

Consultee	Stat/ Non-Stat/ Public/ Officer/ Landowner	Topic	Summary of Consultee Comment	Applicant Response
National Highways	Statutory consultee	Impact on highways	No objection. Suggest a CTMP condition.	A CTMP is included with the application and has been discussed with Hampshire County County as Local Highways Authority who will propose planning conditions.
Environment Agency	Statutory consultee	Culvert configuration Impact on fisheries	Second response 11/10/24 - No Objection subject to appropriate water environment conditions including detailed configuration of culvert First response 06/09/24 - Insufficient information has been submitted to determine the risks posed to fisheries/physical habitats from the culverting of the Riders Lane stream, specifically the culvert upgrades at Purbrook Way, Dunsbury Way and Middle Park Way.	Second formal response from EA on 11/10/2024 - now raising No Objection to the application subject to conditions relating to the detailed configuration of the culverts, no further response required. Formal response provided to Environment Agency on 17/09/2024 (and uploaded onto application site). Assessment of impact on fisheries is included in the Environmental Statement Revised Chapter 9 - Biodiversity (HTR-ATK-ZZ-ZZ-RP-Z-0109) which states that culvert upgrades will be designed in such a way as to maintain, as far as practically possible, hydrological and ecological connectivity within the system. A design configuration shall be developed that avoids detrimental effects on fish passage through appropriate positioning of the new culvert pipes at a level that maintains suitable depths and velocities to facilitate fish passage through the retained existing structures. A planning condition is sought which requires approval of the culvert configuration and construction methodology as part of the Flood Risk Activities Permit prior to commencement of the culvert upgrades.
County Archaeologist	Statutory consultee	Archaeology	Second response 23/10/24 - Thank you for your consultation regarding the revised written scheme of investigation for archaeological monitoring. I note that the WSI now addresses recording the ridge and furrow earthworks (likely to be a residual landscape element predating the parkland). I am happy to endorse this WSI to you as meeting the archaeological concerns raised. First response - In summary, I agree that there are archaeological implications to the proposal (Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 8 para 8.118) and that some provision for archaeological mitigation is required. I recommend that an archaeological condition be attached to any planning permission which might be issued to secure that archaeological mitigation. I cannot endorse the present WSI as such provision but would endorse the WSI if it contains a more thoughtful provision for managing the impact of the scheme on the earthworks represented by HER 72503 and clarifies that the constraints referred to in para 3.1.1 would not be of a nature that prevented the implementation of the archaeological provisions of the WSI.	Meeting was held with County Archaeologist and the Written Scheme of Investigation was revised and resubmitted to take into account comments.
Historic England	Statutory consultee	Archaeology	We recommend the archaeological methodology should be revised and submitted to the County Archaeologist for approval, before the application is determined.	Meeting was held with County Archaeologist and the Written Scheme of Investigation has been revised and resubmitted to take into account comments.
Havant Borough Council Heritage Officer	Statutory consultee	Heritage	There is no heritage based objection to the proposed works.	No response required.
Ramblers	Statutory consultee	Public Rights of Way	Accept need for the application - detailed comments provided (as per 23/7 meeting) Footpath 34 - Amending to reduce sharp right turn, consider removing southern fence line, to avoid feeling 'boxed 'in, Clarification stoned areas removed post construction and new bench/seat near new bridge to enjoy new views. Other comments re temporary diversions required during construction (Havant 505 and 506b) Facilitate access across works during weekend, and allow routes passed compound areas	A meeting was held with Ramblers in advance of comments being issued. Route will be adjusted in line with comments and discussions. The works have been phased in manner to try and reduce the overall duration of each diversion. <i>Footpath 34 - Permanent Diversion</i> '- The diverted route has been amended to remove the sharp turn. - Fencing has been removed to the south/west of the diverted route. <i>Footpath 506b (north of Stockheath Lane) Temporary Diversion</i> If there is space between the works compound and the vegetation along Hermitage stream then access to this can be maintained. Please note that there will be a short term closure, and associated diversion, of the PRoW (circa 1 months) to the north of the footbridge that crosses the Hermitage stream. This is to facilitate the washout construction. This is noted in the construction traffic management plan. <i>Footpath 506b (south of Corhampton Crescent) Temporary Diversion</i> It is intended to divert this section of the PRoW adjacent to the works compound, where possible. Some short term closure may be required, with alternative diversion route, to facilitate the works. This is noted in the construction traffic management plan. <i>Footpath 505 (east of Dunsbury Way) Temporary Diversion</i> Given the restricted space between the works area and Rider's Lane stream it is unlikely that the PRoW will be able to be maintained, however as noted in the construction traffic management plan every effort will be made to do so where practicable. Access directly from High Lawn Way will not be possible during the works due to the risk it would introduce with the close proximity of turning HGVs.

