
Site Address: 7 Goldring Close, Hayling Island, PO11 9PN
Proposal: 1No Monterey pine (T1 on sketch plan) remove 1No dead limb on North side and 5No small limbs on North East side (as shown on photos). Tree subject to TPO 1916.
Application Type: Works to TPO Tree
Application No: APP/22/00163 Expiry Date: 10/05/2022
Applicant: Mr Chapman
Agent: Ms H Baldwin Case Officer: Tom Gregory
Bailey Bros (Southern) Ltd
Ward: Hayling East

Recommendation: **REFUSE TPO CONSENT**

1 Site Description

7 Goldring Close, Hayling Island, PO11 9PN

2 Planning History

01/54049/002 - Crown raise a Monterey Pine tree (T5) within the rear garden of 7 Goldring Close subject to TPO 916., PERM,06/08/2001

92/54049/001 - Removal of 2

No. small limbs from truncated main lateral of Monterey Pine covered by Tree Preservation Order 916., ZHIS,16/09/1992

GEN/19/00793 - Reduce length of overhanging branch on 1No. Pine tree., 25/09/2019

GEN/21/00123 - Is planning permission required to update the heating, removing the warm air and replace with a new Combi boiler with radiators, replace windows with new double glazed windows, replacing kitchen, replacing bathroom and adding a toilet. Are there any restrictions on having a log fire.

, INV,

GEN/21/00869 - Removal of one dead limb from Pine tree under DDD., UNK,10/08/2021

GEN/21/00979 - Dead and dangerous exemption to remove dead limb 1No Pine., UNK,

3 Proposal

1No Monterey pine (T1 on sketch plan) remove 1No dead limb on North side and 5No small limbs on North East side (as shown on photos). Tree subject to TPO 1916.

4 Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) March 2011

DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.

Conservation Area: Not applicable.

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Councillor Leah Turner - Hayling East

Councillor M Wilson - Hayling East

Councillor R Raines - Hayling East

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 5

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

Comment	Officer Comment

7 Planning Considerations

7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

- Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

7.2 My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than

generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

- 7.3 The tree in question is highly visible from the public domain and makes a positive contribution to local amenity. The reason provided for the work relates to light but insufficient evidence has been provided to justify a pruning of this significance. The proposed work will have a negative impact on its health due to the creation of unnecessary pruning wounds and loss of photosynthetic area. It will also have a negative effect on the contribution it currently makes to the amenity of the local area, the dead limb on the Northern side may be removed without a further application.

8 **Conclusion**

Refuse

9 **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Head of Neighbourhood Support be authorised to **REFUSE TPO CONSENT** for application APP/22/00163

(A)

(B) for the following reasons/subject to the following conditions

- 1 The tree is a significant feature in the local landscape and appears to be in adequately sound and healthy condition. The tree surgery proposed in the deposited application would result in excessive reduction of the tree's landscape impact and undue detriment to the visual amenities of the locality. [A more appropriate course of action would be through management of the tree's size and impact through a scheme of approved surgery.] It is therefore contrary to policy DM8 of the Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework.