

9th August 2021

Adrian Ellis
Case Officer
Planning Services
Public Services Plaza
Civic Road
Havant
PO9 2AX

Dear Mr Ellis

Planning Application APP/21/00647: 65 Dwellings, Langstone

I am writing to inform you of my fervent disapproval regarding the application to build new homes on the land south of the Mallards, known as Southmere Field. The reasons for opposing the above planning application are as follows:

- a. **Southmere:** The site of this planning application is not listed as a development site in the Local Plan Housing Statement. There is absolutely no need whatsoever to change an earlier decision and HBC should be made to stand by that decision.
- b. **Access to the A3023:** Existing policy states that additional access points, or an intensification of use of existing accesses, will not be permitted, unless they form part of a proposal to deliver a development site allocated in the Plan. This development is not in the Plan and it appears that Persimmon intends to avoid the policy by planning access to their development via an existing access road to the Mallards, a road that a few meters further on joins the A3023.
- c. **Important animal food chain:** The planning application states that the site is used for animal grazing. This is definitely not the case, instead it is mown to provide valuable animal feed. Also, the field is a haven for 58 species of vascular plants, thus the feed is of extremely high quality. This food is transported to a neighbouring dairy farm at Warblington to replace grazing lost by the farm as a result of an earlier development. The consequence of losing access to animal food for a second time should not be allowed to happen.
- d. **Valuable habitat:** Southmere is an important habitat for a number of rare and threatened species including curlews (British Trust for Ornithology - red listed); water voles (known to inhabit the stream which runs along the western border of the site); and barn owls, of which a pair has been breeding on the site and has regularly been seen hunting on the site. This site also creates a valuable habitat for bees and other wildlife.
- e. **Carbon capture:** The Council should not forget that wildlife meadows are important contributors to carbon capture. Because of its high proportion of leguminous plants and its soil ecosystem, Southmere is capable of absorbing carbon and must be

considered a carbon sink. For this reason alone building on this site should never be allowed.

- f. **Utilising the site:** The area of the land is stated as 2.9 hectares which would attract a planning density of 40 houses per hectare. Why is the Developer asking only a total of 65 houses with a plan which appears to concentrate them on the northern half of the site? Is this to make further development applications easier and to provide a short term solution to meeting Conservation Area requirements? This should be investigated and made public.
- g. **Flooding:** Government legislation to prevent planning permission where there is a risk of flooding does not appear to have been considered fully. It is inaccurate for Persimmon to imply the field doesn't flood which is known to flood two to three times a year. Flooding of Southmere requires to be re-examined.
- h. **Legal Covenant:** It is a well-known fact that a covenant covering the site remains extant, having been signed by HBC in March 1980. The covenant states that "*no house or dwelling unit shall be built on the land and the land shall not be developed to provide any residential accommodation of any sort.*" Does the Council now conclude the covenant is old and has no residual power? Legal advice from two sources suggests this is inaccurate and the covenant remains legally binding.

Yours Sincerely,

