

64 The Brow
Widley
Waterlooville
PO7 5DA

13.07.2017.

Dr H C Pollard MB.BS.DRCOG and
Mrs J B Barrowcliffe RGN.RSCN.

Your Ref: Application 17/ 00680

Dear Mrs Wooden,

We are writing to you regarding the proposed single story rear extension at no 62 The Brow.

We do not have any objection to the development in principle, but are unhappy about some features. We raised similar objections in 2002 (ref 02/55118/000 and 04/55118/002), which were accepted, regarding restriction of sunlight and natural light to our kitchen. (The new proposal is for an elevated wall right on the boundary line that is raised by a further 1.5m at its highest point – which is the nearest to our kitchen. Currently, the roof of the conservatory is glass and the raised roof is 1m or so from the boundary and slopes away from us. We would also point out that the ground level at no 62, at the boundary, is 75cm higher than our kitchen ground level. This means with the new proposal the actual relevant height to us would be around 4400mm, not 3696mm. From our estimation, and from the site layout picture, we do not believe we will get any significant light through our kitchen window from late morning onwards from autumn through to the spring, and restricted light all year around.

We are happy for planning officers to visit our property to see this potential impact on our kitchen/ diner room.

Further, the plan shows the east wall elevation to be on the boundary line. There are potential legal complications in terms of cladding, fascia, guttering etc. overlapping the boundary and also potential legal problems relating to access being required from our property. In 2014, we were in a legal dispute regarding damage done to our property, after we had allowed access onto our property for repair at no 62. We have no wish for further legal dispute in similar circumstances,

Yours sincerely,

Hugh Pollard and Julie Barrowcliffe.