
Site Address:	25 Daisy Mead, Waterloooville, PO7 8HG		
Proposal:	Fell 2No. Pines, subject to TPO 1553.		
Application No:	APP/15/00282	Expiry Date:	11/05/2015
Applicant:	Mr Heathcote	Team:	Team 2
Agent:	Mr P Jackson	Case Officer:	Jamie Gargett
	Bud Tree Surgeons		
Ward:	Stakes		

Recommendation: **REFUSE TPO CONSENT**

1 Site Description

Rear gargedn to a residential dwelling.

2 Planning History

00/000000/000

3 Proposal

Fell 2No. Pines, subject to TPO 1553.

4 Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Havant Borough Local Plan (Allocations) July 2014

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.

Conservation Area: Not applicable.

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 4

Number of site notices: 0

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

7 Planning Considerations

- 7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

Whether the degree of pruning works requested would undermine the amenity value of these trees and whether this could also be harmful to their ongoing health and vitality.

My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the tree from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

The trees subject to this application are mature Pines that form part of a group and are located in the rear garden of a residential dwelling. They contribute well to the streetscene and are clearly visible in the local area and add to the character of the locality.

The trees form part of a group and their individual removal would open up the remaining trees to the potential of wind throw in this location. In addition to this the removal of the two highlighted Pines will weaken the group value in this location leading to a detrimental effect on the public amenity offered.

The reasons given for removal are: "No light, too close to house" and that one pine in the garden has previously "gone over". There has been no reference to the structural or biological condition of the trees highlighted for removal. The application has been submitted by an experienced Tree Surgeon who has not given any reasons based on health and safety for the removal of these trees. To alleviate the distance of the tree canopies in relation to the dwelling a course of light tipping to the branch ends would give a satisfactory separation.

8 Conclusion

Recommend refusal.

9 **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Executive Head of Planning and Built Environment be authorised to **REFUSE TPO CONSENT** for application APP/15/00282 for the following reasons:

- 1 The trees are significant features in the local landscape and appear to be in adequately sound and healthy condition. Their proposed felling would result in undue loss and detriment to the visual amenities of the locality. [A more appropriate course of action would be through management of the trees' size and impact through a scheme of approved surgery.] It is therefore contrary to policy DM8 of the Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework 2012.

