategy aims to lower national emissions of pollutants, thereby reducing background pollution and minimising human exposure to harmful concentrations of pollution. The Strategy is expected to create a stronger and more coherent framework for action to tackle air pollution. It also aims to support the creation of Clean Air Zones in order to reduce emissions from all sources of air pollution, backed up with clear enforcement mechanisms (Defra, 2018).

2.3 EU Limit Values

- 2.3.1 The Air Quality Standards (Amendment) Regulations 2016 amended the Standard Regulations 2010, which implemented the European Union's Directive on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (2008/50/EC), and includes limit values for NO₂ (Statutory Instrument, 2016). These limit values are numerically the same as the NAQO values but differ in terms of compliance dates, locations where they apply and the legal responsibility for ensuring that they are complied with. The compliance date for the NO₂ EU Limit Value was 1 January 2010, five years later than the date for the NAQO.
- 2.3.2 Directive 2008/50/EC consolidated the previous framework directive on ambient air quality assessment and management and its first three daughter directives. The limit values remained unchanged, but it now allows Member States a time extension for compliance, subject to European Commission (EC) approval.
- 2.3.3 The Directive limit values are applicable at all locations except:
- Where members of the public do not have access and there is no fixed habitation;

- On factory premises or at industrial installations to which all relevant provisions concerning health and safety at work apply; and
- On the carriageway of roads; and on the central reservations of roads except where there is normally pedestrian access.

Air Quality Objectives

Human Health

- 2.3.4 The NAQOs for NO₂ and PM₁₀ set out in the Air Quality Regulations (England) 2000 (Statutory Instrument, 2000) and the Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 (Statutory Instrument, 2002), are shown in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1: NO₂ and PM₁₀ Objectives

Pollutant	Time Period	Objective
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	1-hour mean	200 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year
	Annual mean	40 µg/m ³
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	24-hour mean	50 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year
	Annual mean	40 µg/m ³

- 2.3.5 The objectives for NO₂ and PM₁₀ were to have been achieved by 2005 and 2004, respectively, but also continue to apply in all future years thereafter. Analysis of long-term monitoring data suggests that if the annual mean NO₂ concentration is less than 60 µg/m³ then the one-hour mean NO₂ objective is unlikely to be exceeded where road transport is the main source of pollution. Therefore, in this assessment this concentration has been used to screen whether the one-hour mean objective is likely to be achieved (Defra, 2016).
- 2.3.6 The Air Quality Strategy 2007 (DETR, 2007) includes an exposure reduction target for smaller particles known as PM_{2.5}. These are an annual mean target of 25 µg/m³ by 2020 and an average urban background exposure reduction target of 15% between 2010 and 2020.
- 2.3.7 The Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe directive (2008/50/EC) was adopted in May 2008, and includes a national exposure reduction target, a target value and a limit value for PM_{2.5}, shown in **Table 2.2**. The UK Government transposed this new directive into national legislation in June 2010.

Table 2.2: PM_{2.5} Objectives

	Time Period	Objective	To be Achieved by
UK Objectives	Annual mean	25 µg/m ³	2020
	3 year running annual mean	15% reduction in concentrations measured at urban background sites	Between 2010 and 2020
European Obligations	Annual mean	Target value of 25 µg/m ³	2010

	Time Period	Objective	To be Achieved by
	Annual mean	Limit value of 25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	2015
	Annual mean	Stage 2 indicative Limit value of 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	2020
	3 year Average Exposure Indicator (AEI) (a)	Exposure reduction target relative to the AEI depending on the 2010 value of the 3 year AEI (ranging from a 0% to a 20% reduction)	2020
	3 year Average Exposure Indicator (AEI)	Exposure concentration obligation of 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	2015

(a) The 3 year annual or AEI is calculated from the PM_{2.5} concentration averaged across all urban background monitoring locations in the UK e.g. the AEI for 2010 is the mean concentration measured over 2008, 2009 and 2010.

2.4 Planning Policy

National Policy

- 2.4.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how they are expected to be applied (Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2018). In relation to achieving sustainable development, paragraph 8 states that:

"Achieving sustainable development means that the planning system has three overarching objectives, which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways (so that opportunities can be taken to secure net gains across each of the different objectives):

...

*c) **an environmental objective** – to contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment; including making effective use of land, helping to improve biodiversity, using natural resources prudently, minimising waste and pollution, and mitigating and adapting to climate change, including moving to a low carbon economy."*

- 2.4.2 So that sustainable development is pursued in a positive way, at the heart of the Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development. Paragraph 11 states that plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development, which for decision-taking means:

"... d) where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date, granting permission unless:

...

ii. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole."

- 2.4.3 Paragraph 54 on planning conditions and obligations states:

"Local planning authorities should consider whether otherwise unacceptable development could be made acceptable through the use of conditions or planning obligations. Planning obligations should only be used where it is not possible to address unacceptable impacts through a planning condition."

2.4.4 Paragraph 102 on promoting sustainable transport states:

“Transport issues should be considered from the earliest stages of plan-making and development proposals, so that:

...

d) the environmental impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure can be identified, assessed and taken into account – including appropriate opportunities for avoiding and mitigating any adverse effects, and for net environmental gains; ...”

2.4.5 Paragraph 103 continues to state:

“Significant development should be focused on locations which are or can be made sustainable, through limiting the need to travel and offering a genuine choice of transport modes. This can help to reduce congestion and emissions, and improve air quality and public health.”

2.4.6 Paragraph 170 on conserving and enhancing the natural environment states:

“Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:

e) preventing new and existing development from contributing to, being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or land stability. Development should, wherever possible, help to improve local environmental conditions such as air and water quality, taking into account relevant information such as river basin management plans, and...”

2.4.7 Paragraph 180 within ground conditions and pollution states:

“Planning policies and decisions should also ensure that new development is appropriate for its location taking into account the likely effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, living conditions and the natural environment, as well as the potential sensitivity of the site or the wider area to impacts that could arise from the development.”

2.4.8 Paragraph 181, also states that:

“Planning policies and decisions should sustain and contribute towards compliance with relevant limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of Air Quality Management Areas and Clean Air Zones, and the cumulative impacts from individual sites in local areas. Opportunities to improve air quality or mitigate impacts should be identified, such as through traffic and travel management, and green infrastructure provision and enhancement. So far as possible these opportunities should be considered at the plan-making stage, to ensure a strategic approach and limit the need for issues to be reconsidered when determining individual applications. Planning decisions should ensure that any new development in Air Quality Management Areas and Clean Air Zones is consistent with the local air quality action plan.”

Planning Practice Guidance

2.4.9 Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) (Planning Practice Guidance, 2014) was first published in March 2014 to support the National Planning Policy Framework. Paragraph 001, Reference 32-007-20140306 (revision date 06.03.2014) of the PPG provides a summary as to why air quality is a consideration for planning:

“... Defra carries out an annual national assessment of air quality using modelling and monitoring to determine compliance with EU Limit Values. It is important that the potential impact of new development on air quality is taken into account in planning where the national assessment indicates that relevant limits have been exceeded or are near the limit... The local air quality management (LAQM) regime requires every district and unitary authority to regularly review and assess air quality in their area. These reviews identify whether national objectives have been, or will be, achieved at relevant locations, by an applicable date... If national objectives are not met, or at risk of not being met, the local authority concerned must declare an air quality management area and prepare an air quality action plan... Air quality can also affect biodiversity and may therefore impact on our international obligations under the Habitats Directive... Odour and dust can also be a planning concern, for example, because of the effect on local amenity.”

