

Richard MacGregor
Sports Ground Design Ltd

Sandhill Cottage
Husthwaite
York
YO61 4PQ

Tel: 01347 868 536
Mob: 07885 207 237

Mr David Eaves
Senior Planning Officer
Havant Borough Council
Public Service Plaza
Civic Centre Road
Havant
Hampshire
PO9 2AX

31st August 2014

Dear Mr Eaves

Re: Purbrook Park School Sports Development – Planning Application Ref. APP/14/00464

Thank you for your letter dated 26th August. The following documents are the current ones applicable to the Planning Application.

Drawing PPS/01 Rev A Boundary Plan
Drawing PPS/02 Rev A Existing Site Layout
Drawing PPS/03 Rev G Proposed Layout
Drawing PPS/04 Rev B Proposed MUGA Layout
Drawing PPS/06 MUGA Fence Elevation
Drawing PPS/09 Proposed Jumping Pit Rev A
Drawing PPS/10 Proposed Drainage Rev E
Drawing PPS/11 Drain Sections
Drawing PPS/12 Pond Enlargement (copy attached to this message)
Drawing TS14 - 122W1 Rev A Existing Levels
Drawing TS14 – 122W2 Rev A Proposed Levels
Drawing TS14 – 122W3 Rev A Sections
SUDS Assessment
Design and Access Statement Rev A
Flood Risk Assessment July 2014 (this is an update on the earlier submitted document dated June 2014 and a copy is attached)
Report on Inspection of Trees dated 1.5.14
Arboricultural Implications Assessment dated 21.5.14
Tree planting plan ref 4858 dated 22.7.14 (copy attached)

The above drawings show the plateau moved away from the SE boundary of the site. As detailed in my e mail dated 18.7.14 there will be **no** tree removal at the pinch point at the SE corner of the plateau.

E mail: richard@rmacg.co.uk
Company Registered in England and Wales; Registered Number 8305501
VAT No. 764 4454 13
Director: Richard MacGregor

The thinning of growth cannot be specified, as it will depend on how overgrown the area is when the work is carried out. The thinning, as explained previously, is required as a matter of good husbandry to ensure health of the plants in the area and is a maintenance issue, surely this is not a planning matter. It must be understood that the School are developing a nature walk in the area and are **improving** the environment for plants at the southwest of the site, along the southern boundary and around the pond area. This should be appreciated and encouraged.

With regards to tree protection, it was previously agreed that it is more appropriate for the tree protection works to be specified by the Sports Field Contractor, so that they are specifically related to the method of working and the equipment to be used. The protection of the trees on the site is a priority for the Client and there is no merit, from their point of view, in neglecting the landscape virtues of the site. The Contractor (Scandor) that will carry out this work is a Landscape Contractors, not a building contractor, and they have long experience in, and understand the requirements. They will be required to prepare a Method Statement prior to starting work, to ensure the safeguarding of all trees on the site.

The Flood Risk Assessment has been developed and the current copy is attached and largely addresses the points raised in your letter. The intensity of primary and secondary drainage will be such that the rainfall will not exceed the infiltration rate into the soil, particularly when combined with the dramatic reduction in gradient on the field. I detailed in my correspondence with you, the measures that are being taken to ensure that discharge of water from the site is going to be correctly controlled and **will be an improvement** on the current unregulated arrangement. Discussions with Southern Water are continuing.

I look forward to meeting you on Thursday when I hope we can clarify any matters outstanding.

Yours sincerely

Richard MacGregor