Consultee	Stat/ Non-Stat/ Public/ Officer/ Landowner	Topic	Summary of Consultee Comment	Applicant Response
Hampshire County Council - Local Education Authority - Impact on school	Statutory consultee	Schools	Council needs to ensure that its buildings and property are not adversely affected by the works. It therefore expects Portsmouth Water to undertake a detailed condition survey of each of its buildings and associated land potentially impacted by the proposed works. Building sites present a significant hazard and they can pose an increased risk where they are close to children who can be curious and unaware of the risks that they pose. Hazards arising from dust, noise and traffic can impact children's health and learning environment. It is essential that measures are put in place that understand the vulnerability of children attending the impacted schools and that proper and suitable mitigation measures are appropriately implemented to minimise the impact of the works on the schools, its staff and children. Impact of construction traffic on safety during school start and finishing times	Following further engagement with St Thomas More's School we have re-aligned the pipeline which runs around the playing fields at Hooks Farm Way so it is further away from the School and will install the pipeline here during the school holidays/rugby off season. A Technical Note has been submitted to the case officer (16/09/2024) to describe this change and also to respond to the post-submission consultation responses. To summarise, the following commitments have been incorporated into the Scheme to help mitigate and compensate for the disruption during construction: - The more intrusive P2 open cut works have been moved to the off season (which corresponds to the school summer holidays). The area will be reinstated before the subsequent playing season and available for training use. - Noise levels and monitoring will be agreed prior to the works commencing. These levels will be set in the project's noise and vibration monitoring plan, monitored, and adhered to during the works. - Noise barriers (both fixed to the hoarding and mobile around the works) will be utilised during construction near St. Thomas Moore's School and Bidbury School, to eliminate the line-of-site between noise source and schools where possible. - Ward & Burke will be employing best practice dust suppression techniques for the works and will periodically review and improve dust suppression techniques as required. - It is not anticipated that the works will generate any damage to the school buildings or surrounding facilities due to the shallow nature of the open cut excavations. Notwithstanding this, a visual pre and post condition survey of any potentially affected buildings will be conducted to confirm this. - The proposed haul road around the playing fields means that construction traffic will not need to use of Fraser Road or pass adjacent to Bidbury School. The CTMP and delivery instructions will not route traffic via Fraser Road to access the site and will avoid school pick up and drop off times where possible.
Hampshire Fire & Rescue	Statutory consultee	Fire Safety	Comments in relation to Building Regulations	None required
Hampshire County Council - Countryside Services	Statutory consultee	Public Rights of Way	No objection - The principle of the permanent PRoW diversion is acceptable. The proposed route does not inconvenience the public user of FP34 and has the potential to improve the experience and amenity of its use. Details on the standards to be followed in the design of the proposed diversion are provided. A Commuted Sum is sought for the ongoing public maintenance of the bridge, along with that required for the surface of the diversion route as a whole. This will need to be secured via a S106 legal. HCC seek permissive cycling rights along the route of Footpath 34 for the full extent of that length in Portsmouth Water ownership land ownership	Comments from Hampshire Countryside Services and Highways addressed within revised drawing for this area.