2.4.10 Paragraph 002 of the PPG concerns the role of Local Plans with regard to air quality;

“... Drawing on the review of air quality carried out for the local air quality management regime, the Local Plan may need to consider;

- *the potential cumulative impact of a number of smaller developments on air quality as well as the effect of more substantial developments;*
- *the impact of point sources of air pollution...; and*
- *ways in which new development would be appropriate in locations where air quality is or likely to be a concern and not give rise to unacceptable risks from pollution. This could be through, for example, identifying measures for offsetting the impact on air quality arising from new development including supporting measures in an air quality action plan or low emissions strategy where applicable.”*

2.4.11 Paragraph 005 of the PPG identifies when air quality could be relevant for a planning decision;

“... When deciding whether air quality is relevant to a planning application, considerations could include whether the development would;

- *Significantly affect traffic in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development site or further afield. This could be by generating or increasing traffic congestion; significantly changing traffic volumes, vehicle speed or both; or significantly altering the traffic composition on local roads. Other matters to consider include whether the proposal involves the development of a bus station, coach or lorry park; adds to turnover in a large car park; or result in construction sites that would generate large Heavy Goods Vehicle flows over a period of a year or more;*
- *Introduce new point sources of air pollution. This could include furnaces which require prior notification to local authorities; or extraction systems (including chimneys) which require approval under pollution control legislation or biomass boilers or biomass-fuelled CHP plant; centralised boilers or CHP plant burning other fuels within or close to an air quality management area or introduce relevant combustion within a Smoke Control Areas;*
- *Expose people to existing sources of air pollutants. This could be by building new homes, workplaces or other development in places with poor air quality;*
- *Give rise to potentially unacceptable impact (such as dust) during construction for nearby sensitive locations; and*
- *Affect biodiversity. In particular, is it likely to result in deposition or concentration of pollutants that significantly affect a European-designated wildlife site, and is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site, or does it otherwise affect biodiversity, particularly designated wildlife sites.”*

2.4.12 Paragraph 007 of the PPG provides guidance on how detailed an assessment needs to be;

“Assessments should be proportionate to the nature and scale of development proposed and the level of concern about air quality, and because of this are likely to be locationally specific.”

2.4.13 Paragraph 008 of the PPG provides guidance on how an impact on air quality can be mitigated;

“Mitigation options where necessary will be locationally specific, will depend on the proposed development and should be proportionate to the likely impact... Examples of mitigation include;

- *the design and layout of development to increase separation distances from sources of air pollution;*
- *using green infrastructure, in particular trees, to absorb dust and other pollutants;*
- *means of ventilation;*
- *promoting infrastructure to promote modes of transport with low impact on air quality;*
- *controlling dust and emissions from construction, operation and demolition; and*
- *contributing funding to measures, including those identified in air quality action plans and low emission strategies, designed to offset the impact on air quality arising from new development.”*

2.4.14 Paragraph 009 of the PPG provides guidance on how considerations about air quality fit into the development management process by means of a flowchart. The final two stages in the process deal with the results of the assessment;

“Will the proposed development (including mitigation) lead to an unacceptable risk from air pollution, prevent sustained compliance with EU limit values or national objectives for pollutants or fail to comply with the requirements of the Habitats Regulations.” If Yes:

“Consider how the proposal could be amended to make it acceptable or, where not practicable, consider whether planning permission should be refused.”

Local Policy

2.4.15 The Core Strategy, adopted in March 2011, and the Local Plan (Allocations), adopted in July 2014, form the HBC Adopted Local Plan. Core Strategy Policy DM10 on Pollution states (HBC, 2011):

“Development that may cause pollution of water, air or soil or pollution through noise, smell, smoke, fumes, gases, steam, dust, vibration, light, heat, electromagnetic radiation and other pollutants will only be permitted where all of the following relevant criteria can be met:

1. The health and safety of existing and future users of the site, or nearby occupiers and residents is not put at risk.

2. National air quality standards or objectives would not be breached...”

2.4.16 Policy DM12 on Mitigating the Impacts of Travel states:

“New developments will be required to mitigate their travel impact, including the environmental impacts of travel (such as noise, air and visual pollution) and impacts on amenity, health and climate change by ensuring that, where relevant, the following criteria are met:

- 1. Adequate provision is made for integrated and improved transport infrastructure or appropriate mitigation measures, through direct improvements and S106 contributions in accordance with the Hampshire County Council Transport Contributions Policy.*
- 2. The submission of a transport assessment and travel plan alongside any planning application on proposals for major development or where a proposal is likely to have significant transport implications.*
- 3. The submission of a transport statement must accompany planning applications to explain changes to travel demand.*
- 4. A bond is provided which will be used to mitigate negative travel impacts of the development, should the travel plan fail to achieve its targets. This bond will be held by the relevant local transport authority.*
- 5. In relation to outline planning applications, a framework for the preparation of travel assessments and travel plans will be submitted with the planning application proposals."*

2.4.17 Havant Borough Council, in consultation with residents and businesses, is currently developing a Local Plan for Havant Borough up to 2036. Policy E20 on Amenity and Pollution states:

"Development proposals will be permitted where:

- a. Projected levels of air, noise, odour, vibration, light, water or other pollution do not have an actual or likely significant negative effect on the amenity of existing and future users of the site, nearby occupiers and residents or the wider environment; and*
- b. Where any significant negative effects are identified, applicants will be required to provide appropriate mitigation measures which can be demonstrated to be suitable for the purposes intended, and will ensure that any likely or actual negative effect on receptor(s) identified by criteria a. are limited to an acceptable level."*

3 Methodology

3.1 Existing Conditions

- 3.1.1 Information on existing air quality has been obtained by collating the results of monitoring carried out by HBC. Background concentrations for the site have been defined using the national pollution maps published by Defra. These cover the whole country on a 1x1 km grid (Defra, 2018).

3.2 Construction Impacts

- 3.2.1 The Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) has issued revised guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction (Holman et al, 2014). Within the IAQM guidance, an 'impact' is described as a change in pollutant concentrations or dust deposition and an 'effect' is described as the consequence of an impact.
- 3.2.2 During pre-construction demolition and construction the main potential effects are dust annoyance and locally elevated concentrations of PM₁₀. The suspension of particles in the air is dependent on surface characteristics, weather conditions and on-site activities. Impacts have the potential to occur when dust generating activities coincide with dry, windy conditions, and where sensitive receptors are located downwind of the dust source.
- 3.2.3 Separation distance is also an important factor. Large dust particles (greater than 30 µm), responsible for most dust annoyance, will largely deposit within 100 m of sources. Intermediate particles (10-30 µm) can travel 200-500 m. Consequently, significant dust annoyance is usually limited to within a few hundred metres of its source. Smaller particles (less than 10µm) are deposited slowly and may travel up to 1 km; however, the impact on the short-term concentrations of PM₁₀ occurs over a shorter distance. This is due to the rapid decrease in concentrations with distance from the source due to dispersion.
- 3.2.4 The IAQM guidance recommends that the risk of dust generation is combined with the sensitivity of the area surrounding the site to determine the risk of dust impacts from construction and demolition activities. Depending on the level of risk (high, medium, low or negligible) for each activity, appropriate mitigation is selected.
- 3.2.5 In accordance with the IAQM, the dust emission magnitude is defined as either large, medium or small (**Table 3.1**) taking into account the general activity descriptors on site and professional judgement.
- 3.2.6 The sensitivity of the study area to construction dust impacts is defined based on the examples provided within the IAQM 2014 guidance (**Table 3.2**), taking into account professional judgement.

