

Report VA3785.210831.NIA

**Sandy Point Convenience Store, Creek
Road, Hayling Island**

Noise Impact Assessment

02 September 2021

**Shemaa Limited
50-56 Creek Road
Hayling island, Hants
PO11 9RE**

01962 461016
0203 8650332
mail@ventaacoustics.com

registered company no. 10139494

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Design Criterion and Assessment Methodology	1
2.1 Requirements of Havant Borough Council.....	1
2.2 BS4142:2014+A1:2019	1
2.3 BS8233:2014	2
3. Site Description.....	2
4. Environmental Noise Survey	3
4.1 Survey Procedure & Equipment.....	3
4.2 Results	3
4.3 Plant Noise Emission Limits	4
5. Predicted Noise Impact	4
5.1 Proposed plant.....	4
5.2 Recommended Mitigation Measures	4
5.3 Predicted noise levels.....	5
5.4 BS4142 Assessment.....	5
5.5 Comparison to BS8233:2014 Criteria.....	6
6. Conclusion	6

Attachments

VA3785/SP1	Indicative Site Plan
VA3785/TH1-TH3	Environmental Noise Time Histories
Appendix A	Acoustic Terminology
Appendix B	Acoustic Calculations

1. Introduction

It is proposed to install two new cold stores with associated cooling plant to the rear of Sandy Point Convenience Store, Creek Road, Hayling Island.

Venta Acoustics has been commissioned by Shemaa Limited to undertake an assessment of the potential noise impact of these proposals in support of an application for planning permission.

An environmental noise survey has been undertaken to determine the background noise levels at the most affected noise sensitive receptors. These levels are used to undertake an assessment of the likely impact with reference to the planning requirements of Havant Borough Council.

2. Design Criterion and Assessment Methodology

2.1 Requirements of Havant Borough Council

Havant Borough Council have requested that:

“In order to ensure any adverse noise impacts are appropriately mitigated, a noise impact assessment is required which characterises the existing noise environment and demonstrates the proposed new plant will not adversely impact residential amenity. The assessment should be carried out by a suitably qualified acoustic consultant and be in accordance with BS4142: 2014+A1:2019 Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound.”

2.2 BS4142:2014+A1:2019

British Standard BS4142:2014+A1:2019 *Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound* describes a method for rating and assessing sound of an industrial and/or commercial nature, which includes sound from fixed installations comprising mechanical and/or electrical plant and equipment;

The assessment methodology considers the Specific Sound Level, as measured or calculated at a potential noise sensitive receptor, due to the source under investigation. A correction factor is added to this level to account for the acoustic character of the sound as follows:

Tonality – A correction of up to 6dB depending on the prominence of tones;

Impulsivity - A correction of up to 9dB depending on the prominence of impulsivity;

Other sound characteristics - A 3dB correction may be applied where a distinctive acoustic character is present that is neither tonal nor impulsive;

Intermittency - A 3dB correction may be applied where the specific sound has identifiable on/off conditions.

An estimate of the impact of the source is obtained by subtracting the typical background noise level from the corrected Specific Sound Level.

- Typically, the greater this difference, the greater the magnitude of the impact.
- A difference of around +10 dB or more is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact, depending on the context.
- A difference of around +5 dB could be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context.
- The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that there will be an adverse impact. Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound having a low impact, depending on the context.

2.3 BS8233:2014

BS8233 *Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings* provides guidance as to suitable internal noise levels for different areas within residential buildings.

The relevant section of the standard is shown below in Table 2.1.

Activity	Location	07:00 to 23:00	23:00 to 07:00
Resting	Living Room	35 dB L _{Aeq} , 16 hour	-
Dining	Dining Room	40 dB L _{Aeq} , 16 hour	-
Sleeping (daytime resting)	Bedroom	35 dB L _{Aeq} , 16 hour	30 dB L _{Aeq} , 8 hour

Table 2.1 - Excerpt from BS8233: 2014

[dB ref. 20µPa]

3. Site Description

As illustrated on attached site plan VA3785/SP1, the site is an established convenience store set in a predominantly residential setting. To the rear of the store is a storerooms used for dry goods and a carpark. The proposal is to place two new cold stores at the rear of the carpark to the south of the storeroom. Condenser units to cool the stores will be place on the south elevation of the storeroom in front of the cold stores.

There are existing residential dwellings directly to the east of the site as well as further to the south, beyond a private car park.

The most affected noise sensitive receivers are expected to be dwelling to the east.