Havant Borough Council Landscape Officer	Statutory consultee	LVIA and trees	Second response - 11/10/24 - Landscape Officer has raised No Objection to the amended landscape reinstatement plans. First response - We require all above ground pipe and infrastructure to be screened from public viewpoints by vegetation such as hedgerow and trees. This is to ensure that the visual impact of the proposals are mitigated. - the proposed tree planting at Hooks Lane Open space should be located the boundary of the site to retain the Open character. Furthermore this must be done in conjunction with HBC property team as this additional planting can compliment HBCs proposals for defending the site from unauthorised vehicular access. - We have concerns with the siting of proposed trees within close proximity to existing footpaths, planting must either be off set to mitigate future root heave and/or utilising root barriers.	We are committed to ensuring that all above-ground pipes and infrastructure are effectively concealed from public view. This will be achieved through the strategic use of hedgerows, scrub planting, and trees, which will be thoughtfully chosen to harmonise with the surrounding environment, enhance biodiversity, and reduce visual impact. However, it may not always be feasible to completely screen every area due to certain site limitations, such as the presence of underground utilities, operational needs and maintenance requirements that prevent planting. In reference to the tree planting at Hooks Lane Open Space, we concur that strategically placing the trees along the periphery will maintain the area's open feel. The drawing illustrates indicative planting sites; however, we have updated this drawing to show planting outside of the pitches, the definitive positions will be determined in collaboration with HBC. Consequently, we will liaise with the HBC property team to align our planting efforts with their plans for safeguarding the site against unauthorised vehicle entry, ensuring that the open nature of Hooks Lane is upheld. Your concerns regarding root heave and its impact on nearby footpaths have been duly noted. In response, we are considering two approaches: firstly, the strategic placement of new trees at a sufficient distance from existing paths to mitigate this issue, and secondly, the installation of root barriers where appropriate to safeguard the pathways from potential damage. It is important to mention, however, that the proximity of underground utilities may restrict our ability to reposition trees in certain areas. Should this be the case, we will either find an alternative location for the tree or proceed with the installation of root barriers to ensure the integrity of the footpaths is maintained.
Local Lead Flood Authority	Statutory consultee	Drainage	No Objection - Majority of this application is in relation to below ground pipeline features which would not have an impact on surface water flood risk. There are various permanent above ground features and it is stated that Drainage Management Plans will be prepared for these detailing the drainage to be provided to ensure there is no increase in surface water runoff. As such, provided a suitable condition is included to ensure provision of this document for the relevant permanent features, we would have no objection to this application.	No response required. Drainage Management Plans will be a condition of any planning permission.
Portsmouth Water	Statutory consultee	Water catchment	No Objection - The construction of a new shaft and pipeline within the vicinity of Bedhampton Springs presents a risk to water quality, water resources and biodiversity. The Catchment & Environment Team have been working alongside the Havant Thicket Team and Ward & Burke reviewing, commenting and influencing the design and construction of the proposed scheme, to mitigate against environmental impacts. These discussions have led to improvements to the initial proposals and designs, and we will provide continuous dialogue to make further improvements where applicable.	No response required. Any permission will condition the requirement for Drainage Management Plan and Pollution Management and Mitigation Plan per phase.
Natural England	Statutory consultee	Environment	No Objection - Based on the plans submitted, Natural England considers that the proposed development will not have significant adverse impacts on statutorily protected nature conservation sites or landscapes.	No response required

Consultee	Stat/ Non-Stat/ Public/ Officer/ Landowner	Topic	Summary of Consultee Comment	Applicant Response
Havant Borough Council Ecology Officer	Statutory consultee	Environment	Overall, the application is of good quality, with surveys methods and results well described and value assessments justified. I acknowledge the scale of the scheme and that some degree of uncertainty is inevitable. The commitment to habitat reinstatement for temporary works areas is welcome and will require long-term monitoring and management. Impacts to various protected and notable species can be avoided and or mitigated with appropriate care, and post-development habitat compensation can serve to maintain and enhance biodiverse habitats. The provision of BNG is an opportunity to deliver a tangible gain across the scheme area. Badgers - Further information is required at this stage, if only in outline detail, to assist the LPA in understanding if impacts to Badgers are considered likely and, if so, what measures will be taken to address those impacts. Bats - The results of bats surveys, and any mitigating measures, will be required at the earliest opportunity. Clarification is requested on how this uncertainty over bat roost status meets the requirement for sufficient information for a full planning application submission. Hazel Dormouse - The 2024 update report mentions a draft EPSM licence document for Hazel Dormice: this is not provided and I would like to review it please. Otter and water vole - proposed update surveys prior to commencement are appropriate. Birds - Proposed avoidance and mitigation measures – timing of works to avoid main nesting season, plus supervised works as required – are standard and appropriate. Reptiles and Amphibians - I am content with the approach. Mitigation measures entail the use of vegetation clearance combined with hand-searching. Invasive Species - I am content with the submitted details. Other species - I am content that an appropriate level of survey and assessment has been carried out for various species/groups additional to those above.	