

## PLANNING APPLICATION 24/00191

Dear Mr Toole

I am writing to confirm my continued strong objections to APP/24/00191 for the following reasons:

1. The approach taken to this development proposal with such a significant number of changes, amendments and application withdrawals made during the consultation period is highly unprofessional and frustrating for all involved. This approach provides no confidence that the associated building work will be completed professionally. The random but frequent dumping of building materials and bonfire preparations on the plot of land subject to APP/24/00190 only adds to my concerns along this theme of unprofessional development.
2. The plan to demolish the existing double garage and introduce a new double garage as part of the extension adjacent to Number 33 goes against all principles of sustainable development activity that should be uppermost in our minds.
3. Reducing the space between number 33 and the developed number 34 is highly undesirable and unreasonable when alternatives, such as extending above the existing double garage, are readily available and, probably, more acceptable.
4. Parking arrangements, access to and egress from the developed property continue to be major concerns to me and to my neighbours, as witnessed by so many objections to the application.
5. The proposal to demolish the existing garage raises concerns about the future intention of the developer concerning this area. We have already seen APP/24/00190 being withdrawn after very many objections being raised, not only by neighbours but also by Hampshire County Council consultants. Why was the application withdrawn and not considered/refused by Havant Borough Council within the nominated time-frame? I would like to be assured that any subsequent proposals regarding this 'builders yard' area will not be considered for future 'new dwelling' development proposals.

In summary, I object most strongly to APP/24/00191 and hope that it will receive due consideration from Havant Borough Council and its officers and be refused.

Best regards

[Redacted text]