4. Environmental Noise Survey

4.1 Survey Procedure & Equipment

In order to establish the existing background noise levels at the site, a noise survey was carried out between Tuesday 17th and Friday 20th August 2021 at the location shown in site plan VA3785/SP1. This location was chosen to be representative of the background noise level at the most affected noise sensitive receivers.

Continuous 5-minute samples of the L_{Aeq} , L_{Amax} , L_{A10} and L_{A90} sound pressure levels were undertaken at the measurement location.

The weather during the survey period was generally dry with light winds. The background noise data is not considered to have been compromised by these conditions.

Measurements were made generally in accordance with ISO 1996 2:2017 *Acoustics - Description, measurement and assessment of environmental noise – Part 2: Determination of sound pressure levels*.

The following equipment was used in the course of the survey:

Manufacturer	Model Type	Serial No	Calibration	
			Certificate No.	Date
NTi Class 1 Integrating SLM	XL2	A2A-15892-E0	UCRT21/1389	22/3/21
Larson Davis calibrator	CAL200	13049	UCRT21/1385	22/3/21

Table 4.1 – Equipment used for the survey

The calibration of the sound level meter was verified before and after use with no significant calibration drift observed.

4.2 Results

The measured sound levels are shown as time-history plots on the attached charts VA3785/TH1-3.

The background noise level is determined by traffic on surrounding roads

The typical background noise levels measured were:

Monitoring Period	Typical ¹ $L_{A90,5min}$
07:00 – 23:00 hours	36 dB
23:00 – 07:00 hours	28 dB

Table 4.2 – Typical background noise levels

[dB ref. 20 μ Pa]

¹ The typical L_{A90} value is taken as the 10th percentile of all L_{A90} values measured during the relevant period.

4.3 Plant Noise Emission Limits

On the basis of the measured noise levels and the planning requirements of the Local Authority, and considering that it is not expected that tonal noise will be generated by the proposed plant units, the following plant specific sound levels should not be exceeded at the most affected noise sensitive receivers:

Monitoring Period	Design Criterion (L _{Aeq})
07:00 – 23:00 hours	26 dB
23:00 – 07:00 hours	18 dB

Table 4.3 – Specific sound pressure levels not to be exceeded at most affected noise sensitive receivers

5. Predicted Noise Impact

5.1 Proposed plant

The following plant is proposed for installation on the south elevation of the existing store room at the location indicated on site plan VA3785/SP1.

This location will be screened from the residents to the east by the new cold store rooms.

Plant Item	Proposed Model	Notes
Freezer Condenser	Danfoss OP-LPQM	24 hour operation assumed
Chiller Condenser	Danfoss OP- MPYM024	

Table 5.1 – Indicative plant selections assumed for this assessment.

Consulting the manufacturer's datasheets, single value sound power levels are quoted. The spectral data is based on similar sized units adjusted to the quoted single value figure.

Plant Item	Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz)								dB(A)
	Power Level, L _w (dB)								
	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k	
Danfoss OP-LPQM	75	76	74	68	61	55	51	48	70
Danfoss OP- MPYM024	72	73	71	65	58	52	48	45	67

Table 5.2 – Advised plant noise data used for the assessment.

5.2 Recommended Mitigation Measures

It is recommended that the units be placed in acoustic enclosures providing the following insertion loss or similar:

Attenuation Component	Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz) Acoustic Louvre Insertion Loss (dB)							
	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k
Example Enclosure	4	15	20	26	28	27	23	23

Table 5.1 – Recommended attenuation to be provided by acoustic enclosures

Please note that the above recommendations relate to acoustic issues only. It is recommended that professional advice confirming the suitability of these measures be sought from others with regards to issues such as airflow, structural stability and visual impact.

5.3 Predicted noise levels

The cumulative noise level at the most affected noise sensitive receivers, some 5 meters to the east, has been calculated on the basis of the above information and assuming the recommended mitigation measures, with reference to the guidelines set out in BS4142:2014 and ISO 9613-2:1996 *Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors - Part 2: General method of calculation*. The sound levels to the south where there is no screening have also been calculated to confirm suitable levels.

A summary of the calculations are shown in Appendix B.

Predicted Cumulative Noise Level	Background Noise Level
L _{Aeq} 22 dB	L _{Aeq} 28 dB

Table 5.2 – Predicted cumulative noise level at most affected noise sensitive receiver and background noise level

5.4 BS4142 Assessment

The context of the site is an established store with existing building services plant serving the premises.

The introduction of new plant, with a specific noise level below the existing background, is not expected to change the local acoustic or draw attention to be perceived as characterful within this context.