Table 3.1: Criteria for Dust Emission Magnitude

Dust Emission Magnitude	Activity
Large	Demolition >50,000 m ³ building demolished, dusty material (e.g. concrete), on-site crushing/screening, demolition >20 m above ground level

Dust Emission Magnitude	Activity
	Earthworks >10,000 m ² site area, dusty soil type (e.g. clay), >10 earth moving vehicles active simultaneously, >8 m high bunds formed, >100,000 tonnes material moved
	Construction >100,000 m ³ building volume, on site concrete batching, sandblasting
	Trackout >50 HDVs out / day, dusty soil type (e.g. clay), >100 m unpaved roads
Medium	Demolition 20,000 - 50,000 m ³ building demolished, dusty material (e.g. concrete) 10-20 m above ground level
	Earthworks 2,500 - 10,000 m ² site area, moderately dusty soil (e.g. silt), 5-10 earth moving vehicles active simultaneously, 4 m – 8 m high bunds, 20,000 - 100,000 tonnes material moved
	Construction 25,000 - 100,000 m ³ building volume, on site concrete batching
	Trackout 10 - 50 HDVs out / day, moderately dusty surface material, 50 -100 m unpaved roads
Small	Demolition <20,000 m ³ building demolished, non-dusty material, <10 m above ground level, work in winter
	Earthworks <2,500 m ² site area, non-dusty soil, <5 earth moving vehicles active simultaneously, <4 m high bunds, <20,000 tonnes material moved
	Construction <25,000 m ³ , non-dusty material
	Trackout <10 HDVs out / day, non-dusty soil, < 50 m unpaved roads

Table 3.2: Area Sensitivity Definitions

Area Sensitivity	People and Property Receptors	Ecological Receptors
High	>100 dwellings, hospitals, schools, care homes within 50 m 10 – 100 dwellings within 20 m Museums, car parks, car showrooms within 50 m PM ₁₀ concentrations approach or are above the daily mean objective.	National or Internationally designated site within 20 m with dust sensitive features / species present
Medium	>100 dwellings, hospitals, schools, care homes within 100 m 10 – 100 dwellings within 50 m < 10 dwellings within 20 m Offices/shops/parks within 20 m PM ₁₀ concentrations below the daily mean objective.	National or Internationally designated site within 50 m with dust sensitive features / species present Nationally designated site or particularly important plant species within 20 m
Low	>100 dwellings, hospitals, schools, care homes 100 - 350m away 10 – 100 dwellings within 50 – 350 m < 10 dwellings within 20 – 350 m Playing fields, parks, farmland, footpaths, short term car parks, roads, shopping streets PM ₁₀ concentrations well below the daily mean objective.	Nationally designated site or particularly important plant species 20 – 50 m Locally designated site with dust sensitive features within 50 m

- 3.2.7 Based on the dust emission magnitude and the area sensitivity, the risk of dust impacts is then determined (**Table 3.3**), taking into account professional judgement.

Table 3.3: Risk of Dust Impacts

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High	Medium	Low
Medium	Medium	Medium	Low
Low	Low	Low	Negligible

- 3.2.8 Based on the risk of dust impacts, appropriate mitigation is selected from the IAQM guidance using professional judgement.

Significance Criteria

- 3.2.9 The construction impact significance criteria are based on the IAQM guidance. The guidance recommends that no assessment of the significance of effects is made without mitigation in place, as mitigation is assumed to be secured by planning conditions, legal requirements or required by regulations.

- 3.2.10 With appropriate mitigation in place, the residual effect of construction impacts on air quality is assessed as not significant.

3.3 Road Traffic Impacts

Human Health Receptors

- 3.3.1 Relevant sensitive locations are places where members of the public might be expected to be regularly present over the averaging period of the objectives. For the annual mean and daily mean objectives that are the focus of this assessment, sensitive receptors will generally be residential properties, schools, nursing homes, etc. When identifying these receptors, particular attention has been paid to assessing impacts close to junctions, where traffic may become congested, and where there is a combined effect of several road links.
- 3.3.2 The area assessed also takes into account guidance developed by Environmental Protection UK (EPUK) and the IAQM. The guidance provides indicative criteria for when an air quality assessment is required. Existing receptors have been included in the assessment where they are adjacent to roads with an increase in traffic above the IAQM/EPUK guidance criteria below:
- A change of Light Duty Vehicles (LDVs) flows of more than 100 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA or more than 500 AADT elsewhere.
 - A change of Heavy Duty Vehicles (HDVs) flows of more than 25 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA or more than 100 AADT elsewhere (Moorcroft and Barrowcliffe *et al*, 2017).
- 3.3.3 Based on the above criteria, thirteen existing properties have been identified as residential receptors for the assessment. The locations of existing residential receptors were chosen to represent locations where impacts from road traffic related to the proposed development are likely to be the greatest, i.e. as a result of development traffic at junctions. These locations are described in **Table 3.4**. Receptors were modelled at a height of 0 m to 4.5 m representing ground and first floor exposure (shown in **Figure 1**). Receptors R9 to R11 are located at a lower gradient when compared to the road network, therefore were modelled at 0 m.
- 3.3.4 Concentrations have also been predicted at one diffusion tube monitor located at London Road (Waterlooville) in order to verify the modelled results. **Appendix C** provides further details on the verification method.

Table 3.4: Receptor Locations Description

Receptor	Location	x	y	Height (m)
R1	74 Hambledon Road	467711.4	110089.3	1.5
R2	Hambledon Road	467721.3	110076.9	1.5
R3	1 Milton Road (above garage)	467734.7	110052.7	4.5
R4	Hambledon Road	467739.8	110047.5	1.5
R5	1 Milton Road	467756.5	110076.8	1.5
R6	Hambledon Road	467752.3	110015.8	1.5
R7	Churcill House Retirement Home	468316	109558.7	1.5
R8	Flat 2nd Floor, 326 London Road	468351.7	109513.4	4.5
R9	Homewater House, Hulbert Road	468386.5	109538.4	0.0
R10	Homewater House, Hulbert Road	468383	109552.1	0.0
R11	Homewater House, Hulbert Road	468384.1	109561.2	0.0

Receptor	Location	x	y	Height (m)
R12	Victoria Court, A3 London road	468397.4	109591.3	1.5
R13	Freshfield Gardens	468351.5	109591	1.5

Impact Predictions

- 3.3.5 Predictions have been carried out using the ADMS-Roads dispersion model (v4.1.1). The model requires the user to provide various input data, including the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) flow, the proportion of Heavy Duty Vehicles (HDVs), road characteristics (including road width and street canyon height, where applicable), and the vehicle speed. It also requires meteorological data. The model has been run using 2017 meteorological data from the Southampton meteorological station, which is considered suitable for this area. **Appendix D** provides further details on the model inputs.
- 3.3.6 AADT flows and the proportions of HDVs, for roads within 250 m of the existing receptors and monitoring site 9(B) on London Road, have been provided by DTA. Traffic data used in this assessment are summarised in **Appendix E**. The traffic data have been based upon 100% of development traffic being additional traffic on the road network in 2020 with the development in place. This is consistent with the approach used in the Transport Assessment prepared by DTA, and provides a worst-case assessment as, in reality, a proportion of the development trips would be existing retail trips and would therefore not be additional.
- 3.3.7 Traffic emissions were calculated using the Emission Factor Toolkit (EFT) v8.0, which utilises NO_x emission factors taken from the European Environment Agency COPERT 5 emission tool. The traffic data were entered into the EFT, along with speed data to provide combined emission rates for each of the road links entered into the model.
- 3.3.8 In order to take account of uncertainties relating to future year vehicle emissions, an assessment has been carried out utilising 2020 emission factors and background concentrations combined with traffic data from 2020, this is considered to be an appropriate estimation of emissions in the future. **Appendix E** provides a justification for the selection of future year vehicle emission factors.

