

Consultee Comments for Planning Application APP/16/00373

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/16/00373

Address: Havant Household Waste Recycling Centre, Harts Farm Way, Havant

Proposal: County Matter application for variation of condition 12 of Planning Permission

APP/13/00498 (to allow the acceptance of trade waste) at Havant HWRC, Harts Farm Way, Havant PO9 1HS.

Case Officer: Tina Pickup

Consultee Details

Name: Mr Environmental Health Team

Address: Public Service Plaza, Civic Centre Road, Havant PO9 2AX

Email: EHEnvironment@havant.gov.uk

On Behalf Of: Environmental Health Manager, Community Group

Comments

I have reviewed the documents, notably consisting of an EIA Scoping Opinion only; no details are provided in respect of anticipated additional volumes of waste, generated traffic, or indeed the method of controlling trade use to maintain site operations within the existing capacity of the site.

This application is similar to that for Fishery Lane, which included a more fulsome statements of proposals. With partial reference to the Fishery land application, the rationale for the Scoping Opinion is considered to be reasonable - any increase in activity not likely to be materially significant, as this application proposes to maximise usage of the existing consent / site capacity and is not seeking to either increase that capacity or expand the scope of materials accepted (in terms of hazard/risk class, or volume accepted).

Despite the lack of detail on additional traffic, the siting & accessibility are considered favourable for the acceptance of trade waste at this site - access to the trunk road network is convenient, there are no nearby (human) receptors, and as noted within the scoping opinion - the site has robust runoff management & pollution prevention measures in place to manage it's existing usage.

Road traffic is likely to increase appreciably in real terms as a result of this proposed change, however in terms of the generation potential of the site, by definition traffic will remain unchanged (against that theoretically associated with the consented use & capacity of the site, which are to remain unchanged) - traffic generation is not considered to be a material planning consideration. Any net increase in traffic over current actual must also be viewed in the context of other nearby consented development & the associated highways infrastructure improvements that have already been delivered (or are committed). In the environmental context of this site, any real-terms traffic

impact is not thought likely to be significant in terms of it's contribution to air pollution.

As was previously noted under the Fishery lane application, local trades do contribute to the local problem of fly tipping, and occasionally to air pollution & nuisance caused by on-site disposal by burning - the introduction of a new, affordable & accessible alternative disposal route might help reduce these issues, and for this reason is welcomed.

No adverse comment.