

This one looks to be nice & simple – Asbestos was the principal concern at this site, with exposure pathways particularly relevant to the construction phase. It's a small site, and reasonably low risk as a completed development, so 3 no. trial pits is considered to be an acceptable coverage. Selected sample suites covered a suitable range of general contaminants, and I note that the asbestos screening procedures were appropriate (e.g. not relying upon visible light microscopy only).

The report has not identified any significantly elevated contaminants in the context of the development, and (therefore) doesn't propose any remedial measures, other than the maintenance of a watching brief.

I see no need for a verification report where no risk mitigation measures are proposed, and for that reason I would not object to the discharge of any related contamination conditions, on the basis of the submitted report.