

Planning proposals online comment form

Name*

A Tingle

Address*

1 Hollow Lane, Hayling Island, PO11 9AA

Post Code*

PO11 9AA

Your telephone number

Your email address

Planning application ref. no. eg
05/1234/00

APP/15/00760

Application site address

Seafront

Applicant's name

Mathias

Why you think permission should
or should not be given

Permission should NOT be given.

Further to my earlier comments, I have now reviewed the Visual Appraisal document, and I consider it is flawed in several places. This includes, but is not exclusive to, the following examples :-

"Landscape and built form strategy and guidelines" states "Avoid development south of Seafront Road which would erode the open character of the coastal plain" This has plainly been ignored by this application, how can a line of huts NOT erode the OPEN character ?

The statement "development would result in a BARELY PERCEPTIBLE change in the existing view" (my capitals) is blatantly incorrect. The existing view would be virtually extinguished from many quarters.

The comparison with the existing "... moderately adverse line of parked cars..." is clearly unsustainable. The parked cars are considerably lower/smaller, and furthermore are only temporary and occasional.

The Conclusion to this document builds on these and other flaws with some rather outrageous statements which have no bearing on reality.

For example, the statement "the proposed gap in the beach huts would help to break down any perceived density ..." - what a ridiculously optimistic but misleading statement - if anything, in extending the length of the entire development it would tend to increase perceived density.

Permission should be refused, not least because of this flawed document.