<i>Badgers</i> The Badger Survey and Assessment was included in the application (Appendix A9.16 Pipeline Ecological Update and Appendix A9.17 Pipeline Ecological Management and Monitoring Plan and confidential Figure 9.11). We found three disused setts in Staunton Country Park outside of our RLB. The ES Chapter 9 (Biodiversity) considers impacts and states that construction works would result in a minor temporary loss of suitable Badger foraging habitat. Whilst unlikely, it is possible that a badger sett may become active along the new pipeline route prior to construction commencing. Badgers may also become trapped in excavations if left uncovered overnight. No setts have been found within the works areas, therefore it is not envisaged that a badger licence will be required. Chapter 9 states that monitoring of the three partially-used setts identified (outside of works area) prior to construction commencing in this section will enable an assessment of the status of these setts to be made and to determine whether any mitigation is required with regard to disturbance. There is no mitigation proposed currently as these setts are some distance from the works area and surrounded by extensive foraging, commuting and sett building habitat. If badger setts could be affected by the Scheme are found to be present then further ecological advice will be provided. Measures will be put in place to ensure badgers do not become trapped in steep sided excavations. The REAC includes precautionary pre-clearance surveys to determine whether any mitigation or licensing is required for badgers. Vegetation clearance will follow Precautionary Methods of Working. The ECoW will monitor all activities. <i>Bat and Dormouse</i> Bat and Dormouse Surveys have been undertaken and reports and draft licences submitted to the Ecologist 13/01/25.
Hampshire County Council Public Rights of Way	Statutory consultee	Public Rights of Way	No Objection - subject to the following obligations and conditions to make the proposed development acceptable. Without the following obligations and conditions, the Highway Authority, in regards to the PROW network, objects to the application, on the ground of unacceptable adverse impact to Footpath Havant 34 of the PROW network. We will seek commuted sums to cover the cost to the public to maintain the route. This will include a commuted sum for maintaining the proposed new bridge as well as the surface of the PROW.	No response required
Sport England	Statutory consultee	Impact on Rugby Pitches	Second response 18/12/2024 - No Objection subject to conditions and financial contributions in a S106 legal agreement: 1. An updated agronomist report which needs to take into account a scheme of works for the reinstatement of playing field. 2.Planning conditions/s106 which secures the timely reinstatement of playing field following completion of works. We would ask that this is a pre commencement condition prior to any works commencing on the playing field. 3. Compensation/purchasing additional slots on the rugby AGP, again, we would be open to a S106/condition which secures this prior to commencement of works on the playing field/pitches. First response - Objection until the following can be addressed: 1. How the club are going to continue to maintain their existing level of activity given the impact on Pitch 4 and the training area known as the Dingley Dell? It is not appropriate to displace usage onto remaining pitches if these are already overplayed and usage is above the carrying capacity of the natural turf pitches. In this case additional slots will need to be acquired on the rugby compliant AGP. 2. Confirmation that the run/off areas around the pitches will not be compromised by manholes and air vents, i.e. permanent infrastructure associated with the pipeline scheme. 3. We do not support the proposed translocation and/or new tree planting on the principal playing field area as this encroaches onto usable playing field.	<i>Comments on second response 18/12/24:</i> 1. An updated agronomist report will be submitted before determination, to address this comment. 2. Noted - no further response required 3. Noted - PW are currently in discussions with HBC Estates & Sport England to ensure appropriate mitigation package in the legal agreement. <i>Comments on first response:</i> A Technical Note was submitted to the case officer (16/09) which clarifies the alignment in this area and sets out the consultation comments and our responses and commitments. To summarise the following measures have been incorporated: - The Haul road has been moved to the western boundary of the playing fields and its width reduced to a minimum. - The more intrusive P2 open cut works have been moved to the off season (which corresponds to the school summer holidays). The area will be reinstated before the subsequent playing season and available for training use. - An agreement has been reached with HBC to reinstate pitch 4 as a 60m x 35m pitch. This corresponds to the maximum size for U10 training and matches. It will also be reinstated with goal posts (which are currently not installed) to allow training use by other age grades. This will be reinstated (by HBC) before the works commence. - Support will be offered to HRFC to cover proportionate associated expenses incurred as a result of the works (such as additional bookings of the AFG astro-turf pitch, or evidenced lost earnings) during the period. - Collaboration with HBC is ongoing to locate alternative local playing areas if deemed required. - 5m runoff areas will be maintained around all pitches during the playing season, with the exception of a section past the dead-ball line of pitch 3. If, following risk assessment, this reduced distance is found to present a high risk of injury to players. Pitch 3 will be moved 2m to the west to provide a 5m runoff past the dead ball line. The air valve to the north west of pitch 3 is also outside the 5m run-off area. - The tree planting shown on the revised reinstatement drawings no longer encroaches on the playing pitches, the exact location will be agreed with the landowner (HBC). The intention is that this planting will complement their overall plans for enhancing the security of the site.

