

Comments for Planning Application APP/22/00866

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/22/00866

Address: 102 London Road, Widley, Waterloooville, PO7 5AB

Proposal: Two storey front extension, single storey rear extension, raising of roof height to accommodate loft extension with dormer to rear

Case Officer: Joseph Toole

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Complainant

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:LACK of INFORMATION:

1) The plans do not show the proposed elevation in relation to the adjoining properties. This does not give us the opportunity to comment on the mass and scale relative to the surrounding properties.

MASSING and SCALE:

2) Proposal is to change the existing chalet bungalow to, effectively, a three story building with front and rear extensions. This is an extraordinary increase in size/massing on a constrained plot, and I believe this is an overdevelopment of the property.

FRONT ELEVATION:

3) The style of the proposed building does not match, or compliment, the style of the adjoining properties. It therefore puts it out of character with the other houses. The roof pitches of the surrounding properties are perpendicular to the roadway. The proposal for No.102 will have a roof pitch at 90 degrees to the other roofs, thus destroying the continuity and character of the street scene.

4) The front two storey extension goes 1.2 metres beyond the existing building line, thus impacting the continuity of the street scene.

5) The dominating front extension sits on the boundary of no. 102, which is abutting our property and will be overbearing and intrusive.

6) The front extension will restrict light into the ground floor study.

SIDE ELEVATION:

7) There will be loss of light to the bedroom 4 and study room on the first floor, as well as the side window on the ground floor kitchen.

REAR ELEVATION:

8) The single storey rear extension is higher and longer than the existing conservatory. The side wall that would abut our garden appears, on the plans, to be 2.8 metres high, well above the existing conservatory. We consider this intrusive and overbearing.

9) There is a significant loss of privacy at the rear of our property, exacerbated by the higher ground level of our garden. The proposal shows three windows on the first floor, as well as three on the top (second) floor. There are currently no windows on the existing property overlooking our garden.