

Consultee Comments for Planning Application APP/17/00863

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/17/00863

Address: Southleigh Park House, Eastleigh Road, Havant, PO9 2PE

Proposal: Hybrid Application - Full Application for change of use, alterations to and extension of existing buildings to 20 Residential units, (13 dwellings in the main house and 7 in other Listed Buildings) with associated landscaping parking and amenity space. |cr|Outline Application (All Matters Reserved except means of access) for the demolition of 1983 office building and associated brick and glass corridor link and development of up to 70 residential units, associated landscaping, parking and infrastructure works.

Case Officer: Rachael McMurray

Consultee Details

Name: Mr Neil Adam

Address: Hampshire County Council, Strategic Environment Group, Winchester SO23 8UD

Email: historic.environment@hants.gov.uk

On Behalf Of: County Archaeologist

Comments

Dear Mrs McMurray,

Thank you for your consultation. I would draw your attention to the HERITAGE STATEMENT that is included among the documentation attached to the above application on your website. This Heritage Statement discusses the impact on the proposal on the built heritage and archaeological potential of the site. In the case of archaeology it concludes that:

3.33 There are no designated or non-designated archaeological assets within the application site area. The site does not lie within or in close proximity to an archaeologically important area or area of limited archaeological importance as defined in the local plan. No areas of the proposed development site are considered to be of a significance archaeologically to warrant avoidance by built development because of unacceptable levels of impact on the hitherto unknown and unrecorded resource.

3.34 Most of the application site is occupied by buildings developed since the early 19th century, and prior to that was in agricultural use. All works have undoubtedly truncated ground that may have contained previously undisturbed archaeological sites/deposits. Information presented in this assessment, based on current knowledge on heritage assets in the HER database, indicates that the site has a low potential to contain archaeological remains of no more than local interest and does not hold potential for nationally important remains that require preservation in situ. Further archaeological investigation is unnecessary based on the combined assessment of the sites

archaeological resource and likely potential.

I would concur with these conclusions. The combination of a low level of previous archaeological finds in the immediate area and modern impacts across the site, combined with the small amount of undeveloped land and the relatively small scale of the development itself, means that I would not wish to raise any archaeological issues in this instance.

The proposals will have a material impact upon the Grade II Listed Buildings located within the site. I would however defer to any advice offered in these matters by the Borough Council Conservation Officer.

If you have any further queries regarding this advice, then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Neil J. Adam BA ACIfA
Senior Archaeologist
Hampshire County Council