

Comments for Planning Application APP/16/00668

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/16/00668

Address: Land to rear of 15 Langstone Road, Havant, PO9 1RA

Proposal: Erection of 5No. 2 bedroom flats with associated garages and parking, bins and amenity space.

Case Officer: David Eaves

Customer Details

Name: Mr Mike Eadie

Address: 6 Southbrook Close Langstone Havant

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Complainant

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Dear sirs

Re:- Planning application AAP/16/00668 15 Langstone Road for 5 No 2 bed roomed flats and associated services

.

I wish to object to this application on the grounds that the proposed plan, according to the drawings, is no longer compliant with the policy adopted by Havant Borough Council on the 27th July 2016, Parking Supplementary Planning Document.

It is stated in this policy, (which is for all new residential development and supersedes the previous policy of 2010), Table 4A C3, that two bedroomed dwellings must now have, as a MINIMUM, two parking spaces per dwelling. These proposed dwellings have only one. Therefore there is now a need for 10 parking places plus visitor parking. This volume of vehicles turning onto and from the Langstone Road will impact the flow of traffic, and at times bring it to a standstill.

Also it is now policy to provide two cycle spaces per dwelling, Table 4D. This could mean extra safe storage space required.

The introductory notes of this newly adopted policy state in 1.01 that ' Parking in proposed developments should not create undue impact on the built environment, street congestion, road safety issues or create tensions within a community'. Currently this proposed plan fails to meet any of these stated adopted policies!

As stated by the many other objectors, this is an over development of a rear garden, of unacceptable density, to cause infringement of others comfort and right to enjoyment of their gardens.

The footprint of this proposed development is now far greater than the earlier proposed single dwelling and will have a detrimental effect on the environment, biodiversity, and the protected creatures that currently frequent the area. Currently this proposed plan fails to meet the strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem detailed in Defra's "Biodiversity 2020".

Yours Sincerely

Mike Eadie