

Recommendation: **RAISE NO OBJECTION**

Conservation Officer - No objection received
Landscape Team - No objection

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 6

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

7 Planning Considerations

- 7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

The trees at subject of this application are located within the rear garden of a residential dwelling.

The multi-stemmed conifer proposed to be felled would be considered a poor specimen. This tree would not qualify for a TPO and for this reason, felling it would be acceptable.

As well as this, the bay and other conifer proposed to be felled would also be acceptable. These trees are adjacent to the dwelling and TPO's would not be acceptable due to their low quality and short distance between them and the dwelling.

The reductions for the 3 Hawthorne trees should be considered as general maintenance work and should not have a negative impact on the health of the trees. The reductions will manage the trees to a more suitable size and tidy up the hedge line.

8 Conclusion

Recommend RNO.

9 RECOMMENDATION:

That the Head of Neighbourhood Support be authorised to **RAISE NO OBJECTION** for application APP/16/00390

for the following reasons/subject to the following conditions