However, to provide a robust assessment, a 3dB penalty is allowed to intermittency. The rating level is therefore L_{Aeq} 25dB, being 3dB below the night time background noise level. The BS4142 assessment would therefore indicate a low impact.

Results		Relevant Clause	Commentary
Specific Sound Level	L_{Aeq} 22 dB		As calculated in Appendix B
Assume 100% on time	0 dB	7.2	
Acoustic feature correction	3 dB	9.2	Intermittency in operation
Rating level	L_{Ar} 25 dB	9.2	
Background sound level	L_{A90} 28 dB	8	
Excess of rating over background sound level	-3 dB	11	
Assessment indicates low adverse impact		11	Depending on context
Context		As part of an established store with existing plant. New units would not be expected to be audible in gardens and below background noise level outside first floor windows.	

Table 5.3 – BS4142 Assessment

A low impact is indicated by the assessment.

5.5 Comparison to BS8233:2014 Criteria

BS8233 assumes a loss of approximately 15dB for a partially open window. The external noise level shown in Table 5.2 would result in internal noise levels that achieve the guidelines shown in Table 2.1.

6. Conclusion

A baseline noise survey has been undertaken by Venta Acoustics to establish the background noise climate in the locality of Sandy Point Convenience Store, Creek Road, Hayling Island in support of a planning application for the proposed introduction of new building services plant.

This has enabled noise emission limits to be set at the most affected noise sensitive receiver such that the proposed installation would not have an adverse impact on surrounding residents.


The cumulative noise emission levels from the proposed plant have been assessed to be compliant with the plant noise emission limits, with necessary mitigation measures specified.

The proposed scheme is not expected to have a significant adverse noise impact and the relevant planning requirements have been shown to be met.

Steven Liddell MIOA



Figure VA3785/TH1




Sandy Point Convenience Store, Creek Road, Hayling Island

Environmental Noise Time History: 2

VENTA ACOUSTICS

Figure VA3785/TH2




Sandy Point Convenience Store, Creek Road, Hayling Island

Environmental Noise Time History: 3

VENTA ACOUSTICS

Figure VA3785/TH3



Acoustic Terminology & Human Response to Broadband Sound

1.1 Acoustic Terminology

The human impact of sounds is dependent upon many complex interrelated factors such as 'loudness', its frequency (or pitch) and variation in level. In order to have some objective measure of the annoyance, scales have been derived to allow for these subjective factors.

Sound	Vibrations propagating through a medium (air, water, etc.) that are detectable by the auditory system.
Noise	Sound that is unwanted by or disturbing to the perceiver.
Frequency	The rate per second of vibration constituting a wave, measured in Hertz (Hz), where 1Hz = 1 vibration cycle per second. The human hearing can generally detect sound having frequencies in the range 20Hz to 20kHz. Frequency corresponds to the perception of 'pitch', with low frequencies producing low 'notes' and higher frequencies producing high 'notes'.
dB(A):	Human hearing is more susceptible to mid-frequency sounds than those at high and low frequencies. To take account of this in measurements and predictions, the 'A' weighting scale is used so that the level of sound corresponds roughly to the level as it is typically discerned by humans. The measured or calculated 'A' weighted sound level is designated as dB(A) or L_A . A notional steady sound level which, over a stated period of time, would contain the same amount of acoustical energy as the actual, fluctuating sound measured over that period (e.g. 8 hour, 1 hour, etc).
L_{eq} :	The concept of L_{eq} (equivalent continuous sound level) has primarily been used in assessing noise from industry, although its use is becoming more widespread in defining many other types of sounds, such as from amplified music and environmental sources such as aircraft and construction. Because L_{eq} is effectively a summation of a number of events, it does not in itself limit the magnitude of any individual event, and this is frequently used in conjunction with an absolute sound limit.
L_{10} & L_{90} :	Statistical L_n indices are used to describe the level and the degree of fluctuation of non-steady sound. The term refers to the level exceeded for n% of the time. Hence, L_{10} is the level exceeded for 10% of the time and as such can be regarded as a typical maximum level. Similarly, L_{90} is the typical minimum level and is often used to describe background noise. It is common practice to use the L_{10} index to describe noise from traffic as, being a high average, it takes into account the increased annoyance that results from the non-steady nature of traffic flow.
L_{max} :	The maximum sound pressure level recorded over a given period. L_{max} is sometimes used in assessing environmental noise, where occasional loud events occur which might not be adequately represented by a time-averaged L_{eq} value.

