

Subject: APP/19/00007 - Camp Field (land to the west of Havant Crem) - Conditions Discharge (8,22,30)

Good Morning David,

Gemma has already recommended discharge of the environmental aspects of the CMP/CEMP, so I've not reviewed the submissions against c.26 or 28. I have reviewed the submissions against condition 8, 22 & 30. I note the LLFA's objection to discharge of c.8 – I have not identified any specific pollution control concerns, but the LLFA requires further detail before it can comment fully, and these requirements include a means to understand how the system will function, and be maintained. I would assume that it is appropriate to comment authoritatively on the adequacy of pollution control measures when there is clarity on these details.

I would echo PPUD's comments in respect of c.22. No objections arise, from an air quality perspective, the important factor is that a charge point is to be provided.

As regards c.30, I would broadly support Gemma's comments, save that I would highlight that the PMS does provide an indicative programme in broad terms (being approx. 7 days). I do not have any water resources protection concerns with the piling proposed (largely, given the selected method, the lack of likely sources of ground contamination at the site, and the underlying geology). To a great extent, the precise positioning of the piles, and which units benefit from the piling (and how support for the remaining units is to be constructed), are largely immaterial for the core purpose of the condition (on the assumption that the support requirements for most units may be serviced without requiring any other form of piling – e.g. driven). However, given the condition wording, and the need to seek the required details of vibration & noise emissions associated with the piling operation (and their mitigation); for completeness, foundation design details should ideally be sought in order to confirm that the unstated foundation design does indeed correspond to the anticipated conventional methods which carry negligible risk to water resources.

Best Regards,

Jon

Jonathan Driver
Environmental Control Officer