

Dear Mr. Toole,
[Redacted text]

Regarding proposed development to No. 10 Nile Street APP/21/01383.

We have several comments on the above proposals. Looking at Havant Council website you recommend we make comment on the following: Design; Appearance and Layout, and Effect on Daylight; Privacy and Outlook. Proposed changes to buildings in a Conservation Area (Article 4).

Design and Appearance:

Having looked at the plans we note the proposed extension of the roof line to the front and sides, accommodating the third floor extension. We are concerned about the appearance twofold.

1. The use of Zinc Standing Seam Cladding to the roof rather than a conventional hung roof tile. This will be totally out of keeping with the rest of the roof structures on the majority of houses in Nile Street. We also note the addition of three windows to be added to this third floor extension to the front of the property.

We feel all the above changes will radically alter the appearance of the original building, which has stood in this Conservation Area for many years. We believe this contravenes (Article 4) of dramatic changes to a building in a Conservation Area.

Affect on Daylight, Privacy and Outlook

We believe our sea view outlook will not be effected but unfortunately the proposed development will affect our daylight and privacy in a considerable way.

Privacy of our Living Area and Bedroom

As we spend much of our time in these rooms we are concerned about the addition of three windows on the proposed third floor overlooking diagonally into our living spaces, as most of our rooms have floor to ceiling glass.

When our building was developed the builder had to compensate other peoples privacy by blacking out windows in our bedroom and frosted glass to the kitchen on the 1st floor at the rear.

Daylight

The rear of our house is north facing and we rely on essential southern light at the front. This valuable southern light would be cut by 40% in some areas of our living space and bedroom, as we have little light source from the rear of our property.

We feel deeply about retaining the aesthetics of this pretty village and keeping each property with its own unique charm, which No. 10 already possesses.

We note there is not an artists impression, from the architect, of the proposed changes and materials to be used. We are the ones who will have to look at it not the owner of No 10, therefore a visual impression would have been useful.

We hope you will consider these points of concern as we are not the only ones in the street with reservations about the proposed, radical changes to this property.