Assessment Criteria

Human health Impacts

- 3.3.9 The relevant objectives for human health are set out in **Table 2.1** and **Table 2.2**. There is no official guidance in the UK on how to assess the significance of air quality impacts of a new development. The approach developed by the IAQM and Environmental Protection UK (EPUK), which considers the change in air quality as a result of a proposed development on existing receptors, has therefore been used (Moorcroft and Barrowcliffe *et al.*, 2017).
- 3.3.10 The guidance sets out three stages: determining the magnitude of change at each receptor, describing the impact, and assessing the overall significance. Impact magnitude relates to the change in pollutant concentration; the impact description relates this change to the air quality objective.
- 3.3.11 **Table 3.5** sets out the impact magnitude descriptors, whilst **Table 3.6** sets out the impact descriptors.

Table 3.5: Impact Magnitude for Changes in Ambient Pollutant Concentrations

Magnitude (Change in Concentration)	Annual Mean NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ (40 µg/m ³)	Annual Mean PM _{2.5} (25 µg/m ³)	Annual Mean of 32 µg/m ³ equating to 35 days above 50 µg/m ³ for PM ₁₀
Very Large (>9.5%)	≥3.8 µg/m ³	≥2.375 µg/m ³	≥3.04 µg/m ³
Large (>5.5% - ≤9.5%)	>2.2 – ≤3.8 µg/m ³	>1.375 – ≤2.375 µg/m ³	>1.76 – ≤3.04 µg/m ³
Medium (>1.5% - ≤5.5%)	>0.6 – ≤2.2 µg/m ³	>0.375 – ≤1.375 µg/m ³	>0.48 – ≤1.76 µg/m ³
Small (>0.5% - ≤1.5%)	>0.2 – ≤0.6 µg/m ³	>0.125 – ≤0.375 µg/m ³	>0.16 – ≤0.48 µg/m ³
Imperceptible (≤0.5%)	≤0.2 µg/m ³	≤0.125 µg/m ³	≤0.16 µg/m ³

Table 3.6: Impact Descriptor for Changes in Concentration at a Receptor

Concentration with the development in place in relation to Objective / Limit Value	Change in Concentration				
	Imperceptible	Small	Medium	Large	Very Large
> 109.5 % (a)	Negligible	Moderate	Major	Major	Major
>102.5% - ≤109.5% (b)	Negligible	Moderate	Moderate	Major	Major
>94.5% - ≤102.5% (c)	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
>75.5% - ≤94.5% (d)	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
≤75.5% (e)	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Moderate

Where concentrations increase the impact is described as adverse and where it decreases as beneficial.

(a) NO₂ or PM₁₀: > 44 µg/m³ annual mean; PM_{2.5} >27.5 µg/m³ annual mean; PM₁₀ >35.2 µg/m³ annual mean (days)

(b) NO₂ or PM₁₀: > 40.8 – ≤ 44 µg/m³ annual mean; PM_{2.5} > 25.5 – ≤27.5 µg/m³ annual mean; PM₁₀ >32.6 – ≤35.2 µg/m³ annual mean (days)

(c) NO₂ or PM₁₀: > 38 – ≤40.8 µg/m³ annual mean; PM_{2.5} >23.75 – ≤25.5 µg/m³ of annual mean; PM₁₀ >30.4 – ≤32.6 µg/m³ annual mean (days)

(d) NO₂ or PM₁₀: >30 – ≤38 µg/m³ annual mean; PM_{2.5} >18.75 – ≤23.6 µg/m³ annual mean; PM₁₀ <24 – ≤ 30.4 µg/m³ annual mean (days)

(e) NO₂ or PM₁₀: ≤30 µg/m³ annual mean; PM_{2.5} ≤18.75 µg/m³; annual mean; PM₁₀ ≤24 µg/m³ annual mean (days)

3.3.12 The guidance states that the assessment of significance should be based on professional judgement, taking into account factors including:

- the number of properties affected by minor, moderate or major air quality impacts and a judgement on the overall balance;
- the magnitude of the changes and the descriptions of the impacts at the receptors i.e. **Tables 3.5** and **3.6** findings;
- whether or not an exceedance of an objective or limit value is predicted to arise in the operational study area (where there are significant changes in traffic) where none existed before or an exceedance area is substantially increased;
- the uncertainty, comprising the extent to which worst-case assumptions have been made; and
- the extent to which an objective or limit value is exceeded.

- 3.3.13 Where impacts can be considered in isolation at an individual receptor, moderate or major impacts (i.e. per **Table 3.6**) may be considered to be a significant environmental effect, whereas negligible or minor impacts would not be considered significant. The overall effect however, needs to be considered in the round taking into account the changes at all of the modelled receptor locations, with a judgement made as to whether the overall air quality effect of the development is significant or not.

Assumptions and Limitations

- 3.3.14 There are many components that contribute to the uncertainty in predicted concentrations. The model used in this assessment is dependent upon the traffic data that have been input which will have inherent uncertainties associated with them. There is then additional uncertainty as the model is required to simplify real-world conditions into a series of algorithms.
- 3.3.15 A disparity between national road transport emissions projections and measured annual mean concentrations of nitrogen oxides and NO₂ has been identified in recent years. Whilst projections suggest that both annual mean nitrogen oxides and NO₂ concentrations from road traffic emissions should have fallen significantly over the past 6 – 8 years, at many monitoring sites levels have remained relatively stable, or have shown a slight increase (Carslaw, 2011).
- 3.3.16 The complete development modelling has been based on 2020 emission factors and background concentrations, whilst utilising traffic flows for 2020. The model has been verified against 2017 monitoring data. This is considered to provide an appropriate assessment taking into account the uncertainties regarding future vehicle emission factors.

4 Baseline Conditions

4.1 LAQM

- 4.1.1 HBC has investigated air quality within its area as part of its responsibilities under the LAQM regime. To date, no AQMAs have been declared within the borough.

4.1 Monitoring

Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

- 4.1.1 HBC deploys NO₂ diffusion tubes at a number of locations. The closest and most representative monitoring locations are described in **Table 4.1** below and shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 4.1: Measured NO₂ Concentrations, (2013-2017)

Site ID	Site Type	Distance (m) ^a	Within AQMA	Annual Mean (µg/m ³)				
				2013	2014	2015	2016*	2017
9 (B), London Road**	R	600	N	31.33	33.9	30.4	32.0 (39.7)	(38.7)
18, Waterlooville Precinte	UC	650	N	19.7	22.4	17.8	20.7	20.4
8, London Road (Purbrook)	R	1,700	N	25.9	26.5	23.5	26.8	26.9
26, Ladybridge Road	R	1,900	N	26.7	24.9	17.4	17.9 (24.9)	(25.7)
Objective				40				

Exceedances of the objective highlighted in bold. R= Roadside, UC = Urban Centre. 2012 – 2016 data taken from the 2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report for HBC (HBC, 2017).

