

Thank you for your email and please find amended report that addresses items 4 & 7 of Hampshire County Council tree comments.

I note the following in response to other comments.

3. *It can be seen from Google Streetview (Aug 23) that there are trees on the site not shown on the tree survey plan. If these are still present, they should be shown on the tree survey plans, but these may have been removed prior to submission. All the trees within influence of the development proposal (with a stem $\varnothing$ greater than 75mm) are recorded at the survey schedule (appendix 1) and shown on the tree constraints plan (appendix 4). Vegetation beyond influence or too small to be recorded was also noted at section 8.1. The *Magnolia* and *Sorbus* visible on Google Streetview (Aug 23) were not there when I recorded the trees.*
5. *There is no reason given, or obvious, for the work recommended to oak tree T3, and the specification is ambiguous. Comments on the English oak (T3) were an observation rather than a recommendation and refer to future growth potential and not something that needs addressing as part of the application and development process.*
6. *The submitted Tree Protection Plan has not included dimensions. I understand positions can be set out using survey equipment and co-ordinates, but drawing dimensions are required for checking/enforcing the agreed positions on site. On receipt of conditional planning consent I will prepare a document (similar to the redacted one attached) and provide copies for the LPA, developer, groundworkers etc. and refer to it when marking tree protection prior to site work commencing.*