

# LEVEL MONITORING

## for Subsidence Management Services

**25 Galaxie Road, Waterlooville, Hampshire, PO8 9AT**

|                   |                                |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Client:           | Subsidence Management Services |
| Client Contact:   | Liz Clifton                    |
| Claim Number:     | 013091913                      |
| Client Reference: | IFS-DLG-SUB-13-0044404         |
| Policy Holder:    | Mrs Elaine Potter              |
| Report Date:      | 18 May 2015                    |
| Our Ref:          | M1894                          |


## Level Monitoring Readings

The following table shows the reading levels of the various Level Monitoring Station points.

| Date       | 1       | 2      | 3       | 4       | 5      | 6      |
|------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| 27/02/2014 | 10.0000 | 9.9050 | 10.3633 | 10.3110 | 9.9196 | 9.8818 |
| 30/04/2014 | 10.0000 | 9.9057 | 10.3630 | 10.3108 | 9.9164 | 9.8839 |
| 25/06/2014 | 10.0000 | 9.9046 | 10.3626 | 10.3103 | 9.9157 | 9.8842 |
| 19/08/2014 | 10.0000 | 9.8995 | 10.3593 | 10.3092 | 9.9161 | 9.8847 |
| 15/10/2014 | 10.0000 | 9.8997 | 10.3591 | 10.3091 | 9.9157 | 9.8844 |
| 11/12/2014 | 10.0000 | 9.9047 | 10.3623 | 10.3105 | 9.9160 | 9.8846 |
| 05/02/2015 | 10.0000 | 9.9047 | 10.3625 | 10.3105 | 9.9157 | 9.8849 |
| 24/03/2015 | 10.0000 | 9.9055 | 10.3631 | 10.3105 | 9.9158 | 9.8838 |
| 12/05/2015 | 10.0000 | 9.9056 | 10.3628 | 10.3105 | 9.9164 | 9.8848 |



## Level Monitoring Difference Graphs

The following graphs display the progressive movement that each Level Monitoring Station recorded at each separate site visit date to give an overall look at how much the property is moving.



## Level Monitoring Displacement Map

The following image shows an isometric representation of the movement of the property, based on the Level Monitoring Stations that were installed.



## Site Visit Notes

Datum is Level station 1, it has an assumed value of 10.0000m. Please advise if this is not acceptable.