2017 data provided by the Environmental Health Officer (EHO) at HBC.

^a Distance from site boundary.

* Data within brackets is not corrected to nearest exposure.

**Used for model verification

- 4.1.2 Measured concentrations at the closest monitoring location to the development site, 9(B) on London Road, have been below the relevant objective between 2013 and 2017. However, the measured concentrations at monitoring location 9(B) were close to the relevant objective in 2016 and 2017. Monitored concentrations at the remaining monitoring locations shown in **Table 4.1** were well below the objective between 2013 and 2017. There is not a clear trend in concentrations at the monitoring locations shown in **Table 4.1** over time.

Particulates (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5})

- 4.1.3 There is no PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} monitoring undertaken by HBC in the Borough.

4.2 Background Concentrations

- 4.2.1 In addition to these measured concentrations, estimated background concentrations for the development site and surrounding area have been obtained from the national maps provided by Defra (Defra, 2018) (shown in **Table 4.2**). No calibration of the Defra backgrounds was done against measured local background concentrations since there are no automatic background monitoring locations within Waterlooville.

4.2.2 The background concentrations are all well below the relevant objectives.

Table 4.2: Estimated Annual Mean Background Concentrations

Year	Location	Annual Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
		NO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
2017	467_110	12.3	13.7	9.1
	468_109	14.2	14.3	9.5
2020	467_110	11.2	13.4	8.8
	468_109	12.8	14.0	9.3
Objectives		40	40	25

4.3 Predicted Baseline Concentrations

Human Health Receptors

4.3.1 The ADMS-Roads model has been run to predict baseline NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations at each of the existing receptor locations identified in [Table 3.4](#). The results for the baseline scenarios are presented in [Table 4.3](#) below.

Table 4.3: Predicted Baseline Concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in 2017 and 2020

Receptor	Annual Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
	NO ₂		PM ₁₀		PM _{2.5}	
	2017	2020	2017	2020	2017	2020
R1	23.6	20.6	15.3	15.0	10.1	9.7
R2	28.1	24.4	16.0	15.6	10.5	10.1
R3	27.7	24.1	15.9	15.6	10.4	10.1
R4	28.5	24.8	16.1	15.7	10.5	10.2
R5	27.0	23.6	15.9	15.6	10.4	10.1
R6	25.4	22.1	15.6	15.3	10.3	9.9
R7	34.0	29.8	17.0	16.7	11.2	10.8
R8	27.9	24.5	16.2	15.8	10.7	10.3
R9	40.7	35.5	18.3	17.9	11.9	11.5
R10	38.8	33.9	17.9	17.5	11.7	11.2
R11	36.9	32.1	17.5	17.1	11.5	11.0
R12	31.6	27.5	16.6	16.3	10.9	10.6
R13	30.7	26.8	16.5	16.1	10.9	10.5
Objectives	40		40		25	

Exceedances highlighted in bold

4.3.2 The predicted annual mean NO₂ objective is predicted to be exceeded at receptor location R9 in 2017. There are no declared AQMAs in the Borough. Therefore, the exceedance predicted at these receptor locations could be the result of modelled overpredictions of NO₂ concentrations, or the possibility that poor air quality in the area around the Maurepas Way/ A3/ B2150 Hulbert Road Signalised Roundabout has not previously been recognised as an issue. It should also be noted that receptor R9 has been modelled at a height of 0 m, which is level with the road. There are no predicted exceedances of the annual mean PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} objectives in 2017, or the NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} objectives in 2020.

- 4.3.3 Annual mean NO₂ concentrations are predicted to decrease at all existing receptors in 2020. This is due to the predicted reduction in vehicle emissions over time, as well as the expected take-up of low emission vehicles.
- 4.3.4 None of the predicted annual mean NO₂ concentrations exceed 60 µg/m³ and therefore exceedance of the 1-hour mean NO₂ objective is unlikely. None of the predicted annual mean PM₁₀ concentrations exceed 32 µg/m³ and therefore the 24-hour mean PM₁₀ objective is not predicted to be exceeded.

5 Impact Assessment

5.1 Construction Impacts

5.1.1 The main potential effects during construction are dust deposition and elevated PM₁₀ concentrations. The following activities have the potential to cause emissions of dust:

- Site preparation including delivery of construction material, erection of fences and barriers;
- Earthworks including digging foundations and landscaping;
- Materials handling such as storage of material in stockpiles and spillage;
- Construction and fabrication of units; and
- Disposal of waste materials off-site.

5.1.2 Typically the main cause of unmitigated dust generation on construction sites is from demolition and vehicles using unpaved haul roads, and off-site from the suspension of dust from mud deposited on local roads by construction traffic. Buildings on the site have already been demolished, however earthworks are still required. The main determinants of unmitigated dust annoyance are the weather and the distance to the nearest receptor.

5.1.3 Based on the IAQM criteria (**Table 3.1**), the dust emissions magnitude is considered to be low. The study area is considered to be of low sensitivity, as the first residential receptors are located within 50-100 m of the site boundary and offices more than 20 m from the site boundary (**Table 3.2**). Appropriate mitigation corresponding to a low risk site is therefore required during the construction phase (**Table 3.3**). With appropriate mitigation in place the construction impacts are described as being not significant.

5.2 Road Traffic Impacts

Human Health Receptors

5.2.1 Predicted concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} at existing receptors in 2020, both without and with the proposed development in place are presented in **Table 5.1** below.

Table 5.1: Predicted Concentrations and Change in Concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} at Existing Receptors

Receptor	2020 Annual Mean (µg/m ³)								
	NO ₂			PM ₁₀			PM _{2.5}		
	DM	DS	Change	DM	DS	Change	DM	DS	Change
R1	20.6	21.3	0.76	15.0	15.1	0.13	9.7	9.8	0.07
R2	24.4	25.4	1.02	15.6	15.8	0.18	10.1	10.2	0.10
R3	24.1	25.2	1.04	15.6	15.8	0.18	10.1	10.2	0.10
R4	24.8	25.9	1.09	15.7	15.9	0.19	10.2	10.3	0.11
R5	23.6	24.4	0.89	15.6	15.8	0.17	10.1	10.2	0.09
R6	22.1	23.1	0.93	15.3	15.5	0.16	9.9	10.0	0.09
R7	29.8	30.6	0.77	16.7	16.8	0.12	10.8	10.9	0.07
R8	24.5	24.9	0.43	15.8	15.9	0.07	10.3	10.4	0.04
R9	35.5	36.2	0.69	17.9	18.0	0.12	11.5	11.5	0.07
R10	33.9	34.5	0.65	17.5	17.6	0.11	11.2	11.3	0.06
R11	32.1	32.7	0.58	17.1	17.2	0.10	11.0	11.1	0.06

Receptor	2020 Annual Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)								
	NO ₂			PM ₁₀			PM _{2.5}		
	DM	DS	Change	DM	DS	Change	DM	DS	Change
R12	27.5	27.9	0.41	16.3	16.3	0.06	10.6	10.6	0.04
R13	26.8	27.2	0.45	16.1	16.2	0.07	10.5	10.5	0.04
Objective	40		-	40		-	25		-

5.2.2 The predicted NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations in 2020 without and with the proposed development in place are below the relevant objectives at all existing receptor locations.