Consultee	Stat/ Non-Stat/ Public/ Officer/ Landowner	Topic	Summary of Consultee Comment	Applicant Response
St Thomas More's Catholic School	Landowner	Impact on School	Correspondence on 28/08/2024 confirms that St. Thomas Moore are happy with the revised scheme and commitments. First response: ‘- Construction work is likely to cause disturbance to lessons. This will particularly impact the Nursery/Pre-School children who spend a considerable amount of time undertaking learning opportunities outside. What is the predicted noise level at the position of the nursery outside learning area? The infant end of the school which is to the east of the school site will similarly be affected. In the summer term, teachers take every opportunity to use the school grounds, including the field for learning opportunities. - You state that the school would only be within 25 metres of the works for approximately ten days. Can this work therefore be definitely programmed and guaranteed to take place during the school summer holidays? - The school strongly supports your proposal to install acoustic barriers along the entire length of the school's boundary with your construction site. The school asks that these should be of sufficient height to ensure construction machinery sits below the height of the barriers to maximise noise attenuation. The school also seeks your confirmation that in addition, any noisy construction machinery is also protected by noise barriers on wheels which move as the machinery progress along the trench. - Would you please briefly explain why open-cut is "preferable from an engineering perspective here". Your offer to engage with the school to further discuss and agree mitigation measures should open-cut trench construction be approved is welcomed. - The school very much welcomes your agreement to review the project plan with the potential aim of completing the construction works next to the school over the summer holidays.	A Technical Note was submitted to the case officer (16/09) which clarifies the alignment in this area and sets out the consultation comments and our responses and commitments. Revised drawings for this section have also been submitted. The following commitments have been incorporated into the Scheme to help mitigate and compensate for the disruption during construction: ‘- The more intrusive P2 open cut works have been moved to the off season (which corresponds to the school summer holidays). The area will be reinstated before the subsequent playing season and available for training use. - Noise levels and monitoring will be agreed prior to the works commencing. These levels will be set in the project’s noise and vibration monitoring plan, monitored, and adhered to during the works. - Noise barriers (both fixed to the hoarding and mobile around the works) will be utilised during construction near St. Thomas Moore's School and Bidbury School, to eliminate the line-of-site between noise source and schools where possible. Vehicle movement along the haul road is not expected to cause any significant noise effect at the school - Ward & Burke will be employing best practice dust suppression techniques for the works and will periodically review and improve dust suppression techniques as required. - It is not anticipated that the works will generate any damage to the school buildings or surrounding facilities due to the shallow nature of the open cut excavations. Notwithstanding this, a visual pre and post condition survey of any potentially affected buildings will be conducted to confirm this. - The proposed haul road around the playing fields means that construction traffic will not need to use of Fraser Road or pass adjacent to Bidbury School. The CTMP and delivery instructions will not route traffic via Fraser Road to access the site and will avoid school pick up and drop off times where possible. In engineering terms, open-cut is the most cost-effective way to install pipework in open land, i.e. areas without buried services, rivers, or road crossings. It also allows this section of pipeline to be installed at a time to most effectively mitigate impacts on the community, such as the school.
