
Site Address: Warblington Cemetery, Church Lane, Havant
Proposal: Fell 1No. Cherry, 1No. Apple, 1No. Norway Maple, 1No. Elm, 1No. Snowy Mespil, 1No. Norway Maple and 1No. Ash. Remove 200mm of primary limb on North side to Western Red Cedar; crown raise to maximum of 3m trees all existing trees on site of various species (subject to written schedule provided); carry out formative pruning as appropriate. All within Warblington Conservation Area.
Application No: APP/15/00171 Expiry Date: 24/04/2015
Applicant: Mr A Skeet Team: Team 1
Agent: Case Officer: Jamie Gargett
Ward: St Faiths

Recommendation: **RAISE NO OBJECTION**

1 Site Description

The application site is a large cemetery set within Warblington Conservation Area.

2 Planning History

None relevant

3 Proposal

Fell 1No. Cherry, 1No. Apple, 1No. Norway Maple, 1No. Elm, 1No. Snowy Mespil, 1No. Norway Maple and 1No. Ash. Remove 200mm of primary limb on North side to Western Red Cedar; crown raise to maximum of 3m trees all existing trees on site of various species (subject to written schedule provided); carry out formative pruning as appropriate. All within Warblington Conservation Area.

4 Policy Considerations

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) March 2011
DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.
Conservation Area: Warblington

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Chichester Harbour Conservancy : No objection
Conservation Officer: None received
Landscape Team: No objection
Langstone Harbour Board: None received

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 4

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

7 Planning Considerations

Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

The trees scheduled for removal are poor quality and in severe decline and should be removed for arboricultural management.

The other works notified are considered to be reasonable tree management practice.

Both HBC Arbs have visited the site and discussed the works and it is agreed that the trees highlighted for felling do not meet the criteria for making a TPO under TEMPO criteria and that the pruning operations are required to manage the trees in their setting so as to ensure safety and a good relationship to their setting.

8 Conclusion

Recommend Raise No Objection

9 RECOMMENDATION:

That the Executive Head of Planning and Built Environment be authorised to **RAISE NO OBJECTION** for application APP/15/00171.