5.2.3 The impact magnitude and descriptors for of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are presented in **Table 5.2** below.

Table 5.2: Impact Magnitude and Descriptors for Annual Mean NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations

Receptor	Impact Magnitude			Impact Descriptor		
	NO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	NO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
R1	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R2	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R3	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R4	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R5	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R6	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R7	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Minor	Negligible	Negligible
R8	Small	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R9	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Minor	Negligible	Negligible
R10	Medium	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Minor	Negligible	Negligible
R11	Small	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R12	Small	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
R13	Small	Imperceptible	Imperceptible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

5.2.4 Based on the impact magnitude descriptors presented in **Table 3.5**, the changes in annual mean NO₂ concentrations range from small to medium. Medium changes occur at receptors R1 to R7, R9 and R10, whilst small changes occur at the remaining receptors. The changes in PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations are all imperceptible.

5.2.5 Using the criteria set out in **Table 3.6**, the impact on annual mean NO₂ concentrations is described as minor adverse at receptor locations R7, R9 and R10. The impact on NO₂

concentrations at the remaining receptor locations is described as negligible. The impact on PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations is described as negligible at all receptor locations.

Impact Significance

- 5.2.6 There are no predicted exceedances of the relevant air quality strategy objectives at any of the existing receptor locations. Overall, considering the criteria set out in **Paragraph 3.3.12**, the air quality effects of road traffic generated by the proposed development are considered to be not significant.

6 Mitigation

6.1 Construction

- 6.1.1 The following standard low risk mitigation measures from the IAQM 2014 guidance are recommended. These should be included within a Construction Environmental Management Plan and agreed with the Local Authority.

Communication

- Display the name and contact details of persons accountable on the site boundary.
- Display the head or regional office information on the site boundary.

Management

- Develop and implement a dust management plan.
- Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify causes and take measures to reduce emissions.
- Record exceptional incidents and action taken to resolve the situation.
- Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with the dust management plan and record results.
- Increase site inspection frequency during prolonged dry or windy conditions and when activities with high dust potential are being undertaken.
- Plan site layout so that machinery and dust causing activities are located away from receptors, as far as possible.
- Erect solid screens or barriers around dusty activities or the site boundary at least as high as any stockpile on site.
- Fully enclose site or specific operations where there is a high potential for dust production and the site is active for an extensive period.
- Avoid site run off of water or mud.
- Keep site fencing, barriers and scaffolding clean using wet methods.
- Remove potentially dusty materials from site as soon as possible.
- Cover, seed or fence stockpiles to prevent wind whipping.
- Ensure all vehicles comply with the London Low Emission Zone and the NRMM standards, where applicable.
- Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary.
- Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators where possible.
- Only use cutting, grinding and sawing equipment with dust suppression equipment.
- Ensure an adequate supply of water on site for dust suppressant.

- Use enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips.
- Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use water sprays on such equipment where appropriate.
- Ensure equipment is readily available on site to clean up spillages of dry materials.
- No on-site bonfires and burning of waste materials on site.

Construction

- Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in bunded areas and are not allowed to dry out, unless required for a particular process.

Trackout

- Use water assisted dust sweepers on the site access and local roads.
- Avoid dry sweeping of large areas.
- Ensure vehicles entering and leaving the site are covered to prevent escape of materials.
- Record inspection of on-site haul routes and any subsequent action, repairing as soon as reasonably practicable.
- Install hard surfaced haul routes which are regularly damped down.
- Install a wheel wash with a hard-surfaced road to the site exit where site layout permits.

6.2 Operation

Road Traffic Impacts

- 6.2.1 The effects of development traffic on local air quality are judged to be not significant. No additional traffic mitigation is therefore required to reduce the direct effects of road traffic associated with the development on local air quality.
- 6.2.2 Nonetheless, a travel plan has been produced with the aim to promote sustainable travel and minimise single occupancy car travel.

7 Conclusions

- 7.1.1 The air quality impacts associated with the proposed redevelopment of the site at Elettra Avenue, Waterloooville, located within the administrative boundary of Havant Borough Council, have been assessed.
- 7.1.2 The construction works have the potential to create dust. During construction it is recommended that a package of mitigation measures is put in place to minimise the low risk of elevated PM₁₀ concentrations and dust nuisance in the surrounding area. With mitigation in place, the construction impacts are judged to be not significant.
- 7.1.3 Concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} have been predicted for a number of worst-case locations representing existing properties adjacent to the road network. There are no predicted exceedances of the air quality strategy objectives at any of the existing receptor locations in 2020, with or without the development in place. The effects of development traffic on local air quality are judged to be not significant, and no additional traffic mitigation is therefore required to reduce the direct effects of the development on local air quality.
- 7.1.4 Overall, it is concluded that there are no air quality constraints to the proposed development.

References

Carslaw, D., Beevers, S., Westmoreland, E. and Williams, M. (2011). 'Trends in NO_x and NO₂ emissions and ambient measurements in the UK'.

Available: http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report_id=645.

Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) in partnership with the Scottish Executive, The National Assembly for Wales and the Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland (2016). 'Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance, LAQM.TG(16)'. HMSO, London.

Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) (2018). '2015 Based Background Maps for NO_x, NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}'. Available: <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2015>.

Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions (DETR, 2007) in Partnership with the Welsh Office, Scottish Office and Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland (2007). 'The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland' HMSO, London.

Department for Communities and Local Government (2018). 'National Planning Policy Framework'.

Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Environment Act 1995, Part IV.

Havant Borough Council (2011). Havant Borough Core Strategy.

Havant Borough Council (201). 2017 Air Quality Status Report (ASR).

Holman *et al.* (2014). 'Assessment of dust from demolition and construction', IAQM, London

Moorcroft and Barrowcliffe *et al.* (2017). 'Land-use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality'. V1.2. The Institute for Air Quality Management, London

Planning Practice Guidance (2014). 'Air Quality'.

Available at: <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/air-quality/>

Statutory Instrument 2000, No 921, 'The Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000' HMSO, London.

Statutory Instrument 2002, No 3034, 'The Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002' HMSO, London.

Statutory Instrument 2010, No. 1001, 'The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010' HMSO, London

Appendix A Glossary

Abbreviations	Meaning
AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
ADMS	Air Dispersion Modelling System
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Diffusion Tube	A passive sampler used for collecting NO ₂ in the air
EA	Environmental Agency
EFT	Emission Factor Toolkit
EHO	Environmental Health Officer
EPUK	Environmental Protection UK
HBC	Havant Borough Council
HDV	Heavy Duty Vehicle; a vehicle with a gross vehicle weight greater than 3.5 tonnes. Includes Heavy Goods Vehicles and buses
IAQM	Institute of Air Quality Management
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
NAQO	National Air Quality Objective as set out in the Air Quality Strategy and the Air Quality Regulations
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides, generally considered to be nitric oxide and NO ₂ . Its main source is from combustion of fossil fuels, including petrol and diesel used in road vehicles
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	Small airborne particles less than 10/2.5 µm in diameter
PPG	Planning Practice Guidance
Receptor	A location where the effects of pollution may occur
SPG	Supplementary Planning Guidance
TEA	Triethanolamine

Appendix B Model Verification

Nitrogen Dioxide

Most nitrogen dioxide is produced in the atmosphere by the reaction of nitric oxide (NO) with ozone. It is therefore most appropriate to verify the model in terms of primary pollutant emission of nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_x = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$). The model has been run to predict the 2016 annual mean road- NO_x contribution at one monitoring location (identified in [Table 4.1](#)). Concentrations have been modelled at a height of 2.8 m for diffusion tube 9(B) London Road.