Havant Rugby Club (HRC)	Landowner (leaseholder)	Impact on Rugby club	Further response to technical note: 1. Remain concerned about contractors using the wider area, outside the red line boundary. 2. Good to Note that PW have agreed to cover additional booking hours for the RFU Artificial Grass Pitch (AGP) Acknowledge engagement from Portsmouth Water on the proposal, however have following comments: 1. Plans should align with previous agreed revisions, red line boundary still goes across middle of the pitch. 2. Need to shift sessions to AGP, which incurs extra costs, PW should pay for these extra costs, as otherwise will impact on viability of the Rugby Club. 3. Timings of works to comply with agreement with PW to ensure works are not undertaken during Rugby season. 4. Landscaping - needs to be maintained appropriately, this should be controlled through a planning condition. 5. Traffic - note that Fraser Road not being used - Traffic Management plans must clearly state that this route should not be used. 6. SW water recycling - note this application excludes SW water recycling, however if SW approved then no need for further disruption, this needs to be enforced.	<i>Comments on second response:</i> 1. The red line boundary is limit of area assessed as part of planning application. The site layouts show the intended locations for the works, which do not impact on the pitches, as discussed and agreed with the landowner, Havant Borough Council (HBC). 2. Discussions are ongoing between HBC and Sport England to agree an appropriate mitigation package. <i>Comments on first response:</i> A Technical Note was submitted to the case officer (16/09) which clarifies the alignment in this area and sets out the consultation comments and our responses and commitments: 1. The red line boundary is limit of area assessed as part of planning application. The site layouts show the intended locations for the works, which do not impact on the pitches, as discussed and agreed with the landowner, Havant Borough Council (HBC). 2. Evidence of pitch bookings has been provided by HBC to the project team, these show no recorded bookings of Pitch 4 and the area known as “Dingley Dell” (where the Shaft W2 site compound will be located). Notwithstanding this, consultations with HRC have identified that these areas are used informally for training and therefore an impact is expected. To mitigate against this, the following measures have been incorporated: ‘- Support will be offered to the club to HRC to cover justifiable proportionate associated expenses incurred as a result of the works (such as additional bookings of the AFG astro-turf pitch or evidenced lost earnings) during the period. - Collaboration with HBC is ongoing to locate alternative local playing areas if deemed required. Currently a “pitch strategy” for the HBC area is being developed by the council and will be shared with the project team. 3. Timing of the works has been scheduled to reduce the impact of HFC. Works will be undertaken during the off season. 4. The Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments stipulates there will be a five-year aftercare period for all soft landscape is to be included within the construction contract to ensure successful establishment. 5. The proposed haul road around the playing fields means that construction traffic will not need to use of Fraser Road or pass adjacent to Bidbury School. The CTMP and delivery instructions will not route traffic via Fraser Road to access the site. 6. If the Portsmouth Water pipeline planning application is approved, then Southern Water would not need to build a separate pipeline to serve their water recycling scheme and there would be no further disruption at the playing fields. Use of the pipeline by Southern Water will be subject to a separate permission known as a Development Consent Order.

Consultee	Stat/ Non-Stat/ Public/ Officer/ Landowner	Topic	Summary of Consultee Comment	Applicant Response
Environmental Health	Statutory consultee	Noise & Vibration	Second response - No Objection subject to revised conditions: Installation of noise insulation or the reasonable costs thereof, or a scheme to facilitate temporary rehousing of occupants, as appropriate, will be implemented by the developer where applied for by owners/occupiers where noise levels exceed agreed thresholds. Community liaison and communication, including complaints procedure to be implemented. Piling - Where possible the least intrusive noise and vibration method of piling shall be employed at the site. Acoustic shrouds shall be used to minimise the impact. No piling works shall commence until 0900 hours. Generator noise - Wherever possible mains electricity or battery powered generators shall be used instead of diesel or petrol powered generators. Hours of work and noise levels - These shall be agreed under the section 61 Control of Pollution Act 1974 consent. We wish to remove our previous comments regarding hours and days of work. Site lighting - In order to avoid impacts on nearby residential receptors site lighting shall be at the minimum luminosity necessary. Emergency Drawdown Discharge Structure: Further to my previous comments, clarification has been provided with respect to the potential noise impacts. We are now satisfied that noise from this permanent structure will not have an adverse impact on nearby residential receptors. First response No Objection - subject to conditions	Noise and Vibration Management Plan submitted to LPA 15/10/2024, EHO raised no objection subject to revised conditions, no further response required. First EHO comments were written prior to meeting with W&B. W&B requesting a further meeting to confirm level of detail, whether EHO has looked at the contractor Noise and Vibration Management Plan and agree what could be conditioned or addressed now. The only operational noise from the emergency drawdown discharge would be the modulation of the actuated valves during the six monthly checks.
