

From: Bee Crawford
Sent: 26 Oct 2016 08:11:14 +0100
To: bst shared
Subject: FW: APP/16/00929 - 5 Mill Lane, Langstone

Please see message below withdrawing the above application. Could you send out the relevant letters and amend Acolaid.

Many thanks

Bee

From: Paul Gosling [mailto:paul.gosling10@btinternet.com]
Sent: 25 October 2016 07:48
To: Bee Crawford
Subject: RE: APP/16/00929 - 5 Mill Lane, Langstone

Morning Bee

I have now spoken with my client and we can confirm that we would like to withdraw the current planning application.

Over the next two weeks we will develop a set of amended plans for your comment prior to submitting a revised planning application

Kind Regards

Paul Gosling MRICS, BSc(Hons), FCABE
for PMG (Building Design & Consultancy) Ltd

07796 124247
www.pmg ltd.uk.com

Woodlands, 10 Persian Drive, Whiteley, Fareham, Hampshire, PO15 7BJ

From: Bee Crawford [mailto:Bee.Crawford@havant.gov.uk]
Sent: 19 October 2016 11:26
To: 'Paul Gosling'
Subject: RE: APP/16/00929 - 5 Mill Lane, Langstone

Dear Paul

Thank you for your message with respect to the above.

The Council's Principal Conservation Officer has confirmed that the width is the dimension of the extension rear of the existing living room to the detached swimming pool structure.

In view of the 2 November expiry date for the current application, please could you advise me as to when the amended plans and tree report are likely to be submitted in order for further consultation to take place. If your client wishes to continue with the current application, a post application agreement may need to be arranged.

Alternatively the current application could be withdrawn and a new application submitted with the revised plans.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

Bee

From: Paul Gosling [<mailto:paul.gosling10@btinternet.com>]
Sent: 19 October 2016 09:50
To: Bee Crawford
Subject: RE: APP/16/00929 - 5 Mill Lane, Langstone

Morning Bee

Are you or the conservation officer able to clarify if which dimension is being deemed to the `width` of the extension? Is this the dimension of the extension from the rear of the existing living room to the detached swimming pool structure, or the dimension sideways across the extension?

Many thanks

Paul Gosling MRICS, BSc(Hons), FCABE
for PMG (Building Design & Consultancy) Ltd

07796 124247
www.pmg ltd.uk.com

Woodlands, 10 Persian Drive, Whiteley, Fareham, Hampshire, PO15 7BJ

From: Bee Crawford [<mailto:Bee.Crawford@havant.gov.uk>]
Sent: 06 October 2016 15:36
To: 'Paul Gosling'
Subject: RE: APP/16/00929 - 5 Mill Lane, Langstone

Dear Paul

Following further consultation with the Council's Conservation Consultant, please see the suggestions below with respect to the proposed single storey extension to the property:

- The width of the extension needs to be reduced
- The design needs to complement the existing dwelling (as a suggestion oak framed structures with glazing panels can work)
- Think about providing separation between extension and outbuilding. This could be something like a glazed link which would give the appearance of separation but provide the link between the structures.

I hope this is of some assistance.

Kind regards

Bee

From: Paul Gosling [<mailto:paul.gosling10@btinternet.com>]
Sent: 05 October 2016 14:39
To: Bee Crawford
Cc: 'Walter Cha'
Subject: RE: APP/16/00929 - 5 Mill Lane, Langstone

Good afternoon Bee

Thank you for your email. I will need to discuss this with the client to ascertain how he would want the application handled.

In order for the client to consider his options, are you able to offer an indication on the reduction of scale which would be required? The purpose of the extension is to link the detached building with the existing dwelling, and this dictates the length of the building. There may be potential to reduce the width of the extension marginally.

In relation to the architectural style of the extension we could propose a design which complements the style of the existing property. The existing swimming pool structure has a flat roof, rendered walls, and large areas of glazing which contrast with the architectural style of the existing dwelling. The abutment of architectural styles would then occur where the extension adjoins the existing swimming pool structure.

As the host property is not a listed structure, and the extension/car port would retain a significant garden space, would not impact upon any neighbouring properties and would not be visible from the

public highway we had hoped that the scale and design of the proposed extension would not cause any concerns.

If you are able to offer an indication on the scale reduction required to the extension it would be appreciated as this would allow my client to consider whether to withdraw the application. I appreciate that it is not the role of the Local Planning Authority to specify the precise scale of extension which would be acceptable, but an indication of the scale reduction would be appreciated

Kind Regards

Paul Gosling MRICS, BSc(Hons), FCABE
for PMG (Building Design & Consultancy) Ltd

07796 124247
www.pmg ltd.uk.com

Woodlands, 10 Persian Drive, Whiteley, Fareham, Hampshire, PO15 7BJ

From: Bee Crawford [<mailto:Bee.Crawford@havant.gov.uk>]
Sent: 05 October 2016 11:06
To: 'paul.gosling10@btinternet.com'
Subject: APP/16/00929 - 5 Mill Lane, Langstone

Dear Mr Gosling

I refer to the recently submitted application for the above site.

Please find attached the comments received from the Council's Conservation Consultant with respect to the application for the demolition of existing single storey structure, proposed single storey rear extension, alterations to existing pool house, new detached car port, including alterations to boundary wall.

Taking these comments into account and following a subsequent discussion with my Line Manager, whilst I support the proposal in principle for an extension to the property and am of the view the proposed car port is acceptable, I do have concerns about the design and size of the single storey element of the proposal. Consequently it is unlikely the current application would be supported.

Please could you confirm whether you would like to proceed with the current application which would result in a refusal of planning permission, or you may wish to consider withdrawing the application and resubmitting at a later stage. Revised drawings could

be considered by the Council's Conservation Officer to assess the acceptability of the scheme before the submission of a new application.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

B A Crawford

Mrs B A Crawford
Planning Officer
Planning Services

Bee.crawford@havant.gov.uk

023 9244 6514

Information in this message is confidential and may be privileged. It is intended solely for the person to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete the message from your system immediately.