

No Dig' Surfacing

Trees can be affected by construction within the RPAs either through the direct damage caused by the removal of roots, compaction of the rooting environment or secondary damage such as poisoning through leaks and spills (oils, fuels, etc.) or through de-icing (road salt, etc.).

Proposed hard surfacing within the RPAs of retained trees is to be designed so that it can be situated above the existing soil level and to minimise any adverse impact upon the tree RPAs, as the use of traditional foundations can result in excessive root loss through direct removal of roots during excavation and by compaction of the soil beneath the excavation, as such this 'traditional' type of foundation should be avoided.

When designing hard surfacing that is to be situated within RPAs, the design team need to pay particular attention to the proposed usage (pedestrian, domestic traffic, delivery vans, Emergency vehicles, HGVs etc.), the existing and proposed levels of hard surfacing and finished floor levels, edging types and details, proximity to tree trunks and surface rooting, contamination capture, SUDs, etc.

Possible sub-bases (foundations systems) for hard surfacing situated within the RPAs of retained trees could include:

- A proprietary system such as a multi-dimensional confinement system (Cellweb TRP or similar);
- Engineered solution such as a road deck, bridge, etc.

An engineered solution is likely require a level of excavation for site specific investigations to locate roots to aid in foundation design so that a suitable foundation can be designed to avoid roots and for the installation the structure.

NB: The use of a multi-dimensional confinement systems and or an engineered solution will affect the finished level of the hard surfacing by raising the levels and needs to be taken into consideration when designing foundations and setting the finished floor levels of adjacent buildings.

Utility apparatus

Underground utility apparatus

Mechanical trenching for the installation of underground apparatus and drainage sewers any roots present and can change the local hydrology in a way that adversely affects the health of the tree. For this reason, particular care should be taken in the rout and methods of installation of all underground apparatus. Wherever possible, apparatus should be routed outside of RPAs. Where this is not possible, it is preferable to keep apparatus together in common ducts, all inspection chambers should be sited outside of the RPAs.


Where underground apparatus is to pass within the RPAs, detailed plans showing the proposed route should be drawn up in conjunction with the project arboriculturist. In such cases trenchless insertion methods should be used with entry and retrieval pits being located outside of the RPAs. If this option is not feasible and providing roots can be retained and protected excavations should be undertaken using hand held tools (air-spade, forks, shovels) or a combination of trenchless and manual excavation (broken trench).

Any design and installation should be undertaken in accordance with the National Joint Utilities Guidelines (NJUG).

Above-ground utility apparatus

Above-ground apparatus(including CCTV cameras and lighting) should be sited to avoid the need for detrimental tree pruning, as such the current and future crown size of the tree should be assessed.

Tree branches can be pruned back with care to provide space, though it is not appropriate for repetitive and significant tree work to bean initial design solution unless this is a suitable management outcome for the tree. Any pruning should be undertaken in accordance with BS3998:2010



Cartographical errors exist between the OS tile and the proposed drawings. A best fit has been applied using the measurements provided.

**Issue:** Proposed boundary fence and car port posts situated within the RPAs of trees 1 & 2.  
**Solution:** Excavations for posts of the proposed boundary fence and car port supports within RPAs are to be undertaken manually under arboricultural supervision; posts will need to be relocated if roots in excess of 25mm diameter will be affected.

**Issue:** Proposed carport surfacing situated within the RPAs of trees 1 & 2.  
**Solution:** Proposed surfacing to be designed in conjunction with an arboriculturist so that it can be constructed entirely above the existing soil level.

Arboricultural Impacts

| Impacts                                                   | Nos. of trees |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Trees to be removed                                       | 0             |
| Groups / Hedges to be removed (Partial removal of groups) | 0 (0)         |
| Trees with proposed incursions into RPAs                  | 2             |
| Groups / Hedges with proposed incursions into RPAs        | 0             |
| Trees that will require pruning                           | 0             |
| Groups / Hedges that will require pruning                 | 0             |
| Trees to be transplanted                                  | 0             |
| Groups / Hedges to be transplanted                        | 0             |

Arboricultural Impacts - RPAs (Area)

| Tree No | Species    | RPA (m²) | Incursion (m²) | Incursion (%) |
|---------|------------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| 1       | Common Oak | 399.7    | 18.4           | 4.6           |
| 2       | Common Oak | 567.5    | 13.1           | 2.3           |

No. of individual trees to be removed

| U | A | B | C |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

No. of groups / hedges to be removed

| U     | A     | B     | C     |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |

( ) = Partial removal of a groups

Arboricultural Method Statement

All tree work is to be undertaken in accordance with British Standard  
Please refer to Arbttech Consulting Ltd. Tree Schedule, Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan, for full details of all surveyed trees and how all aspects of the development maybe implemented without detriment to retained trees.

ARBTECH

Unit 3, Well House Barns, Chester, CH4 0DH  
https://arbttech.co.uk, 01244 661170

Project:

20 Wedgwood Way,  
Waterlooville,  
Hampshire,  
PO8 8RW

Client:

Ian Morwood

Drawing:

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Based on:

Block Plan

Drawing No:

Arbttech AIA 01

Rev:

Date:

Feb 2022

Scale:

1:100 @ A1

Drawn:

AJN

Key:

|                             |   |                     |  |                         |  |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Tree Nos.:                  | 1 | Tree Canopies:      |  | Trunks:                 |  |
| RPAs:                       |   | Category 'A' trees: |  | Category 'C' groups:    |  |
| Existing Site:              |   | Proposed Site:      |  | Incursion - Structures: |  |
| Incursion - Hard surfacing: |   |                     |  |                         |  |

All dimensions should be checked on site. No dimensions are to be scaled from this drawing.

Please notify us of any discrepancies found. Arbttech Consulting Ltd. cannot be held responsible for inaccuracies in the base drawing in which this plan is based.

This drawing is designed to reflect the principles of the layout or design only, and relates only to the protection of retained trees.

This drawing is not to be read as a definitive part of the engineering or construction design or method statement. An architect or structural engineer should be consulted over any matters of construction, detailing or specification and for any standards or regulatory requirements relating to proposed structures, hard surfacing or underground services.

This drawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

© Arbttech Consulting Ltd, 2019