Conservation Officer	Statutory consultee	Heritage	No Objection - Impact to Leigh Park RPG and Sir George Staunton CA, would be setting impacts from the temporary construction of a compound on Middle Park Way however, this impact on the significance of the heritage assets is considered to be negligible. The pipeline will also be installed close to the Golden Lion (Grade II) on Bedhampton Road and the Bedhampton Arts Centre (Grade II) however, given the pipeline works are below ground, this will not result in harm to these designated heritage assets, nor their settings. There may be some impact from noise disturbance however, these would be temporary and transient and result in limited to changes to the ability to understand the significance of the Old Bedhampton Conservation Area. The pipeline will affect the Bedhampton Pumping Station which is a NDHA, however the pipeline will run into 'Building Two' which itself, is not a building of local historic interest.	None required
Arboriculture	Statutory consultee	Trees	Second response 22/01/25 - No Objection First response - further information requested - Comments raised issue with the removal of the trees below: •T069 (CP) - a 1050dm oak •T185 - a 850dm yew Could you look at potential retention or provide commentary on the need to remove and or replacement.	No further response required. Clarifications and justification submitted to the LPA to address Tree Officer comments. T069 (CP) is being retained as show on plans (error in report amended), T185 can not be retained due to connections to underground utilities. 22/01/25 Tree officer confirmed he was content with approach subject to the amended docs.
Highway Authority	Statutory consultee	Highways	Second response 23/12 - detailed comments on construction arrangements per compound and culvert to be addressed. First response 04/10 - Seeking further information: 1. The construction method changes results in a number of significant sized compounds and the presentation of the data replicating the trenched scheme approach is not agreed. Generally, the data supporting the assumptions is spread across the ES work and this makes consenting of agreed parameters difficult. A distinct suite of documents should be set out for each compound works area setting out all matters such as the design, reinstatement, legal requirements, vehicle routing, CTMP management requirements, duration of works, staff numbers, parking requirements, PROW implications, pedestrian and cyclist diversions, road closures etc so that that compound design and transport implications can be appropriately considered for each area. These should all accord back to the general and agreed principles set out within the consented Construction Worker Travel Plan and fCTMP for the outline consent. 2. Culverts - need to understand the impacts of the proposed upgrades with regard to impacts during Emergency Discharge, and mitigating impact of additional use. Clarification is also sought about the right of the reservoir to use a highway asset. 3. Updates required to Construction Method Statement (CMS), Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) and Construction Worker Travel Plan (CWTP), to ensure alignment with overarching documents.	<i>Second response</i> - Information and updated drawings provided to address further comments (10th and 17th Jan). Note provided on HCC powers to discharge emergency discharge flows into Riders Lane Stream and upgrade culverts. <i>First response</i> - Further information and updated drawings provided to address comments: 1. EIA and general application - A separate suite of documents including Work Area Summaries and a supplementary note to the TA, together with relevant updates to existing drawings were submitted to provide further information on construction arrangements 2. Culverts - Further information has been provided to address the comments that have been made, which demonstrate the impact of the emergency discharge, proposed mitigation measures. Furthermore justification has been provided regarding the rights to use the highway culverts. 3. Updates to CMS, CTMP and CWTP have been submitted to the Highway Authority for consideration. Awaiting response to updated information.
South Downs National Park	Non-Statutory	Landscape	The SDNP has no comment to make on the scheme.	None required