

Hi Jamie,

I have now got the tree surgeons cutting back on the tree, at the end of the garden, it appears from what they have looked at the application for cutting back there will be very to little cut back. Which is certainly not what we agreed too, I did stress that some of the branches going over my property and my neighbours, which were the point of contention among the rest of what needed to be thinned out needed to be attended too. We have no wish for the main support branch to be interrerrfered with, as we agreed, and happy with the 3 metres which is in the planning agreement. I have spoken to my neighbour at No 15 Pyrford close who is happy for that to be cut as it goes over his garden as well, we assumed that would be in order for that to be trimmed back as other areas of the tree we thought would be cut, as that was one area where our property was getting damaged. It seems now this is not the case, and any felling or cut back will be extremely limited, and probably counter productive.

The tree surgeons have stated they will only comply with the original order, which is fair enough, but that work is going to cost me the £800 which is 800 I can ill afford, more galling is that the tree is not mine or on my property, to find that I am not going to get any respite from falling debris, which would make a mockery of the whole point of the exercise.

Kind regards, Ken Lindsay.