1.2 Octave Band Frequencies

In order to determine the way in which the energy of sound is distributed across the frequency range, the International Standards Organisation has agreed on "preferred" bands of frequency for sound measurement and analysis. The widest and most commonly used band for frequency measurement and analysis is the Octave Band. In these bands, the upper frequency limit is twice the lower frequency limit, with the band being described by its "centre frequency" which is the average (geometric mean) of the upper and lower limits, e.g. 250 Hz octave band extends from 176 Hz to 353 Hz. The most commonly used octave bands are:

Octave Band Centre Frequency Hz	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000
---------------------------------	----	-----	-----	-----	------	------	------	------

1.3 Human Perception of Broadband Noise

Because of the logarithmic nature of the decibel scale, it should be borne in mind that sound levels in dB(A) do not have a simple linear relationship. For example, 100dB(A) sound level is not twice as loud as 50dB(A). It has been found experimentally that changes in the average level of fluctuating sound, such as from traffic, need to be of the order of 3dB before becoming definitely perceptible to the human ear. Data from other experiments have indicated that a change in sound level of 10dB is perceived by the average listener as a doubling or halving of loudness. Using this information, a guide to the subjective interpretation of changes in environmental sound level can be given.

Change in Sound Level dB	Subjective Impression	Human Response
0 to 2	Imperceptible change in loudness	Marginal
3 to 5	Perceptible change in loudness	Noticeable
6 to 10	Up to a doubling or halving of loudness	Significant
11 to 15	More than a doubling or halving of loudness	Substantial
16 to 20	Up to a quadrupling or quartering of loudness	Substantial
21 or more	More than a quadrupling or quartering of loudness	Very Substantial

1.4 Earth Bunds and Barriers - Effective Screen Height

When considering the reduction in sound level of a source provided by a barrier, it is necessary to establish the "effective screen height". For example if a tall barrier exists between a sound source and a listener, with the barrier close to the listener, the listener will perceive the sound as being louder if he climbs up a ladder (and is closer to the top of the barrier) than if he were standing at ground level. Equally if he sat on the ground the sound would seem quieter than if he were standing. This is explained by the fact that the "effective screen height" is changing with the three cases above. In general, the greater the effective screen height, the greater the perceived reduction in sound level.

Similarly, the attenuation provided by a barrier will be greater where it is aligned close to either the source or the listener than where the barrier is midway between the two.

APPENDIX B

VA3785 - Sandy Point Convenience Store, Creek Road, Hayling Island

Noise Impact Assessment - East Reciever

Freezer

		63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	dB(A)
OP-LPQM	Lw	75	76	74	68	61	55	51	48	70
Acoustic Enclosure		-4	-15	-20	-26	-28	-27	-23	-23	
Hemispherical Propagation		-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	
Distance Loss	To 5m	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	
Screening loss*		-6	-7	-9	-11	-14	-16	-18	-18	
Level at receiver		43	32	23	9	-3	-10	-12	-15	21

* Screening loss limited to 18dB

Chiller

		63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	dB(A)
OP-MPYM024	Lw	72	73	71	65	58	52	48	45	67
Acoustic Enclosure		-4	-15	-20	-26	-28	-27	-23	-23	
Hemispherical Propagation		-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	
Distance Loss	To 5m	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	
Screening loss*		-6	-7	-9	-11	-14	-16	-18	-18	
Level at receiver		40	29	20	6	-6	-13	-15	-18	18

* Screening loss limited to 18dB

Cumulative Noise Level To East	45	33	25	11	-1	-9	-10	-13	22
---------------------------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------	-----------

Noise Impact Assessment - South Reciever

Freezer

		63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	dB(A)
OP-LPQM	Lw	75	76	74	68	61	55	51	48	70
Acoustic Enclosure		-4	-15	-20	-26	-28	-27	-23	-23	
Sound Power to Pressure Q=4		-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	
Distance Loss	To 20m	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	
Level at receiver		40	30	23	11	2	-3	-3	-6	19

* Screening loss limited to 18dB

Chiller

		63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	dB(A)
OP-MPYM024	Lw	72	73	71	65	58	52	48	45	67
Acoustic Enclosure		-4	-15	-20	-26	-28	-27	-23	-23	
Sound Power to Pressure Q=4		-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	
Distance Loss	To 20m	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	
Level at receiver		37	27	20	8	-1	-6	-6	-9	16

* Screening loss limited to 18dB

Cumulative Noise Level To south	42	32	25	13	4	-1	-1	-4	21
--	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------