The model output of road- NO_x has been compared with the 'measured' road- NO_x , which was determined from the measured NO_2 concentration using the NO_x from NO_2 calculator and the adjusted background NO_2 concentrations from the Defra background map.

An adjustment factor was determined as follows:

- Measured NO_2 : $38.7 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
- Measured road- NO_x : $50.7 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
- Modelled road- NO_x : $23.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
- Road- NO_x adjustment factor = 2.1590*

* Based on unrounded numbers

This factor implies that the model is under-predicting the road- NO_x contribution. This is a common experience with this and most other models.

Particulates (PM_{10} and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$)

There is no PM_{10} or $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ monitoring in close proximity to the proposed development site. Therefore, the primary adjustment factor calculated for NO_2 concentrations has been applied to the modelled road-particulates concentrations.

Appendix C Model Inputs and Results Processing

Meteorological Data	Hourly meteorological data from Southampton 2017 has been used in the model. The wind-rose is shown in figure C1.
ADMS	Version 4.1.1
Latitude	50°
Surface Roughness	A value of 1 for Cities and Woodlands was used to represent the modelled area. A value of 0.3 for agricultural areas was used to represent the meteorological station site
Minimum Monin-Obukhov length	A value of 30 for Mixed urban/industrial was used to represent the modelled area and 10 for small towns to represent the meteorological station site
Street Canyon	No canyons
Emission Factor Toolkit (EFT)	V8.0 , November 2017.
NO _x to NO ₂ Conversion	NO _x to NO ₂ calculator version 6.1, October 2017
Background Maps	2015 reference year background maps





Figure C.1: 2017 Southampton Wind rose

Appendix D Traffic Data and Road Network

Location	2017 Baseline		2020 Without Development		2020 With Development	
	AADT	HDV (%)	AADT	HDV (%)	AADT	HDV (%)
Link 1 - Elettra Avenue	10,133	1.7	12,066	1.4	15,592	2.0
Link 2 - Hambledon Road N	19,584	3.8	20,096	3.7	20,694	3.7
Link 3 - Hambledon Road S	36,702	2.0	37,909	1.9	39,898	2.1
Link 4 - Milton Road	14,359	2.5	15,111	2.4	16,051	2.3
Link 6 - A3 (Maurepas Way East)	29,371	1.2	30,846	1.1	32,238	1.3
Link 7 - A3 (London Road)	23,308	1.2	23,546	1.2	23,964	1.3
Link 8 - B2150 (Hulbert Road)	36,634	1.2	37,821	1.2	38,795	1.3



Appendix E Future Year Modelling – Road Transport Emissions Factors

Introduction

Atmospheric dispersion modelling is used to determine the effect of future development traffic on local air quality. The modelling utilises predictions of the composition and emissions profile of the vehicle fleet which are produced by Defra in the emissions factor toolkit (EFT). The composition and emissions profiles are provided on a year by year basis from 2013 to 2030, with the database being periodically updated.

The main issue with regard to the modelling of future traffic impacts is the choice of emission factors to use given that there is a degree of uncertainty as to the accuracy of the emission factors, as well as uncertainty introduced by the modelling process and the traffic data on which the predictions are based. This has become more important in recent years as it has been realised that previous versions of the EFT were likely to have significantly underestimated the real world emissions of the vehicle fleet, as well as the more recent revelations concerning the use of 'defeat devices' on VW group vehicles.

This note therefore sets out PBAs approach to the choice of vehicle emission factors for future year assessments. The note has been revised following updating of the Defra Emissions Factor Toolkit in November 2017.

Modelling Methodology

As a prelude to the discussion of emission factors, it is useful to recap on the general methodology that is used for dispersion modelling of road traffic emissions:

- Traffic data is entered into the dispersion model to represent the baseline situation and the model is used to predict how NO_x emissions are dispersed in the environment.
- The dispersion modelling predictions are compared to monitoring data to obtain a verification factor; the factor by which the predicted road traffic concentration must be multiplied by to agree with the monitored concentration.
- The modelling is repeated for the future year situation; with traffic data representing the situation without the development in place (the 'without' scheme scenario) and with the development in place ('with' scheme). In both cases, the verification factor obtained from the baseline modelling is used to multiply the model results by, in essence assuming that the model is equally as accurate in the future as it was for the baseline scenario.

The verification factor is one of the key elements in the discussion regarding vehicle emission factors. One element of uncertainty in the modelling is the degree to which the emission factors in the EFT are different to actual emissions of the vehicle fleet on the local road network. The use of the verification factor for the future year predictions essentially assumes that the difference between the EFT emission factors and real world emissions is the same in the future as it was in the baseline year. In other words, unless there is some reason to believe that the future year emission factors are less accurate than the baseline year emission factors, the degree to which the EFT emission factors and real world emission factors differ is taken into account in the modelling by the use of the verification factor. This is discussed further in the following sections.

Emission Factor Toolkit

The EFT contains estimates of the future composition of the vehicle fleet in terms of the age and type of vehicles. The composition of the vehicle fleet is primarily related to the age of the vehicles (in terms of their emissions class) and the fuel that they use (i.e. petrol or diesel). In general terms, the majority of new vehicles replace much older vehicles, and as the emissions performance of vehicles is generally taken to improve over time, both current and historical versions of the EFT predict very large reductions in NO_x emissions in the future. It is also obvious that the further one looks into the future, the more

uncertain the predictions become as they depend on the rate of vehicle renewal and the size and fuel mix of the vehicles bought; which are all estimates.

The emissions performance of the vehicles is classified in terms of Euro type approval testing; Euro 1 to 6 concerning light duty vehicles and Euro I to VI heavy duty vehicles. Whilst the introduction of each Euro class has generally seen a tightening of emission standards, the standards up until now have been based on laboratory testing of vehicles. The emissions performance of the vehicles in real world driving conditions has been higher than the laboratory testing results, especially for diesel vehicles. This factor was not recognised in earlier versions of the EFT, and combined with the fact that diesel vehicles have much higher NO_x emissions than petrol vehicles and there has been a very large increase in the number of diesel vehicles on the road, has meant that the NO_x emissions and NO₂ concentrations have not reduced as previously predicted.

The trends in NO_x emissions in the vehicle fleet, especially diesel vehicles and the accuracy of the current version of the EFT, is therefore critical in terms of the choice of emission factors in modelling.

Trends in NO_x emissions

For light duty vehicles, the latest Euro standard is Euro 6, which was introduced from September 2015 (with a derogation in the UK for the registration of new vehicles until September 2016).

The emissions standards currently relate to a laboratory test whereby the average emission rate is calculated over an idealised drive cycle. The cycle used is the New European Drive Cycle (NEDC) and there has been extensive criticism that the drive cycle does not represent real world driving conditions. It has therefore been agreed that a new drive cycle will be introduced, the World Light-duty Test Cycle (WLDTTC), as well as an on-road test termed Real Driving Emissions (RDE).

Up until September 2017, Euro 6 vehicles were only tested in the laboratory against the NEDC, and these vehicles are termed Euro 6ab. However, from September 2017, new models are tested against the WLDTTC and will also have a RDE test. The initial introduction of the RDE test will allow vehicles to have average RDE test emissions of 2.1 times the WLDTTC test standard (termed Euro 6c vehicles). The 2.1 factor is termed the conformity factor and will apply to new vehicle models from September 2017 and all new vehicles from September 2019. From January 2020, the conformity factor will reduce to 1.5 for new vehicle models (January 2021 for all new vehicles) and these are termed Euro 6d vehicles.

Air Quality Consultants undertook some research into the performance of diesel vehicles to support a methodology that they adopted for undertaking air quality assessments¹. As part of the analysis, they compared the real world test results of current Euro 6ab diesel vehicles and calculated an average conformity factor of 3.9 from the tests that were assessed. This work led to AQC publishing the CURED v2A calculator which attempted to take account of the real world emissions performance of diesel vehicles. The approach using CURED v2A was generally accepted to be conservative when considering developments a long time in the future.

Subsequently, the Department for Transport have undertaken testing of Euro 5 and 6ab diesel vehicles and found that the average NO_x emissions were 1135 mg/km for Euro 5 vehicles and 500 mg/km for Euro 6ab vehicles². These work out to be a conformity factor of 6.30 and 6.25 for Euro 5 and Euro 6ab respectively. Adding in the DfTr results to the AQC results gives an overall average conformity factor for Euro 6ab vehicles tested of 4.1.

A paper presented by Dr Marc Stettler at the recent Westminster Energy, Environment & Transport Forum³ included results of RDE testing of existing Euro 6ab vehicles. Whilst there was wide range in the results, a number of the vehicles tested did already comply with the Euro 6c standard.

¹ Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides from Modern Diesel Vehicles. AQC January 2016

² Vehicle Emissions Testing Programme DfTr Cm 9259 April 2016

³ Priorities for reducing air quality impacts of road vehicles. Dr Marc Stettler 17th May 2016

Similar results have been reported in a study led by Rosalind O'Driscoll of Imperial College⁴. This showed that the average NO_x emissions were 4.5 times higher than the Euro 6 limit, with an average NO₂ percentage of 44%.

From the emissions testing work undertaken to date on Euro 6ab vehicles it is clear that the NO_x emissions performance of Euro 6ab vehicles is significantly better than Euro 5 vehicles, although not in line with the laboratory standards. The introduction of Euro 6 should therefore see a significant reduction in NO_x emissions in the future, as outlined in the following table.

Emission Standard	Real Driving Emissions NO _x mg/km
Euro 5, DfTr testing	1135
Euro 6ab, DfTr testing	500
Euro 6c, September 2017 models	168
Euro 6d, January 2020 models	120

Further testing of vehicles is ongoing, with Emissions Analytics regularly publishing the results of real world emissions testing on vehicles⁵. Also, in the November 2017 budget, the government announced a one-off tax on new diesel cars not meeting Euro 6c standards. Both of these factors should help put pressure on vehicle manufacturers to meet the RDE standards. In the longer term, there is also the move to electric vehicles which will gather pace. Recent evidence in terms of vehicle procurement also suggests a decline in diesel vehicle sales due to negative publicity. These factors may mean that the vehicle fleet predictions in the EFT v8.0 are pessimistic with regard to vehicle NO_x emissions.

Emissions in the EFT

As noted in Section 3, the EFT contains estimates of vehicle emissions by Euro Class. The database was updated in November 2017 from v7.0 to v8.0. It now uses NO_x emissions factors for the vehicles taken from the European Environment Agency's COPERT 5 database, compared to the previous COPERT 4 version v11.

The EFT now takes account of the real world performance of Euro 6ab diesel cars, applying a high conformity factor to these vehicles. For Euro 6c and Euro 6d vehicles, it assumes that the RDE will be effective in bringing down vehicle emissions, but does not assume that vehicle emissions will be as low as the conformity factors in the RDE testing. The EFT therefore incorporates an assumption that diesel car NO_x emissions will be higher in real world driving conditions than the testing standards allow.


AQC have reviewed their approach to vehicle emissions⁶ following publication of EFT v8.0. CURED v3A has been formulated assuming that light duty vehicle emissions are as per EFT v8.0 up until Euro 6c. Euro 6d vehicles are assumed to have the same emissions as Euro 6c. Emissions from HDVs are assumed to be as per the EFT v8.0. Vehicle emissions using CURED v3A can be considered to be a worst-case sensitivity test post 2020.

The following graph shows the relative decline in vehicle NO_x emissions predicted for a road in outer London with 5% Heavy Duty Vehicle traffic travelling at 36kph. As air quality models are verified against historic data, the relative decline in emissions is shown.

⁴ A Portable Emissions Measurement System (PEMS) study of NO_x and primary NO₂ emissions from Euro 6 diesel passenger cars and comparison with COPERT emission factors. Rosalind O'Driscoll. September 2016

⁵ <http://equaindex.com/equa-air-quality-index/>

⁶ Development of the CURED V3A Emissions Model



For emission years prior to 2021, the CURED v3A methodology is likely to give similar results to using the EFT v8.0 data. Post 2021, when the introduction of Euro 6d begins to take effect, then CURED v3A and the EFT v8.0 begin to diverge. By 2030, CURED v3A emissions are approximately equivalent to EFT v8.0 for 2025.

Future Year Assessment Methodology

The selection of emission factors for a future year assessment depends partly on the situation regarding the assessment to be undertaken. Where pollutant concentrations are low and are unlikely to exceed threshold levels, then one may take a conservative approach and keep emission factors at current levels. This will produce a conservative result, but as the result will be 'acceptable' in terms of leading to no exceedances of National Air Quality Strategy Objectives, then it is a reasonable approach to adopt as it avoids uncertainty as to whether there will be exceedances in the future.

In contrast, where pollutant concentrations are high, then a different approach to uncertainty is required. In addition, for a formal Environmental Impact Assessment the legal requirement is to assess 'likely significant effects'. This is not 'worst case' significant effects, but 'likely' significant effects and therefore must allow for a degree of uncertainty in the predictions.

As discussed in Section 2, the use of the verification factor in the modelling takes account, amongst other things, of the difference in the real world emissions performance of vehicles in the fleet. For developments up until 2021, the current EFT should be reasonably accurate as to NO_x emissions as the problem with the performance of diesel vehicles has been recognised. As such, one is justified in using the emission factors for the year of the assessment as the uncertainty in the emission factors is taken account of by using the verification factor.

Developments post 2021 will increasingly be influenced by the assumption that the RDE testing of diesel vehicles is effective, which may or may not turn out to be the case. In essence, the result is likely to lie between the green and red curves of the previous graph. This is likely to become less important as the actual levels of emissions is significantly reduced in the future. If a conservative approach is warranted, one could follow the green curve, the effect of which is outlined in the table below.

Traffic Data year	EFT v8 year
2015	2015
2016	2016
2017	2017

Traffic Data year	EFT v8 year
2015	2015
2018	2018
2019	2019
2020	2020
2021	2021
2022	2021
2023	2022
2024	2022
2025	2023
2026	2023
2027	2024
2028	2024
2029	2025
2030	2025
Beyond 2030	2025

In the case of a large development with a completion year a long time into the future, then if only completion year traffic data is available, it is likely to be appropriate to assume that the completed year traffic data occurs at the opening year of the development. As appropriate, a change in emission year in accordance with the above table may be considered; however, one must be careful not to be too conservative in that scenario.

Appendix F Figures

